

ON LINEAR FRACTIONAL TRANSFORMATIONS ASSOCIATED WITH GENERALIZED J -INNER MATRIX FUNCTIONS

VLADIMIR DERKACH AND HARRY DYM

ABSTRACT. A class $\mathcal{U}_{\kappa_1}(J)$ of generalized J -inner mvf's (matrix valued functions) $W(\lambda)$ which appear as resolvent matrices for bitangential interpolation problems in the generalized Schur class of $p \times q$ mvf's $\mathcal{S}_{\kappa}^{p \times q}$ and some associated reproducing kernel Pontryagin spaces are studied. These spaces are used to describe the range of the linear fractional transformation T_W based on W and applied to $\mathcal{S}_{\kappa_2}^{p \times q}$. Factorization formulas for mvf's W in a subclass $\mathcal{U}_{\kappa_1}^{\circ}(J)$ of $\mathcal{U}_{\kappa_1}(J)$ found and then used to parametrize the set $\mathcal{S}_{\kappa_1+\kappa_2}^{p \times q} \cap T_W[\mathcal{S}_{\kappa_2}^{p \times q}]$. Applications to bitangential interpolation problems in the class $\mathcal{S}_{\kappa_1+\kappa_2}^{p \times q}$ will be presented elsewhere.

1. INTRODUCTION

Let J be an $m \times m$ signature matrix (i.e., $J = J^*$ and $JJ^* = I_m$) and let Ω_+ be equal to either $\mathbb{D} = \{\lambda \in \mathbb{C} : |\lambda| < 1\}$ or $\Pi_+ = \{\lambda \in \mathbb{C} : \lambda + \bar{\lambda} > 0\}$. An $m \times m$ mvf (matrix valued function) $W(\lambda)$ that is meromorphic in Ω_+ belongs to the class $\mathcal{U}_{\kappa}(J)$ of *generalized J -inner* mvf's if:

(i) the kernel

$$(1.1) \quad K_{\omega}^W(\lambda) = \frac{J - W(\lambda)JW(\omega)^*}{\rho_{\omega}(\lambda)}$$

has κ negative squares in $\mathfrak{h}_W^+ \times \mathfrak{h}_W^+$ (see definition in Subsection 2.1) and

(ii) $J - W(\mu)JW(\mu)^* = 0$ a.e. on the boundary Ω_0 of Ω_+ ;

where $\mathfrak{h}_W^+$ denotes the domain of holomorphy of W in Ω_+ and

$$\rho_{\omega}(\lambda) = \begin{cases} 1 - \lambda\bar{\omega}, & \text{if } \Omega_+ = \mathbb{D}; \\ 2\pi(\lambda + \bar{\omega}), & \text{if } \Omega_+ = \Pi_+. \end{cases}$$

Thus, in both cases

$$\Omega_+ = \{\omega \in \mathbb{C} : \rho_{\omega}(\omega) > 0\}$$

and $\Omega_0 = \{\omega \in \mathbb{C} : \rho_{\omega}(\omega) = 0\}$ is the boundary of Ω_+ . Correspondingly we set

$$(1.2) \quad \Omega_- = \mathbb{C} \setminus (\Omega_+ \cup \Omega_0) = \{\omega \in \mathbb{C} : \rho_{\omega}(\omega) < 0\}.$$

Most of the other notation that we use will be fairly standard:

mvf for matrix valued function, vvf for vector valued function, $\ker A$ for the kernel of a matrix A , $\text{rng } A$ for its range, $\sigma(A)$ for its spectrum if A is square, and, if $A = A^*$, $\nu_-(A)$

1991 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* Primary 47A56; Secondary 46C20, 46E22, 47A57, 47B20.

Key words and phrases. Linear fractional transformation, generalized Schur class, Kreĭn-Langer factorization, resolvent matrix, Potapov-Ginzburg transform, coprime factorization, reproducing kernel space, associated pair.

V. Derkach, Weston Visiting Scholar, wishes to thank the Weizmann Institute of Science for hospitality and support.

for the number of negative eigenvalues (counting multiplicities). If $f(\lambda)$ is a mvf, then

$$f^\#(\lambda) = f(\lambda^o)^*, \text{ where } \lambda^o = \begin{cases} 1/\bar{\lambda} & \text{if } \Omega_+ = \mathbb{D} \text{ and } \lambda \neq 0; \\ -\bar{\lambda} & \text{if } \Omega_+ = \Pi_+ \end{cases}$$

and

$$\mathfrak{h}_f = \{\lambda \in \mathbb{C} \text{ at which } f(\lambda) \text{ is holomorphic}\} \quad \text{and} \quad \mathfrak{h}_f^\pm = \mathfrak{h}_f \cap \Omega_\pm.$$

We also set

$$\langle f, g \rangle_{st} = \begin{cases} \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_0^{2\pi} g(\mu)^* f(\mu) d\mu & \text{if } \Omega_+ = \mathbb{D}; \\ \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} g(i\mu)^* f(i\mu) d\mu & \text{if } \Omega_+ = \Pi_+ \end{cases}$$

and

$$\tilde{L}_1^{p \times q} = \begin{cases} L_1^{p \times q}(\Omega_0) & \text{if } \Omega_+ = \mathbb{D}; \\ \{f : (1 + |\mu|^2)^{-1} f \in L_1^{p \times q}(\Omega_0)\} & \text{if } \Omega_+ = \Pi_+. \end{cases}$$

The symbol $H_2^{p \times q}$ (resp., $H_\infty^{p \times q}$) stands for the class of $p \times q$ mvf's with entries in the Hardy space H_2 (resp., H_∞); H_2^p is short for $H_2^{p \times 1}$ and $(H_2^p)^\perp$ is the orthogonal complement of H_2^p in L_2^p with respect to the standard inner product on Ω_0 .

The class $\mathcal{U}_\kappa(J)$ and reproducing kernel Pontryagin spaces $\mathcal{K}(W)$ with the reproducing kernel $\mathbf{K}_\omega^W(\lambda)$ based on $W \in \mathcal{U}_\kappa(J)$ were studied in [4] and [2]. In [36], [12], [11] and [18] mvf's $W \in \mathcal{U}_\kappa(J)$ appear as resolvent matrices of interpolation problems; in [35], [10], [20], [21] and [19] mvf's $W \in \mathcal{U}_\kappa(J)$ were considered as characteristic functions of linear operators in indefinite inner product spaces.

Let $\mathcal{S}_\kappa^{p \times q}(\Omega_+)$ denote the *generalized Schur class* of mvf's s that are meromorphic in Ω_+ and for which the kernel

$$(1.3) \quad \Lambda_\omega^s(\lambda) = \frac{I_p - s(\lambda)s(\omega)^*}{\rho_\omega(\lambda)}$$

has κ negative squares on $\mathfrak{h}_s^+ \times \mathfrak{h}_s^+$ (see [32]).

A fundamental result of Kreĭn and Langer [32] guarantees that every generalized Schur function $s \in \mathcal{S}_\kappa^{p \times q} := \mathcal{S}_\kappa^{p \times q}(\Omega_+)$ admits a pair of coprime factorizations

$$(1.4) \quad s(\lambda) = b_\ell(\lambda)^{-1} s_\ell(\lambda) = s_r(\lambda) b_r(\lambda)^{-1} \quad \text{for } \lambda \in \mathfrak{h}_s^+,$$

where b_ℓ and b_r are Blaschke–Potapov products of degree κ and sizes $p \times p$ and $q \times q$, respectively, and the mvf's s_ℓ and s_r both belong to the *Schur class* $\mathcal{S}^{p \times q} := \mathcal{S}_0^{p \times q}(\Omega_+)$. The classes of inner and outer mvf's in $\mathcal{S}^{p \times p}$ will be denoted $\mathcal{S}_{in}^{p \times p}$ and $\mathcal{S}_{out}^{p \times p}$, respectively.

In this paper we fix

$$J = j_{pq} = \begin{bmatrix} I_p & 0 \\ 0 & -I_q \end{bmatrix}, \quad \text{where } p + q = m,$$

and if $W \in \mathcal{U}_\kappa(j_{pq})$ is written in block form $W = [w_{ij}]_{i,j=1}^2$ conformally with j_{pq} , then the linear fractional transformation

$$(1.5) \quad T_W[\varepsilon] = (w_{11}\varepsilon + w_{12})(w_{21}\varepsilon + w_{22})^{-1}$$

is well defined on $\mathcal{S}_{\kappa_2}^{p \times q}$ for all $\lambda \in \Omega_+$ except for at most a finite set of points and $s = T_W[\varepsilon]$ belongs to $\mathcal{S}_{\kappa'}^{p \times q}$ with $\kappa' \leq \kappa_1 + \kappa_2$. The main results of this paper are:

(1) A characterization of the set

$$T_W[\mathcal{S}_{\kappa_2}^{p \times q}] = \{T_W[\varepsilon] : \varepsilon \in \mathcal{S}_{\kappa_2}^{p \times q}\}$$

that is formulated in terms of the mvf

$$\Delta_s(\mu) := \begin{bmatrix} I_p & -s(\mu) \\ -s(\mu)^* & I_q \end{bmatrix} \quad \text{a.e. on } \Omega_0$$

and a κ -dimensional operator Γ_r that is defined below.

(2) A parametrization of the intersection of this set with $\mathcal{S}_{\kappa_1 + \kappa_2}^{p \times q}$ when W belongs to a subclass $\mathcal{U}_{\kappa_1}^\circ(j_{pq})$ of $\mathcal{U}_{\kappa_1}(j_{pq})$, introduced below in (1.8).

Let the mvf $s \in \mathcal{S}_{\kappa}^{p \times q}$ admit the Kreĭn-Langer factorizations (1.4) and let

$$\mathcal{H}_*(b_\ell) := (H_2^q)^\perp \ominus b_\ell^*(H_2^p)^\perp \quad \text{and} \quad \mathcal{H}(b_r) = H_2^q \ominus b_r H_2^q.$$

Since $\dim \mathcal{H}(b_r) = \kappa$, the operator

$$X_r : h \mapsto P_- s h \quad (h \in \mathcal{H}(b_r)),$$

is a finite-dimensional operator of rank at most κ . In fact, as will be shown below, X_r is a 1-1-isomorphism from $\mathcal{H}(b_r)$ onto $\mathcal{H}_*(b_\ell)$. Let

$$\Gamma_r : L_2^p \ni g \mapsto X_r^{-1} P_{\mathcal{H}_*(b_\ell)} g \in \mathcal{H}(b_r).$$

Theorem 1.1. *Let $\kappa_1, \kappa_2 \in \mathbb{N} \cup \{0\}$, let $W \in \mathcal{U}_{\kappa_1}(j_{pq})$ and let $s \in \mathcal{S}_{\kappa_1 + \kappa_2}^{p \times q}$ admit the Kreĭn-Langer factorizations (1.4). Then $s \in T_W[\mathcal{S}_{\kappa_2}^{p \times q}]$ if and only if the following conditions hold:*

- (1) $[b_\ell \quad -s_\ell] f \in H_2^p$ for every $f \in \mathcal{K}(W)$;
- (2) $[-s_r^* \quad b_r^*] f \in (H_2^q)^\perp$ for every $f \in \mathcal{K}(W)$;
- (3) $\left\langle \{\Delta_s + \Delta_s \begin{bmatrix} 0 & \Gamma_r^* \\ \Gamma_r & 0 \end{bmatrix} \Delta_s\} f, f \right\rangle_{st} \leq \langle f, f \rangle_{\mathcal{K}(W)}$ for every $f \in \mathcal{K}(W)$.

The description of the set $T_W[\mathcal{S}_{\kappa_2}^{p \times q}]$ given in Theorem 1.1 is a generalization to the indefinite setting of a result from [25]. The proof is based on the theory of the reproducing kernel Pontryagin spaces $\mathcal{K}(s)$ and $\mathcal{K}(W)$ associated with the kernels $\Lambda_\omega^s(\lambda)$ and $\mathbf{K}_\omega^W(\lambda)$ developed in [2] and [4], respectively. For the convenience of the reader we review and partially extend the parts of this theory that are needed in this paper in Section 2. In particular, we furnish an indefinite analog of the de Branges-Rovnyak description ([15]) of the space $\mathcal{K}(s)$ and a boundary characterization of an indefinite analog $\mathfrak{D}(s)$ of the de Branges-Rovnyak reproducing kernel Hilbert space. In the definite case the left hand side of (3) in Theorem 1.1 coincides with $\|f\|_{\mathfrak{D}(s)}^2$, which clarifies the connection of this result with the setting of the abstract interpolation problem in [30].

For every mvf $W \in \mathcal{U}_\kappa(j_{pq})$ $W = [w_{ij}]_{i,j=1}^2$ the lower right hand $q \times q$ corner $w_{22}(\lambda)$ of $W(\lambda)$ is invertible for all $\lambda \in \mathfrak{h}_W^+$ except for at most κ points. Thus, the Potapov-Ginzburg transform $S = PG(W)$ of W is defined on $\mathfrak{h}_W^+$ by the formula

$$(1.6) \quad S(\lambda) = \begin{bmatrix} s_{11}(\lambda) & s_{12}(\lambda) \\ s_{21}(\lambda) & s_{22}(\lambda) \end{bmatrix} := \begin{bmatrix} w_{11}(\lambda) & w_{12}(\lambda) \\ 0 & I_q \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} I_p & 0 \\ w_{21}(\lambda) & w_{22}(\lambda) \end{bmatrix}^{-1}$$

and it belongs to the class $\mathcal{S}_\kappa^{m \times m}$ (as may be verified by writing $\Lambda_\omega^S(\lambda)$ in terms of $\mathbf{K}_\omega^W(\lambda)$). Moreover, since

$$(1.7) \quad S = PG(W) \implies W = PG(S),$$

the mvf W is of bounded type. Thus, the nontangential limits $W(\mu)$ exist a.e. on Ω_0 and assumption (ii) in the definition of $\mathcal{U}_\kappa(J)$ makes sense.

Let

$$(1.8) \quad \mathcal{U}_\kappa^\circ(j_{pq}) = \{W \in \mathcal{U}_\kappa(j_{pq}) : s_{21} := -w_{22}^{-1}w_{21} \text{ belongs to } \mathcal{S}_\kappa^{q \times p}\}.$$

The Kreĭn-Langer factorizations of s_{21} will be written as

$$(1.9) \quad s_{21}(\lambda) := \mathfrak{b}_\ell(\lambda)^{-1} \mathfrak{s}_\ell(\lambda) = \mathfrak{s}_r(\lambda) \mathfrak{b}_r(\lambda)^{-1} \quad \text{for } \lambda \in \mathfrak{h}_s^+,$$

where $\mathfrak{b}_\ell, \mathfrak{b}_r$ are Blaschke-Potapov products of degree κ and $\mathfrak{s}_\ell, \mathfrak{s}_r \in \mathcal{S}^{q \times p}$; german fonts are used to emphasize that the factorization is now for a mvf of size $q \times p$.

In Theorem 4.6 we shall show that the mvf's $\mathfrak{b}_\ell s_{22}$ and $s_{11} \mathfrak{b}_r$ belong to the classes $\mathcal{S}^{p \times p}$ and $\mathcal{S}^{q \times q}$, respectively. Therefore, they admit inner-outer and outer-inner factorizations

$$(1.10) \quad s_{11} \mathfrak{b}_r = b_1 \varphi_1, \quad \mathfrak{b}_\ell s_{22} = \varphi_2 b_2,$$

where $b_1 \in \mathcal{S}_{in}^{p \times p}$, $b_2 \in \mathcal{S}_{in}^{q \times q}$, $\varphi_1 \in \mathcal{S}_{out}^{p \times p}$, $\varphi_2 \in \mathcal{S}_{out}^{q \times q}$. In keeping with the usage in [7] and [8], the pair $\{b_1, b_2\}$ is called an *associated pair* of the mvf $W \in \mathcal{U}_\kappa^\circ(j_{pq})$ and denoted $\{b_1, b_2\} \in \text{ap}(W)$. If $\kappa = 0$, the formulas in (1.10) reduce to the inner-outer and outer-inner factorizations of s_{11} and s_{22} (see [6], [7]).

In Theorem 4.12 it will be shown that if $W \in \mathcal{U}_\kappa^\circ(j_{pq})$ and $\{b_1, b_2\} \in \text{ap}(W)$, then there are H_∞ mvf's $K, c_\ell, d_\ell, c_r, d_r$, such that the factorizations

$$(1.11) \quad W = \Theta \Phi \quad \text{and} \quad W = \tilde{\Theta} \tilde{\Phi},$$

hold over Ω_+ and Ω_- , respectively, with

$$(1.12) \quad \Theta = \begin{bmatrix} b_1 & K b_2^{-1} \\ 0 & b_2^{-1} \end{bmatrix}, \quad \tilde{\Theta} = \begin{bmatrix} b_1 & 0 \\ K^\# b_1 & b_2^{-1} \end{bmatrix} = j_{pq} \Theta^{-\#} j_{pq},$$

$$(1.13) \quad \Phi = \begin{bmatrix} \varphi_1 & 0 \\ 0 & \varphi_2^{-1} \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} c_r & d_r \\ -\mathfrak{s}_\ell & \mathfrak{b}_\ell \end{bmatrix} \quad \text{and} \quad \tilde{\Phi} = \begin{bmatrix} \varphi_1^{-\#} & 0 \\ 0 & \varphi_2^\# \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} \mathfrak{b}_r^\# & -\mathfrak{s}_r^\# \\ d_\ell^\# & c_\ell^\# \end{bmatrix}.$$

If $W \in \mathcal{U}_{\kappa_1}^\circ(j_{pq})$, then Theorem 1.1 is supplemented by the following parametrization of the set $T_W[\mathcal{S}_{\kappa_2}^{p \times q}] \cap \mathcal{S}_{\kappa_1 + \kappa_2}^{p \times q}$ in terms of the parameter ε .

Theorem 1.2. *Let the mvf $W \in \mathcal{U}_{\kappa_1}^\circ(j_{pq})$, $S = PG(W)$, let s_{21} have the Kreĭn-Langer factorizations (1.9), $\{b_1, b_2\} \in \text{ap}(W)$, and let $\varepsilon \in \mathcal{S}_{\kappa_2}^{p \times q}$ satisfy the assumption*

$$(1.14) \quad (I_q - s_{21} \varepsilon)^{-1}|_{\Omega_0} \in \tilde{L}_1^{q \times q}$$

and admit the Kreĭn-Langer factorizations

$$\varepsilon = \theta_\ell^{-1} \varepsilon_\ell = \varepsilon_r \theta_r^{-1},$$

where θ_ℓ, θ_r are Blaschke-Potapov products of degree κ_2 and $\varepsilon_\ell, \varepsilon_r \in \mathcal{S}^{p \times q}$. Then the mvf $s = T_W[\varepsilon]$ belongs to $\mathcal{S}_{\kappa_1 + \kappa_2}^{p \times q}$ if and only if the factorizations

$$(1.15) \quad \theta_\ell w_{11}^\# + \varepsilon_\ell w_{12}^\# = (\theta_\ell \mathfrak{b}_r - \varepsilon_\ell \mathfrak{s}_r)(b_1 \varphi_1)^{-1},$$

$$(1.16) \quad w_{21} \varepsilon_r + w_{22} \theta_r = (\varphi_2 b_2)^{-1} (-\mathfrak{s}_\ell \varepsilon_r + \mathfrak{b}_\ell \theta_r)$$

are coprime over Ω_+ .

The proof of this result is based on the factorizations (1.11)-(1.13) and an application of the Kreĭn-Langer generalization of Rouché's theorem from [34]. The cases when the assumption (1.14) can be dropped are discussed.

The paper is organized as follows. In Section 2 the basic notions of left and right coprime factorizations are introduced. Their connection with the Kreĭn-Langer factorizations of generalized Schur functions is discussed. The theory of reproducing kernel Pontryagin spaces, associated with a generalized Schur function s from [2] is reviewed and extended. In

Section 3 we prove the first main result of the paper: Theorem 1.1, which characterizes the range of the linear fractional transformation T_W associated with the mvf $W \in \mathcal{U}_{\kappa_1}(j_{pq})$. In Section 4 we obtain factorization formulas for mvf's $W \in \mathcal{U}_{\kappa}^{\circ}(j_{pq})$ and use them to characterize the corresponding reproducing kernel Pontryagin spaces $\mathcal{K}(W)$. A parametrization of the set $T_W[\mathcal{S}_{\kappa_2}^{p \times q}] \cap \mathcal{S}_{\kappa_1 + \kappa_2}^{p \times q}$ is given in Theorem 1.2 for $W \in \mathcal{U}_{\kappa_1}^{\circ}(j_{pq})$.

2. PRELIMINARIES

2.1. The generalized Schur class. Recall that a Hermitian kernel $K_{\omega}(\lambda) : \Omega \times \Omega \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^{m \times m}$ is said to have κ negative squares if for every positive integer n and every choice of $\omega_j \in \Omega$ and $u_j \in \mathbb{C}^m$ ($j = 1, \dots, n$) the matrix

$$\left(\langle K_{\omega_j}(\omega_k) u_j, u_k \rangle \right)_{j,k=1}^n$$

has at most κ negative eigenvalues and for some choice of $\omega_1, \dots, \omega_n \in \Omega$ and $u_1, \dots, u_n \in \mathbb{C}^m$ exactly κ negative eigenvalues. In this case we write

$$\text{sq}_- K = \kappa.$$

The class $\mathcal{S}^{p \times q} := \mathcal{S}_0^{p \times q}(\Omega_+)$ is the usual Schur class. Recall that a mvf $s \in \mathcal{S}^{p \times q}$ is called inner (resp., $*$ -inner), if $s(\mu)$ is an isometry (resp., a co-isometry) for a.e. $\mu \in \Omega_0$, that is

$$I_q - s(\mu)^* s(\mu) = 0 \quad (\text{resp.}, I_p - s(\mu) s(\mu)^* = 0), \quad \mu \in \Omega_0 \text{ (a.e.)}.$$

Let $\mathcal{S}_{in}^{p \times q}$ (resp., $\mathcal{S}_{*in}^{p \times q}$) denote the set of all inner (resp., $*$ -inner) mvf's $s \in \mathcal{S}^{p \times q}$. An example of an inner square mvf is provided by the finite *Blaschke–Potapov product*, that in the case of the unit disc ($\Omega_+ = \mathbb{D}$) is given by

$$(2.1) \quad b(\lambda) = \prod_{j=1}^{\kappa} b_j(\lambda), \quad b_j(\lambda) = I - P_j + \frac{\lambda - \alpha_j}{1 - \bar{\alpha}_j \lambda} P_j,$$

where $\alpha_j \in \mathbb{D}$ and P_j is an orthogonal projection in $\mathbb{C}^p$ for $j = 1, \dots, n$. The factor b_j is called *simple* if P_j has rank one. The representation of $b(\lambda)$ as a product of simple Blaschke–Potapov factors is not unique. However, the number κ of such simple factors is the same for every representation (2.1). It is called the *degree* of the Blaschke–Potapov product $b(\lambda)$ [37].

A theorem of Kreĭn and Langer [32] guarantees that every generalized Schur function $s \in \mathcal{S}_{\kappa}^{p \times q}(\Omega_+)$ admits a factorization of the form

$$(2.2) \quad s(\lambda) = b_{\ell}(\lambda)^{-1} s_{\ell}(\lambda) \quad \text{for } \lambda \in \mathfrak{h}_s^+,$$

where b_{ℓ} is a Blaschke–Potapov product of degree κ , s_{ℓ} is in the Schur class $\mathcal{S}^{p \times q}(\Omega_+)$ and

$$(2.3) \quad \ker s_{\ell}(\lambda)^* \cap \ker b_{\ell}(\lambda)^* = \{0\} \quad \text{for } \lambda \in \Omega_+.$$

The representation (2.2) is called a *left Kreĭn–Langer factorization*. The constraint (2.3) can be expressed in the equivalent form

$$(2.4) \quad \text{rank} \begin{bmatrix} b_{\ell}(\lambda) & s_{\ell}(\lambda) \end{bmatrix} = p \quad (\lambda \in \Omega_+).$$

If $\alpha_j \in \mathbb{D}$ ($j = 1, \dots, n$) are all the zeros of b_{ℓ} in Ω_+ , then the noncancellation condition (2.3) ensures that $\mathfrak{h}_s^+ = \Omega_+ \setminus \{\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n\}$. The left Kreĭn–Langer factorization (2.2) is essentially unique in a sense that b_{ℓ} is defined uniquely up to a left unitary factor $V \in \mathbb{C}^{p \times p}$.

Similarly, every generalized Schur function $s \in \mathcal{S}_{\kappa}^{p \times q}(\Omega_+)$ admits a *right Kreĭn–Langer factorization*

$$(2.5) \quad s(\lambda) = s_r(\lambda) b_r(\lambda)^{-1} \quad \text{for } \lambda \in \mathfrak{h}_s^+,$$

where b_r is a Blaschke–Potapov product of degree κ , $s_r \in \mathcal{S}^{p \times q}(\Omega_+)$ and

$$(2.6) \quad \ker s_r(\lambda) \cap \ker b_r(\lambda) = \{0\} \quad \text{for } \lambda \in \Omega_+.$$

This condition can be rewritten in the equivalent form

$$(2.7) \quad \text{rank} \begin{bmatrix} b_r(\lambda)^* & s_r(\lambda)^* \end{bmatrix} = q \quad (\lambda \in \Omega_+).$$

Under assumption (2.6) the mvf b_r is uniquely defined up to a right unitary factor $V' \in \mathbb{C}^{q \times q}$.

Lemma 2.1. *A mvf $s_\ell \in \mathcal{S}^{p \times q}$ and a finite Blaschke–Potapov product $b_\ell \in \mathcal{S}_{in}^{p \times p}$ meet the rank condition (2.4), if and only if there exists a pair of mvf's $c_\ell \in H_\infty^{p \times p}$ and $d_\ell \in H_\infty^{q \times p}$ such that*

$$(2.8) \quad b_\ell(\lambda)c_\ell(\lambda) + s_\ell(\lambda)d_\ell(\lambda) = I_p \quad \text{for } \lambda \in \Omega_+.$$

Proof. This is a matrix version of the Carleson Corona theorem. The proof is adapted from Fuhrmann [28] who treated the square block case. We sketch the details for the convenience of the reader.

Let

$$a(\lambda) = [a_1(\lambda) \ \cdots \ a_m(\lambda)] = [b_\ell(\lambda) \ s_\ell(\lambda)]$$

be the $p \times m$ matrix with columns $a_i(\lambda)$, $i = 1, \dots, m$, $m = p + q$, and let

$$\alpha_{i_1 \dots i_p}(\lambda) = \det[a_{i_1}(\lambda) \ \cdots \ a_{i_p}(\lambda)]$$

for every choice of positive integers $i_1, \dots, i_p$ that meet the constraint $1 \leq i_1 < i_2 < \cdots < i_p \leq m$. Then, since $b_\ell(\lambda)$ is a finite Blaschke product, there exists a positive number $r < 1$ such that

$$|\det b_\ell(\lambda)| = |\det[a_1(\lambda) \ \cdots \ a_p(\lambda)]| \geq \frac{1}{2}$$

for $r \leq |\lambda| \leq 1$. Thus, it is readily checked that there exists a $\delta > 0$ such that

$$\sum_{i_1 \dots i_p} |\alpha_{i_1 \dots i_p}(\lambda)| \geq \delta > 0$$

for every point $\lambda \in \Omega_+$. Therefore, by the scalar Corona theorem [23], there exists a set of functions $\beta_{i_1 \dots i_p}(\lambda)$ in H_∞ such that

$$\sum_{i_1 \dots i_p} \alpha_{i_1 \dots i_p}(\lambda) \beta_{i_1 \dots i_p}(\lambda) = 1.$$

Now expand each of the determinants $\alpha_{i_1 \dots i_p}$ along its i^{th} row and express the resulting equality as

$$a_{i1}(\lambda)b_{1i}(\lambda) + \cdots + a_{im}(\lambda)b_{mi}(\lambda) = 1$$

and observe that

$$a_{k1}(\lambda)b_{1i}(\lambda) + \cdots + a_{km}(\lambda)b_{mi}(\lambda) = 0$$

if $k \neq i$, because this expression may be obtained by replacing the i^{th} row in each of the determinants $\alpha_{i_1 \dots i_p}$ by its k^{th} row. The proof is completed by setting

$$\begin{bmatrix} c_\ell(\lambda) \\ d_\ell(\lambda) \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} b_{11}(\lambda) & \cdots & b_{1p}(\lambda) \\ \vdots & & \vdots \\ b_{m1}(\lambda) & \cdots & b_{mp}(\lambda) \end{bmatrix}.$$

□

A dual statement for Lemma 2.1 is obtained by applying Lemma 2.1 to transposed vvf's.

Lemma 2.2. *A mvf $s_r \in \mathcal{S}^{p \times q}$ and a finite Blaschke-Potapov product $b_r \in \mathcal{S}_{in}^{q \times q}$ meet the rank condition (2.7), if and only if there exists a pair of mvf's $c_r \in H_\infty^{q \times q}$ and $d_r \in H_\infty^{q \times p}$ such that*

$$(2.9) \quad c_r(\lambda)b_r(\lambda) + d_r(\lambda)s_r(\lambda) = I_q \quad \text{for } \lambda \in \Omega_+.$$

The factorization (2.2) is called a *left coprime* factorization of s if s_ℓ and b_ℓ satisfy (2.8). Similarly, the factorization (2.5) is called a *right coprime* factorization of s if s_r and b_r satisfy (2.9).

Every vvf $h(\lambda)$ from $H_2^p (H_2^{p\perp})$ has nontangential limits a.e. on the boundary Ω_0 . These nontangential limits identify the vvf h uniquely. In what follows we often identify a vvf $h \in H_2^p (H_2^{p\perp})$ with its boundary values $h(\mu)$.

Let P_+ and P_- denote the orthogonal projections from L_2^k onto H_2^k and $H_2^{k\perp}$, respectively, where k is a positive integer that will be understood from the context. The Hilbert spaces

$$(2.10) \quad \mathcal{H}(b_r) = H_2^q \ominus b_r H_2^q, \quad \mathcal{H}_*(b_\ell) := (H_2^p)^\perp \ominus b_\ell^* (H_2^p)^\perp$$

and the operators

$$(2.11) \quad X_r : h \in \mathcal{H}(b_r) \mapsto P_- s h \quad \text{and} \quad X_\ell : h \in \mathcal{H}_*(b_\ell) \mapsto P_+ s^* h$$

based on $s \in \mathcal{S}_\kappa^{p \times q}$ will play an important role.

Lemma 2.3. (cf. [17]) *If $s \in \mathcal{S}_\kappa^{p \times q}$ and its two factorizations are given by (2.2) and (2.5), then:*

- (1) *The operator X_ℓ maps $\mathcal{H}_*(b_\ell)$ injectively onto $\mathcal{H}(b_r)$.*
- (2) *The operator X_r maps $\mathcal{H}(b_r)$ injectively onto $\mathcal{H}_*(b_\ell)$.*
- (3) *$X_\ell = X_r^*$.*

Proof. If $h \in \mathcal{H}_*(b_\ell)$, $h_+ \in H_2^q$ and $f = b_r h_+$, it is readily checked that

$$\langle P_+ s^* h, f \rangle_{st} = \langle s^* h, b_r h_+ \rangle_{st} = \langle h, s_r h_+ \rangle_{st} = 0 \quad \forall h_+ \in H_2^q,$$

i.e., X_ℓ maps $\mathcal{H}_*(b_\ell)$ into $\mathcal{H}(b_r)$. Therefore, since $\mathcal{H}_*(b_\ell)$ and $\mathcal{H}(b_r)$ are finite dimensional spaces of the same dimension, and

$$\dim \mathcal{H}_*(b_\ell) = \dim \ker X_\ell + \dim \text{range } X_\ell,$$

it suffices to show that $\ker X_\ell = \{0\}$. But, if $h \in \mathcal{H}_*(b_\ell)$ and $P_+ s^* h = 0$, then $b_\ell h \in H_2^p$ and, in view of Lemma 2.1,

$$\begin{aligned} \xi^*(b_\ell h)(\omega) &= \langle b_\ell h, \frac{\xi}{\rho_\omega} \rangle_{st} = \langle b_\ell h, (b_\ell c + s_\ell d) \frac{\xi}{\rho_\omega} \rangle_{st} \\ &= \langle b_\ell h, s_\ell d \frac{\xi}{\rho_\omega} \rangle_{st} = \langle P_+ s^* h, d \frac{\xi}{\rho_\omega} \rangle_{st} = 0 \end{aligned}$$

for every choice of $\omega \in \Omega_+$, and $\xi \in \mathbb{C}^p$. Since $b_\ell(\omega) \not\equiv 0$, this implies that $h(\omega) \equiv 0$.

Statement (ii) can be obtained by similar calculations, and (iii) is easy. $\square$

Definition 2.4. *Let*

$$(2.12) \quad \Gamma_\ell : f \in L_2^q \rightarrow X_\ell^{-1} P_{\mathcal{H}(b_r)} f \in \mathcal{H}_*(b_\ell) \quad \text{and} \quad \Gamma_r : g \in L_2^p \rightarrow X_r^{-1} P_{\mathcal{H}_*(b_\ell)} g \in \mathcal{H}(b_r),$$

where X_ℓ and X_r are defined in formula (2.11).

It is readily checked that

$$(2.13) \quad P_+ s^* \Gamma_\ell f = P_{\mathcal{H}(b_r)} f \quad \text{and} \quad P_- s \Gamma_r g = P_{\mathcal{H}_*(b_\ell)} g, \quad f \in L_2^q, g \in L_2^p.$$

Remark 2.5. If $f_1 \in \mathcal{H}_*(b_\ell)$ and $f_2 \in \mathcal{H}(b_r)$, then, in view of Lemma 2.3,

$$P_+ s^* f_1 = P_{\mathcal{H}(b_r)} f \iff f_1 = \Gamma_\ell f,$$

and

$$P_- s f_2 = P_{\mathcal{H}_*(b_\ell)} f \iff f_2 = \Gamma_r f.$$

Lemma 2.6. *The operators Γ_ℓ and Γ_r satisfy the equalities:*

$$(1) \quad \Gamma_\ell^* = \Gamma_r;$$

$$(2) \quad \langle (\bar{\psi} \Gamma_\ell - \Gamma_\ell \bar{\psi}) f, g \rangle_{st} = 0 \text{ for } f \in \mathcal{H}(b_r), g \in \mathcal{H}_*(b_\ell) \text{ and } \psi \text{ a scalar inner function.}$$

Proof. (1) Let $f \in L_2^q$ and $g \in L_2^p$. Then, since X_ℓ maps $\mathcal{H}_*(b_\ell)$ onto $\mathcal{H}(b_r)$ and $X_\ell^* = X_r$,

$$\langle \Gamma_\ell f, g \rangle_{st} = \langle X_\ell^{-1} P_{\mathcal{H}(b_r)} f, P_{\mathcal{H}_*(b_\ell)} g \rangle_{st} = \langle P_{\mathcal{H}(b_r)} f, X_r^{-1} P_{\mathcal{H}_*(b_\ell)} g \rangle_{st} = \langle f, \Gamma_r g \rangle_{st}.$$

(2) If $f \in \mathcal{H}(b_r)$ and $g \in \mathcal{H}_*(b_\ell)$, then

$$\begin{aligned} \langle (\bar{\psi} \Gamma_\ell - \Gamma_\ell \bar{\psi}) f, g \rangle_{st} &= \langle \bar{\psi} \Gamma_\ell f, P_- s \Gamma_r g \rangle_{st} - \langle P_+ s^* \Gamma_\ell f, \psi \Gamma_r g \rangle_{st} \\ &= \langle \bar{\psi} \Gamma_\ell f, s \Gamma_r g \rangle_{st} - \langle s^* \Gamma_\ell f, \psi \Gamma_r g \rangle_{st} = 0. \end{aligned}$$

□

2.2. Reproducing kernel Pontryagin spaces. In this subsection we review some facts and notation from [10, 13] on the theory of indefinite inner product spaces for the convenience of the reader. A linear space $\mathcal{K}$ equipped with a sesquilinear form $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle_{\mathcal{K}}$ on $\mathcal{K} \times \mathcal{K}$ is called an indefinite inner product space. A subspace $\mathfrak{F}$ of $\mathcal{K}$ is called positive (negative) if $\langle f, f \rangle_{\mathcal{K}} > 0$ (< 0) for all $f \in \mathfrak{F}$, $f \neq 0$. If the full space $\mathcal{K}$ is positive and complete with respect to the norm $\|f\| = \langle f, f \rangle_{\mathcal{K}}^{1/2}$ then it is a Hilbert space.

An indefinite inner product space $(\mathcal{K}, \langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle_{\mathcal{K}})$ is called a Pontryagin space, if it can be decomposed as the orthogonal sum

$$(2.14) \quad \mathcal{K} = \mathcal{K}_+ \oplus \mathcal{K}_-$$

of a positive subspace $\mathcal{K}_+$ which is a Hilbert space and a negative subspace $\mathcal{K}_-$ of finite dimension. The number $\text{ind}_- \mathcal{K} := \dim \mathcal{K}_-$ is referred to as the negative index of $\mathcal{K}$. The convergence in a Pontryagin space $(\mathcal{K}, \langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle_{\mathcal{K}})$ is meant with respect to the Hilbert space norm

$$(2.15) \quad \|h\|^2 = \langle h_+, h_+ \rangle_{\mathcal{K}} - \langle h_-, h_- \rangle_{\mathcal{K}}, \quad h = h_+ + h_-, \quad h_{\pm} \in \mathcal{K}_{\pm}.$$

It is easily seen that the convergence does not depend on a choice of the decomposition (2.14).

A Pontryagin space $(\mathcal{K}, \langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle_{\mathcal{K}})$ of $\mathbb{C}^m$ -valued functions defined on a subset Ω of $\mathbb{C}$ is called a reproducing kernel Pontryagin space if there exists a Hermitian kernel $\mathbf{K}_\omega(\lambda) : \Omega \times \Omega \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^{m \times m}$ such that

(1) for every $\omega \in \Omega$ and every $u \in \mathbb{C}^m$ the vvf $\mathbf{K}_\omega(\lambda)u$ belongs to $\mathcal{K}$;

(2) for every $h \in \mathcal{K}$, $\omega \in \Omega$ and $u \in \mathbb{C}^m$ the following identity holds

$$(2.16) \quad \langle h, \mathbf{K}_\omega u \rangle_{\mathcal{K}} = u^* f(\omega).$$

It is known (see [38]) that for every Hermitian kernel $\mathbf{K}_\omega(\lambda) : \Omega \times \Omega \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^{m \times m}$ with a finite number of negative squares on $\Omega \times \Omega$ there is a unique Pontryagin space $\mathcal{K}$ with reproducing kernel $\mathbf{K}_\omega(\lambda)$, and that $\text{ind}_- \mathcal{K} = \text{sq}_- \mathbf{K} = \kappa$. In the case $\kappa = 0$ this fact is due to Aronszajn [5].

Let $\mathcal{K}, \mathcal{K}_1$ be Pontryagin spaces and let $A : \mathcal{K}_1 \rightarrow \mathcal{K}$ be a continuous linear operator. The adjoint $A^* : \mathcal{K} \rightarrow \mathcal{K}_1$ is defined by the equality

$$\langle A^* h, g \rangle_{\mathcal{K}_1} = \langle h, Ag \rangle_{\mathcal{K}}, \quad \text{for all } h \in \mathcal{K}, g \in \mathcal{K}_1.$$

The operator A is called a contraction if

$$(2.17) \quad \langle Ag, Ag \rangle_{\mathcal{K}} \leq \langle g, g \rangle_{\mathcal{K}_1} \quad \text{for all } g \in \mathcal{K}_1;$$

A is called an isometry if equality prevails in (2.17); it is called a coisometry if $A^* : \mathcal{K} \rightarrow \mathcal{K}_1$ is an isometry.

A subspace $\mathcal{K}_1$ of a Pontryagin space $\mathcal{K}$ is said to be contained contractively in $\mathcal{K}$, if the inclusion mapping $\iota : \mathcal{K}_1 \rightarrow \mathcal{K}$ is a contraction, i.e.

$$\langle g, g \rangle_{\mathcal{K}} \leq \langle g, g \rangle_{\mathcal{K}_1} \quad \text{for all } g \in \mathcal{K}_1.$$

$\mathcal{K}_1$ is said to be contained isometrically in $\mathcal{K}$ if the inclusion $\iota : \mathcal{K}_1 \rightarrow \mathcal{K}$ is an isometry.

A subspace $\mathcal{K}_2$ of a Pontryagin space $\mathcal{K}$ is said to be *complementary* to the subspace $\mathcal{K}_1$ in the sense of de Branges if:

(1) Every $h \in \mathcal{K}$ can be decomposed as

$$(2.18) \quad h = h_1 + h_2, \quad h_1 \in \mathcal{K}_1, \quad h_2 \in \mathcal{K}_2.$$

(2) The inequality

$$(2.19) \quad \langle h, h \rangle_{\mathcal{K}} \leq \langle h_1, h_1 \rangle_{\mathcal{K}_1} + \langle h_2, h_2 \rangle_{\mathcal{K}_2}$$

holds for every decomposition $h = h_1 + h_2$, with $h_1 \in \mathcal{K}_1$ and $h_2 \in \mathcal{K}_2$.

(3) There is a unique decomposition (2.18) such that equality prevails in (2.19).

If the subspaces $\mathcal{K}_1$ and $\mathcal{K}_2$ are complementary in $\mathcal{K}$ in the sense of de Branges, then $\text{ind}_- \mathcal{K} = \text{ind}_- \mathcal{K}_1 + \text{ind}_- \mathcal{K}_2$ and the overlapping space $\mathfrak{R} = \mathcal{K}_1 \cap \mathcal{K}_2$ of $\mathcal{K}_1$ and $\mathcal{K}_2$ is a Hilbert space with respect to the inner product

$$(2.20) \quad \langle h, g \rangle_{\mathfrak{R}} = \langle h, g \rangle_{\mathcal{K}_1} + \langle h, g \rangle_{\mathcal{K}_2}, \quad h, g \in \mathfrak{R}.$$

The following theorem is due to L. de Branges [14].

Theorem 2.7. *Let $\mathcal{K}$, $\mathcal{K}_1$ be Pontryagin spaces such that $\mathcal{K}_1$ is contained contractively in $\mathcal{K}$. Then there is exactly one subspace $\mathcal{K}_2$ of $\mathcal{K}$ that is complementary to $\mathcal{K}_1$ in the sense of de Branges. Moreover, the following statements are equivalent:*

- (1) $\mathcal{K}_2$ is the orthogonal complement of $\mathcal{K}_1$ in $\mathcal{K}$;
- (2) $\mathcal{K}_1 \cap \mathcal{K}_2 = \{0\}$;
- (3) $\mathcal{K}_1$ is contained isometrically in $\mathcal{K}$.

These notions come into play when the reproducing kernel $\mathbf{K}_{\omega}(\lambda)$ of a Pontryagin space is decomposed as a sum of two such kernels

$$(2.21) \quad \mathbf{K}_{\omega}(\lambda) = \mathbf{K}_{\omega}^{(1)}(\lambda) + \mathbf{K}_{\omega}^{(2)}(\lambda).$$

The following theorem is a paraphrase of Theorem 1.5.5 from [2].

Theorem 2.8. ([2, Theorem 1.5.5].) *Let $\mathbf{K}_{\omega}(\lambda)$, $\mathbf{K}_{\omega}^{(1)}(\lambda)$, $\mathbf{K}_{\omega}^{(2)}(\lambda)$ be $\mathbb{C}^{m \times m}$ -valued Hermitian kernels on $\Omega \times \Omega$ with finite negative squares such that (2.21) holds. If $\mathcal{K}$, $\mathcal{K}_1$, $\mathcal{K}_2$ are the corresponding reproducing kernel Pontryagin spaces, then*

$$(2.22) \quad \text{sq}_- \mathbf{K} \leq \text{sq}_- \mathbf{K}^{(1)} + \text{sq}_- \mathbf{K}^{(2)}.$$

Moreover the following assertions are equivalent:

- (1) Equality prevails in (2.22);
- (2) $\mathcal{K}_1$ and $\mathcal{K}_2$ are contained contractively in $\mathcal{K}$ as complementary spaces in the sense of de Branges;

- (3) the overlapping space $\mathfrak{K} = \mathcal{K}_1 \cap \mathcal{K}_2$ is a Hilbert space with respect to the inner product (2.20).

A multiplicative version of this statement is formulated below for kernels of the form

$$(2.23) \quad \mathbf{K}_\omega(\lambda) = R(\lambda) \mathbf{K}_\omega^{(1)}(\lambda) R(\omega)^*.$$

Theorem 2.9. (see [2, Theorem 1.5.7].) Let $R(\lambda)$ be a $\mathbb{C}^{m \times n}$ -valued function on Ω , let $\mathbf{K}_\omega(\lambda)$, $\mathbf{K}_\omega^{(1)}(\lambda)$ be $\mathbb{C}^{m \times m}$ and $\mathbb{C}^{n \times n}$ valued Hermitian kernels on $\Omega \times \Omega$, respectively, with finite negative squares and let $\mathcal{K}$, $\mathcal{K}_1$ be the corresponding reproducing kernel Pontryagin spaces. Then

$$(2.24) \quad \text{sq}_- \mathbf{K} \leq \text{sq}_- \mathbf{K}^{(1)}.$$

Equality prevails in (2.24) if and only if the multiplication by $R(\lambda)$ is a coisometry from $\mathcal{K}_1$ to $\mathcal{K}$, whose kernel is a Hilbert space.

Next we consider some examples of reproducing kernel Hilbert spaces associated with a mvf $s \in \mathcal{S}^{p \times q}(\Omega_+)$.

Example 1. Let $\mathcal{H}(s)$ be the reproducing kernel Hilbert space associated with the kernel $\Lambda_s^s(\lambda)$ on $\mathfrak{H}_s^+ \times \mathfrak{H}_s^+$. The following description of $\mathcal{H}(s)$ is due to de Branges and Rovnyak, [15]: A vvf $f \in H_2^p$ belongs to $\mathcal{H}(s)$ if and only if

$$(2.25) \quad \alpha(f) := \sup\{\|f + s\varphi\|_{st}^2 - \|\varphi\|_{st}^2 : \varphi \in H_2^q\} < \infty.$$

Moreover, if $f \in \mathcal{H}(s)$, then

$$\|f\|_{\mathcal{H}(s)}^2 = \alpha(f).$$

If $s \in \mathcal{S}_{in}^{p \times q}(\Omega_+)$, then

$$\mathcal{H}(s) = H_2^p \ominus sH_2^p \quad \text{and} \quad \|f\|_{\mathcal{H}(s)} = \|f\|_{st}.$$

Example 2. Let $\mathcal{H}_*(s)$ be the reproducing kernel Hilbert space associated with the kernel

$$(2.26) \quad \mathbf{L}_\omega^s(\lambda) = \frac{I_q - s^\#(\lambda)s^\#(\omega)^*}{-\rho_\omega(\lambda)} \quad \text{on } \Omega_{s^\#} \times \Omega_{s^\#}.$$

The space $\mathcal{H}_*(s)$ admits the following description: A vvf $f \in H_2^q(\Omega_+)^{\perp}$ belongs to $\mathcal{H}_*(s)$ if and only if

$$(2.27) \quad \beta(f) := \sup\{\|f + s^\# \varphi\|_{st}^2 - \|\varphi\|_{st}^2 : \varphi \in H_2^p(\Omega_+)^{\perp}\} < \infty.$$

If $f \in \mathcal{H}_*(s)$, then

$$\|f\|_{\mathcal{H}_*(s)}^2 = \beta(f).$$

If $s \in \mathcal{S}_{*in}^{p \times q}(\Omega_+)$, then

$$\mathcal{H}_*(s) = H_2^q(\Omega_+)^{\perp} \ominus s^\# H_2^p(\Omega_+)^{\perp}.$$

In the following example the reproducing kernel space $\mathcal{K}(s)$ is negative.

Example 3. If b is a Blaschke-Potapov product of degree κ , then $s = b^{-1} \in \mathcal{S}_\kappa^{p \times p}$ and it follows from Theorem 2.9 and the identity

$$\Lambda_\omega^{b^{-1}}(\lambda) = b(\lambda)^{-1}(-\Lambda_\omega^b(\lambda))b(\omega)^{-*}$$

that the space $\mathcal{K}(b^{-1})$ corresponding to the kernel $\Lambda_\omega^{b^{-1}}(\lambda)$ coincides with $b^{-1}\mathcal{H}(b)$ as a set. Since the inner product $\langle f, f \rangle_{\mathcal{K}(b^{-1})}$ is negative in $\mathcal{K}(b^{-1})$,

$$\langle f, f \rangle_{\mathcal{K}(b^{-1})} = -\langle bf, bf \rangle_{\mathcal{H}(b)} = -\langle f, f \rangle_{st}, \quad f \in \mathcal{K}(b^{-1}),$$

$\mathcal{K}(b^{-1})$ is called the antispaces of the Hilbert space $b^{-1}\mathcal{H}(b)$.

2.3. The spaces $\mathcal{K}(s)$ and $\mathcal{K}_*(s)$. If $s \in \mathcal{S}_\kappa^{p \times q}(\Omega_+)$, then the reproducing kernel $\Lambda_\omega^s(\lambda)$ of the reproducing kernel Pontryagin space $\mathcal{K}(s)$ can be expressed in terms of the right Krein-Langer factorization (2.5) of $s \in \mathcal{S}_\kappa^{p \times q}$ as

$$(2.28) \quad \Lambda_\omega^s(\lambda) = \Lambda_\omega^{s_r}(\lambda) + s_r(\lambda) \Lambda_\omega^{b_r^{-1}}(\lambda) s_r(\omega)^* = \Lambda_\omega^{s_r}(\lambda) - s(\lambda) \Lambda_\omega^{b_r}(\lambda) s(\omega)^*,$$

which leads to fundamental decomposition of the Pontryagin space $\mathcal{K}(s)$. The following theorem extends Theorem 4.2.3 of [2].

Theorem 2.10. *Let $s \in \mathcal{S}_\kappa^{p \times q}$ have Krein-Langer factorization (2.5) $s = s_r b_r^{-1}$. Then:*

(1) *The space $\mathcal{K}(s)$ admits the orthogonal decomposition*

$$(2.29) \quad \mathcal{K}(s) = \mathcal{H}(s_r) \oplus s_r \mathcal{K}(b_r^{-1}).$$

(2) *If $f \in \mathcal{K}(s)$, then*

$$(2.30) \quad f - s \Gamma_r f \in \mathcal{H}(s_r), \quad s \Gamma_r f \in s_r \mathcal{K}(b_r^{-1}),$$

$$(2.31) \quad f = (f - s \Gamma_r f) + s \Gamma_r f,$$

and

$$(2.32) \quad \langle f, f \rangle_{\mathcal{K}(s)} = \|f - s \Gamma_r f\|_{\mathcal{H}(s_r)}^2 - \|s \Gamma_r f\|_{st}^2.$$

Proof. If $f \in \mathcal{H}(s_r) \cap s_r \mathcal{K}(b_r^{-1})$ and $f = s_r h$ for some $h \in \mathcal{K}(b_r^{-1})$, then, since the factorization (2.5) is coprime, it follows from Lemma 2.2 that there exists a pair of mvf's $c \in H_\infty^{q \times q}$, $d \in H_\infty^{q \times p}$ such that

$$(2.33) \quad cb_r + ds_r = I_q.$$

Hence

$$h = (cb_r)h + d(s_r h) = c(b_r h) + df \in H_2^q.$$

Since $h \in \mathcal{K}(b_r^{-1}) \subset (H_2^q)^\perp$ this implies that $h \equiv 0$, and hence $f \equiv 0$, i.e.,

$$(2.34) \quad \mathcal{H}(s_r) \cap s_r \mathcal{K}(b_r^{-1}) = \{0\}.$$

The space $\mathcal{K}(s)$ can be identified with the set $\mathcal{K}$ of vvf's

$$(2.35) \quad f(\lambda) = \begin{bmatrix} I_p & -s(\lambda) \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} f_1(\lambda) \\ f_2(\lambda) \end{bmatrix} \quad \text{with} \quad f_1 \in \mathcal{H}(s_r) \quad \text{and} \quad f_2 \in \mathcal{H}(b_r)$$

endowed with the indefinite inner product

$$(2.36) \quad \langle f, f \rangle_{\mathcal{K}} = \langle f_1, f_1 \rangle_{\mathcal{H}(s_r)} - \langle f_2, f_2 \rangle_{st},$$

which is correctly defined in view of (2.34). In particular,

$$(2.37) \quad \Lambda_\omega^s u = \begin{bmatrix} I_p & -s \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} \Lambda_\omega^{s_r} u \\ \Lambda_\omega^{b_r} s(\omega)^* u \end{bmatrix} \quad \text{for every } \omega \in \mathfrak{h}_s^+ \text{ and } u \in \mathbb{C}^p$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} \langle f, \Lambda_\omega^s u \rangle_{\mathcal{K}} &= \langle f_1, \Lambda_\omega^{s_r} u \rangle_{\mathcal{H}(s_r)} - \langle f_2, \Lambda_\omega^{b_r} s(\omega)^* u \rangle_{st} \\ &= u^* f_1(\omega) - u^* s(\omega) f_2(\omega) = u^* f(\omega) \end{aligned}$$

for every $f \in \mathcal{K}$. Since $\mathcal{K}$ is a Pontryagin space with respect to the indefinite inner product (2.36), it coincides with $\mathcal{K}(s)$.

Next, the decomposition (2.35) implies that $P_- f = P_{\mathcal{H}_*(b_\ell)} f$ for every $f \in \mathcal{K}(s)$. Therefore, by another application of (2.35),

$$P_{\mathcal{H}_*(b_\ell)} f = P_- f = -P_- s f_2 = -X_r f_2,$$

i.e.,

$$f_2 = -X_r^{-1}P_{\mathcal{H}_*(b_\ell)}f = -\Gamma_r f \quad \text{belongs to } \mathcal{H}(b_r) \text{ for every } f \in \mathcal{K}(s)$$

and

$$f - s\Gamma_r f = f + sf_2 = f_1 \quad \text{belongs to } \mathcal{H}(s_r).$$

This proves the second statement. $\square$

Corollary 2.11. *The space $\mathcal{K}(s)$ consists of vvf's $f \in H_2^p \oplus \mathcal{H}_*(b_\ell)$ such that*

$$(2.38) \quad \sup\{\|f - s\Gamma_r f - s_r\varphi\|_{st}^2 - \|\varphi\|_{st}^2 : \varphi \in H_2^q\} < \infty.$$

Moreover, if $f \in \mathcal{K}(s)$, then

$$(2.39) \quad \langle f, f \rangle_{\mathcal{K}(s)} = \sup\{\|f - s\Gamma_r f - s_r\varphi\|_{st}^2 - \|\varphi\|_{st}^2 : \varphi \in H_2^q\} - \|\Gamma_r f\|_{st}^2.$$

Let $\mathcal{K}_*(s)$ designate the reproducing kernel Pontryagin space with kernel

$$\mathbb{L}_\omega^s(\lambda) = \frac{I_q - s^\#(\lambda)s^\#(\omega)^*}{-\rho_\omega(\lambda)}.$$

The left Kreĭn-Langer factorization (2.2) yields the representation

$$(2.40) \quad \mathbb{L}_\omega^s(\lambda) = \mathbb{L}_\omega^{s_\ell}(\lambda) + s_\ell^\#(\lambda)\mathbb{L}_\omega^{b_\ell}(\lambda)s_\ell^\#(\omega)^* \quad \text{on } \mathfrak{h}_{s^\#}^- \times \mathfrak{h}_{s^\#}^-,$$

which leads to a dual version of Theorem 2.10:

Theorem 2.12. *If $s \in \mathcal{S}_\kappa^{p \times q}$ and its left Kreĭn-Langer factorization (2.2) is $s = b_\ell^{-1}s_\ell$, then:*

(1) *the space $\mathcal{K}_*(s)$ admits the fundamental decomposition*

$$(2.41) \quad \mathcal{K}_*(s) = \mathcal{H}_*(s_\ell) \oplus s_\ell^* \mathcal{K}(b_\ell^{-1});$$

(2) *for every $f \in \mathcal{K}_*(s)$ its orthogonal decomposition corresponding to (2.41) takes the form*

$$(2.42) \quad f = (f - s^* \Gamma_\ell f) + s^* \Gamma_\ell f,$$

where $f - s^* \Gamma_\ell f \in \mathcal{H}_*(s_\ell)$, $s^* \Gamma_\ell f \in s_\ell^* \mathcal{K}(b_\ell^{-1})$ and

$$(2.43) \quad \langle f, f \rangle_{\mathcal{K}_*(s)} = \|f - s^* \Gamma_\ell f\|_{\mathcal{H}_*(s_\ell)}^2 - \|\Gamma_\ell f\|_{st}^2.$$

Corollary 2.13. *The space $\mathcal{K}_*(s)$ consists of vvf's $f \in (H_2^q)^\perp \oplus \mathcal{H}(b_r)$ such that*

$$(2.44) \quad \sup\{\|f - s^* \Gamma_\ell f - s_\ell^* \varphi\|_{st}^2 - \|\varphi\|_{st}^2 : \varphi \in (H_2^p)^\perp\} - \|\Gamma_\ell f\|_{st}^2 < \infty.$$

For every $f \in \mathcal{K}_*(s)$ the inner product $\langle f, f \rangle_{\mathcal{K}_*(s)}$ coincides with the left hand side of (2.44).

Another decomposition of the reproducing kernel Pontryagin space $\mathcal{K}(s)$ can be based on the representation

$$(2.45) \quad \Lambda_\omega^s(\lambda) = b_\ell^{-1}(\lambda)\Lambda_\omega^{s_\ell}(\lambda)b_\ell(\omega)^{-*} + \Lambda_\omega^{b_\ell^{-1}}(\lambda) \quad \text{on } \mathfrak{h}_s^+ \times \mathfrak{h}_s^+.$$

In view of Theorems 2.8, 2.9 this leads to the following representation of $\mathcal{K}(s)$

$$(2.46) \quad \mathcal{K}(s) = b_\ell^{-1} \mathcal{H}(s_\ell) \dot{+} \mathcal{K}(b_\ell^{-1}),$$

where $\mathcal{H}(s_\ell)$ is a Hilbert space and $\mathcal{K}(b_\ell^{-1})$ is the antispace of the Hilbert space $b_\ell^{-1} \mathcal{H}(b_\ell)$. The decomposition (2.46) is not necessarily an orthogonal decomposition in $\mathcal{K}(s)$ (see e.g., [2, p.148] for an example). However, if $s_\ell \in \mathcal{S}_{in}^{p \times q}$, then

$$\|f\|_{\mathcal{H}(s_\ell)} = \|f\|_{st}$$

and the decomposition (2.46) is orthogonal.

Theorem 2.14. *If $s \in \mathcal{S}_\kappa^{p \times q}$ and its left Kreĭn-Langer factorization (2.2) is $b_\ell^{-1}s_\ell$ and $s_\ell \in \mathcal{S}_{in}^{p \times q}$, then:*

(1) the decomposition (2.46) of $\mathcal{K}(s)$ is orthogonal;

(2) $f \in \mathcal{K}(s)$ if and only if

$$(2.47) \quad b_\ell f \in H_2^p \quad \text{and} \quad b_r^* s^* f \in (H_2^q)^\perp.$$

Proof. By Lemma 2.1, there exists a pair of mvf's c_ℓ and d_ℓ with entries in H_∞ such that $b_\ell c_\ell + s_\ell d_\ell = I_p$. Thus, if $f \in \mathcal{H}(s_\ell) \cap \mathcal{H}(b_\ell)$, then

$$\langle f, f \rangle_{st} = \langle f, b_\ell c_\ell f \rangle_{st} + \langle f, s_\ell d_\ell f \rangle_{st} = 0,$$

and, hence, $f = 0$, i.e., $\mathcal{H}(s_\ell) \cap \mathcal{H}(b_\ell) = \{0\}$. Therefore, the decomposition (2.46) is orthogonal, by Theorem 2.7.

If $f \in \mathcal{K}(s)$ then it follows from (2.46) that f admits the decomposition

$$(2.48) \quad f = b_\ell^{-1} h + b_\ell^{-1} x,$$

where $h \in \mathcal{H}(s_\ell)$ and $x \in \mathcal{H}(b_\ell)$. Therefore

$$b_r^* s^* f = b_r^* (s_\ell^* h) + s_r^* (b_\ell^* x).$$

Since $s_\ell \in \mathcal{S}_{in}^{p \times q}$ and $b_\ell \in \mathcal{S}_{in}^{p \times p}$ one obtains $s_\ell^* h \in (H_2^q)^\perp$, $b_\ell^* x \in \mathcal{H}_*(b_\ell) \subset (H_2^q)^\perp$. Thus $b_r^* s^* f \in (H_2^q)^\perp$.

Conversely, assume that (2.47) holds. Then

$$s^* f \in b_r (H_2^q)^\perp = (H_2^q)^\perp \oplus \mathcal{H}(b_r).$$

In view of (2.13) $\Gamma_\ell(s^* f)$ satisfies the equality

$$P_+(s^* f - s^* \Gamma_\ell(s^* f)) = 0$$

and hence

$$h = b_\ell(f - \Gamma_\ell(s^* f)) \in \mathcal{H}(s_\ell), \quad x = b_\ell \Gamma_\ell(s^* f) \in \mathcal{H}(b_\ell).$$

Therefore, $f = b_\ell^{-1} h + b_\ell^{-1} x \in \mathcal{K}(s)$. □

Similarly to the Example 1 the right Kreĭn–Langer factorization (2.5) leads to the following decomposition of the reproducing kernel Pontryagin space $\mathcal{K}_*(s)$ (see [2, Theorem 4.2.3])

$$(2.49) \quad \mathcal{K}_*(s) = b_r^{-\#} \mathcal{H}_*(s_r) \dot{+} \mathcal{K}_*(b_r^{-1}),$$

where $\mathcal{H}_*(s_r)$ is a Hilbert space and $\mathcal{K}_*(b_r^{-1})$ is the antispace of a Hilbert space $b_r^{-\#} \mathcal{H}_*(b_r)$.

Corollary 2.15. *Let a mvf $s \in \mathcal{S}_\kappa^{p \times q}$ admit the Kreĭn–Langer factorization (2.5) with $s_r \in \mathcal{S}_{*in}^{p \times q}$. Then:*

(1) the decomposition (2.49) of $\mathcal{K}_*(s)$ is orthogonal;

(2) $f \in \mathcal{K}_*(s)$ if and only if

$$(2.50) \quad b_r^* f \in (H_2^q)^\perp, \quad b_\ell s f \in H_2^p.$$

Combining Theorem 2.14 and Corollary 2.15 one obtains

Corollary 2.16. *Let a mvf $s \in \mathcal{S}_\kappa^{m \times m}$ admit the Kreĭn–Langer factorization (2.2) with $s_\ell \in \mathcal{S}_{in}^{m \times m}$. Then:*

$$\mathcal{K}_*(s) = s^* \mathcal{K}(s).$$

2.4. The de Branges-Rovnyak space $\mathfrak{D}(s)$. The symbol $A^{[-1]}$ stands for the Moore-Penrose pseudoinverse of the matrix A ,

$$dm(\mu) = \begin{cases} \frac{1}{2\pi}d\mu & \text{if } \Omega_0 = \mathbb{T} \\ d\mu & \text{if } \Omega_0 = i\mathbb{R} \end{cases}$$

and

$$(2.51) \quad \Delta_s(\mu) := \begin{bmatrix} I_p & -s(\mu) \\ -s(\mu)^* & I_q \end{bmatrix}, \quad \text{a.e. on } \Omega_0 \quad \text{for } s \in \mathcal{S}_\kappa^{p \times q}.$$

Definition 2.17. Let a mvf $s \in \mathcal{S}_\kappa^{p \times q}$ admit left and right Kreĭn-Langer factorizations (2.2) and (2.5). Define $\mathfrak{D}(s)$ as the set of vvf's $f(t) = \text{col}(f^+(t), f^-(t))$, such that the following conditions hold:

- ($\mathfrak{D}1$) $b_\ell f^+ \in H_2^p$;
- ($\mathfrak{D}2$) $b_r^* f^- \in H_2^{q\perp}$;
- ($\mathfrak{D}3$) $f(t)$ belongs to the range of the matrix $\Delta_s(\mu)$ Ω_0 -a.e. and the following integral

$$\int_{\Omega_0} f(\mu)^* \Delta_s(\mu)^{[-1]} f(\mu) dm(\mu)$$

converges.

The inner product in $\mathfrak{D}(s)$ is defined by

$$(2.52) \quad \langle f, g \rangle_{\mathfrak{D}(s)} = \int_{\Omega_0} g(\mu)^* \left(\Delta_s(\mu)^{[-1]} + \begin{bmatrix} 0 & \Gamma_r^* \\ \Gamma_r & 0 \end{bmatrix} \right) f(\mu) dm(\mu),$$

where Γ_r is the operator from L_2^p onto $\mathcal{H}(b_r)$ that is defined in (2.12).

Remark 2.18. If $\kappa = 0$, then $b_\ell = I_p$, $b_r = I_q$, $\Gamma_r = 0$ and $\mathfrak{D}(s)$ coincides with the Hilbert space introduced in [15].

Remark 2.19. If $\kappa > 0$, then $\mathfrak{D}(s)$ is an indefinite inner product space, and, since $\Gamma_\ell = \Gamma_\ell P_{\mathcal{H}(b_r)}$ maps the κ dimensional space $\mathcal{H}(b_r)$ bijectively onto $\mathcal{H}_*(b_\ell)$ and

$$\tilde{\Gamma} := \begin{bmatrix} 0 & \Gamma_r^* \\ \Gamma_r & 0 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & \Gamma_\ell \\ \Gamma_\ell^* & 0 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} \Gamma_\ell & 0 \\ 0 & P_{\mathcal{H}(b_r)} \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} 0 & I_{\mathcal{H}(b_r)} \\ I_{\mathcal{H}(b_r)} & 0 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} \Gamma_\ell^* & 0 \\ 0 & P_{\mathcal{H}(b_r)} \end{bmatrix},$$

$\nu_-(\tilde{\Gamma}) = \kappa$. Therefore,

$$(2.53) \quad \text{ind}_- \mathfrak{D}(s) \leq \kappa,$$

since the operator $\Delta_s^{[-1]}$ is nonnegative. In fact equality prevails in (2.53), as will be shown in Lemma 2.21.

Remark 2.20. Definitions 2.4 and (2.13) imply that if $f \in \mathfrak{D}(s)$, then

$$(2.54) \quad \left(I_m + \Delta_s \begin{bmatrix} 0 & \Gamma_\ell \\ \Gamma_r & 0 \end{bmatrix} \right) f = \begin{bmatrix} I_p - s\Gamma_r \\ \Gamma_r \end{bmatrix} f^+ + \begin{bmatrix} \Gamma_\ell \\ I_q - s^*\Gamma_\ell \end{bmatrix} f^-,$$

where

$$(2.55) \quad \begin{bmatrix} I_p - s\Gamma_r \\ \Gamma_r \end{bmatrix} f^+ \in H_2^m, \quad \begin{bmatrix} \Gamma_\ell \\ I_q - s^*\Gamma_\ell \end{bmatrix} f^- \in (H_2^m)^\perp.$$

Now let $s \in \mathcal{S}_\kappa^{p \times q}$, and let the mvf Φ_ω be defined on Ω_0 by the formula

$$(2.56) \quad \Phi_\omega = \begin{cases} \frac{1}{\rho_\omega} \Delta_s \begin{bmatrix} I_p \\ s(\omega)^* \end{bmatrix} & \text{if } \omega \in \mathfrak{h}_s^+; \\ \frac{-1}{\rho_\omega} \Delta_s \begin{bmatrix} s^\#(\omega)^* \\ I_q \end{bmatrix} & \text{if } \omega \in \mathfrak{h}_{s^\#}^-, \end{cases}$$

and let

$$(2.57) \quad \mathfrak{D}^+ = \text{span} \{ \Phi_\alpha u : \alpha \in \mathfrak{h}_s^+, u \in \mathbb{C}^p \}, \quad \mathfrak{D}^- = \text{span} \{ \Phi_\beta v : \beta \in \mathfrak{h}_{s^\#}^-, v \in \mathbb{C}^q \},$$

where span stands for the set of finite linear combinations. Then $\mathfrak{D}^\pm \subset \mathfrak{D}(s)$.

Indeed, if $\alpha \in \mathfrak{h}_s^+$ and $u \in \mathbb{C}^p$, then the vvf $f = \Phi_\alpha u = \text{col}(f^+(\mu), f^-(\mu))$, where

$$(2.58) \quad f^+(\mu) = \frac{I_p - s(\mu)s(\alpha)^*}{\rho_\alpha(\mu)} u \quad \text{and} \quad f^-(\mu) = -\frac{s^*(\mu) - s(\alpha)^*}{\rho_\alpha(\mu)} u,$$

have meromorphic continuations to $\mathfrak{h}_s^+$ and $\mathfrak{h}_s^-$, respectively:

$$f^+(\lambda) = \frac{I_p - s(\lambda)s(\alpha)^*}{\rho_\alpha(\lambda)} u, \quad \text{and} \quad f^-(\lambda) = -\frac{s^\#(\lambda) - s(\alpha)^*}{\rho_\alpha(\lambda)} u.$$

It follows from (2.2), (2.5) that

$$b_\ell f^+ \in H_2^p, \quad b_r^\# f^- \in H_2^{q\perp}.$$

Since $f(\mu)$ belongs to the range of $\Delta_s(\mu)$, it is readily checked that $(\mathfrak{D}3)$ also holds and hence that $f = \Phi_\alpha u \in \mathfrak{D}(s)$, if $\alpha \in \mathfrak{h}_s^+$ and $u \in \mathbb{C}^p$.

If $\beta \in \mathfrak{h}_{s^\#}^-$ and $v \in \mathbb{C}^q$, then the vvf $f = \Phi_\beta v = \text{col}(f^+, f^-)$, where

$$(2.59) \quad f^+(\mu) = \frac{s(\mu) - s^\#(\beta)^*}{\rho_\beta(\mu)} v, \quad f^-(\mu) = -\frac{I_q - s(\mu)^* s^\#(\beta)^*}{\rho_\beta(\mu)} v.$$

Similar observations show that $f = \Phi_\beta v \in \mathfrak{D}(s)$ for $\beta \in \mathfrak{h}_{s^\#}^-$ and $v \in \mathbb{C}^q$.

Lemma 2.21. *Let $s \in \mathcal{S}_\kappa^{p \times q}$ ($\kappa \in \mathbb{N}$), and let $\mathfrak{D}^+$ and $\mathfrak{D}^-$ be the subspaces of $\mathfrak{D}(s)$ defined by (2.57). Then:*

- (1) $\text{span} \{ \mathfrak{D}^+, \mathfrak{D}^- \}$ is dense in $\mathfrak{D}(s)$;
- (2) for every choice of

$$(2.60) \quad \begin{aligned} \alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n &\in \mathfrak{h}_s^+, \quad u_1, \dots, u_n \in \mathbb{C}^p, \\ \beta_1, \dots, \beta_m &\in \mathfrak{h}_{s^\#}^-, \quad v_1, \dots, v_m \in \mathbb{C}^q, \end{aligned}$$

the Gram matrix of the system of vvf's $\{ \Phi_{\alpha_j} u_j, \Phi_{\beta_k} v_k, 1 \leq j \leq n, 1 \leq k \leq m \}$

$$(2.61) \quad G = \begin{bmatrix} \langle \Phi_{\alpha_i} u_i, \Phi_{\alpha_j} u_j \rangle_{\mathfrak{D}(s)} & \langle \Phi_{\alpha_i} u_i, \Phi_{\beta_l} v_l \rangle_{\mathfrak{D}(s)} \\ \langle \Phi_{\beta_k} v_k, \Phi_{\alpha_j} u_j \rangle_{\mathfrak{D}(s)} & \langle \Phi_{\beta_k} v_k, \Phi_{\beta_l} v_l \rangle_{\mathfrak{D}(s)} \end{bmatrix}$$

takes the form

$$(2.62) \quad G = \begin{bmatrix} u_j^* \Lambda_{\alpha_i}(\alpha_j) u_i & v_l^* \frac{s(\alpha_i)^* - s^\#(\beta_l)}{\rho_{\alpha_i}(\beta_l)} u_i \\ u_j^* \frac{s(\alpha_j) - s^\#(\beta_k)^*}{\rho_{\beta_k}(\alpha_j)} v_k & v_l^* \mathbf{L}_{\beta_k}(\beta_l) v_k \end{bmatrix};$$

- (3) the negative index of $\mathfrak{D}(s)$ is equal κ

$$(2.63) \quad \text{ind}_- \mathfrak{D}(s) = \kappa.$$

Proof. If $f \in \mathfrak{D}(s)$, $\alpha \in \mathfrak{h}_s^+$ and $u \in \mathbb{C}^p$, then, in view of Remark 2.20,

$$\begin{aligned}
 \langle f, \Phi_\alpha u \rangle_{\mathfrak{D}(s)} &= \left\langle (I_m + \Delta_s \begin{bmatrix} 0 & \Gamma_\ell \\ \Gamma_r & 0 \end{bmatrix}) f, \frac{1}{\rho_\alpha} \begin{bmatrix} u \\ s(\alpha)^* u \end{bmatrix} \right\rangle_{st} \\
 &= \left\langle (I_p - s\Gamma_r) f^+, \frac{u}{\rho_\alpha} \right\rangle_{st} + \left\langle \Gamma_r f^+, \frac{s(\alpha)^* u}{\rho_\alpha} \right\rangle_{st} \\
 &= u^* (f^+ - s\Gamma_r f^+) (\alpha) + u^* s(\alpha) (\Gamma_r f^+) (\alpha) \\
 &= u^* f^+ (\alpha).
 \end{aligned}
 \tag{2.64}$$

Similarly, if $\beta \in \mathfrak{h}_{s^\#}^-$ and $v \in \mathbb{C}^q$, then, in view of (2.54)–(2.56),

$$\begin{aligned}
 \langle f, \Phi_\beta v \rangle_{\mathfrak{D}(s)} &= \left\langle (I_m + \Delta_s \begin{bmatrix} 0 & \Gamma_\ell \\ \Gamma_r & 0 \end{bmatrix}) f, -\frac{1}{\rho_\beta} \begin{bmatrix} s^\#(\beta)^* v \\ v \end{bmatrix} \right\rangle_{st} \\
 &= \left\langle f^- - s^* \Gamma_\ell f^-, -\frac{v}{\rho_\beta} \right\rangle_{st} + \left\langle \Gamma_\ell f^-, \frac{-s^\#(\beta)^* v}{\rho_\beta} \right\rangle_{st} \\
 &= v^* (f^- - s^\# \Gamma_\ell f^-) (\beta) + v^* s^\#(\beta) (\Gamma_\ell f^-) (\beta) \\
 &= v^* f^- (\beta).
 \end{aligned}
 \tag{2.65}$$

Thus, if $f \in \mathfrak{D}(s)$ is orthogonal to $\text{span } \{\mathfrak{D}^+, \mathfrak{D}^-\}$, then

$$f(\mu) = 0 \quad \text{a.e. on } \Omega_0,$$

thanks to (2.64) and (2.65).

The entries in the matrix (2.61) are now easily calculated from the entries in the matrix (2.62) with the help of the evaluations (2.64) and (2.65) and formula (2.56).

Finally, since $s \in \mathcal{S}_\kappa^{p \times q}(\Omega_+)$ the kernel $\Lambda_\omega^s(\lambda)$ has κ negative squares on $\mathfrak{h}_s^+$, and hence there is a choice of $\alpha_j \in \mathfrak{h}_s^+$, $u_j \in \mathbb{C}^p$ ($1 \leq j \leq n$), such that the Gram matrix

$$[\langle \Phi_{\alpha_i} u_i, \Phi_{\alpha_j} u_j \rangle_{\mathfrak{D}(s)}]_{i,j=1}^n$$

has exactly κ negative eigenvalues. Thus, $\text{ind}_- \mathfrak{D}(s) \geq \kappa$. On the other hand, $\text{ind}_- \mathfrak{D}(s) \leq \kappa$, by Remark 2.19. This proves (2.63). $\square$

Lemma 2.22. *Let $s \in \mathcal{S}_\kappa^{p \times q}(\Omega_+)$ and let $f = \text{col}(f^+, f^-) \in \mathfrak{D}(s)$. Then:*

(1) $f^+ \in \mathcal{K}(s)$ and

$$\langle f^+, f^+ \rangle_{\mathcal{K}(s)} \leq \langle f, f \rangle_{\mathfrak{D}(s)}; \tag{2.66}$$

(2) $f^- \in \mathcal{K}_*(s)$ and

$$\langle f^-, f^- \rangle_{\mathcal{K}_*(s)} \leq \langle f, f \rangle_{\mathfrak{D}(s)}. \tag{2.67}$$

Proof. Since $f \in \mathfrak{D}(s)$, there exists a measurable vvf $h = \text{col}(h_1, h_2)$ with components h_1 of height p and h_2 of height q such that

$$f(\mu) = \begin{bmatrix} f^+(\mu) \\ f^-(\mu) \end{bmatrix} = \Delta_s(\mu) h(\mu) = \begin{bmatrix} h_1(\mu) - s(\mu) h_2(\mu) \\ -s(\mu)^* h_1(\mu) + h_2(\mu) \end{bmatrix} \quad (\Omega_0 - \text{a.e.}).$$

The decomposition

$$\Delta_s(\mu) = \begin{bmatrix} I_p \\ -s(\mu)^* \end{bmatrix} [I_p, -s(\mu)] + \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 0 & I_q - s(\mu)^* s(\mu) \end{bmatrix}$$

implies that if $f \in \mathfrak{D}(s)$, then

$$(I - s^* s)^{1/2} h_2 \in L_2^q$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}
 (2.68) \quad \langle f, f \rangle_{\mathfrak{D}(s)} &= \langle \Delta_s h, h \rangle_{st} + \left\langle \begin{bmatrix} 0 & \Gamma_\ell \\ \Gamma_r & 0 \end{bmatrix} \Delta_s h, \Delta_s h \right\rangle_{st} \\
 &= \|f^+\|_{st}^2 + \langle (I_q - s^* s) h_2, h_2 \rangle_{st} + 2\Re \langle \Gamma_r f^+, f^- \rangle_{st}.
 \end{aligned}$$

Let

$$\alpha_\varphi(f^+) = \|f^+ - s\Gamma_r f^+ + s_r \varphi\|_{st}^2 - \|\Gamma_r f^+\|_{st}^2 - \|\varphi\|_{st}^2$$

for $\varphi \in H_2^q$. Then

$$\begin{aligned}
 (2.69) \quad \|f^+ - s\Gamma_r f^+ + s_r \varphi\|_{st}^2 &= \|f^+ - s\Gamma_r f^+\|_{st}^2 + \|s_r \varphi\|_{st}^2 \\
 &\quad + 2\Re \langle f^+ - s\Gamma_r f^+, s_r \varphi \rangle_{st},
 \end{aligned}$$

and, as $f^- \in b_r(H_2^q)^\perp$, $\Gamma_r f^+ \in \mathcal{H}(b_r)$ and $b_r \varphi \in b_r H_2^q$,

$$\begin{aligned}
 (2.70) \quad \langle f^+ - s\Gamma_r f^+, s_r \varphi \rangle_{st} &= \langle h_1 - s h_2, s_r \varphi \rangle_{st} - \langle s\Gamma_r f^+, s_r \varphi \rangle_{st} \\
 &= \langle s^* h_1 - h_2, b_r \varphi \rangle_{st} + \langle (I_q - s^* s) h_2, b_r \varphi \rangle_{st} - \langle s^* s \Gamma_r f^+, b_r \varphi \rangle_{st} \\
 &= \langle (I_q - s^* s)(h_2 + \Gamma_r f^+), b_r \varphi \rangle_{st}.
 \end{aligned}$$

Therefore,

$$\begin{aligned}
 (2.71) \quad \alpha_\varphi(f^+) &= \|f^+ - s\Gamma_r f^+\|_{st}^2 - \|\Gamma_r f^+\|_{st}^2 + \|s_r \varphi\|_{st}^2 - \|\varphi\|_{st}^2 \\
 &\quad + 2\Re \langle (I_q - s^* s)(h_2 + \Gamma_r f^+), b_r \varphi \rangle_{st} \\
 &= \|f^+\|_{st}^2 - \langle (I_q - s^* s) \Gamma_r f^+, \Gamma_r f^+ \rangle_{st} - \langle (I_q - s^* s) b_r \varphi, b_r \varphi \rangle_{st} \\
 &\quad + 2\Re \langle (I_q - s^* s)(h_2 + \Gamma_r f^+), b_r \varphi \rangle_{st} - 2\Re \langle s\Gamma_r f^+, f^+ \rangle_{st},
 \end{aligned}$$

and hence,

$$\begin{aligned}
 (2.72) \quad \langle f, f \rangle_{\mathfrak{D}(s)} - \alpha_\varphi(f^+) &= \langle (I_q - s^* s) h_2, h_2 \rangle + \langle (I_q - s^* s) b_r \varphi, b_r \varphi \rangle_{st} \\
 &\quad + \langle (I_q - s^* s) \Gamma_r f^+, \Gamma_r f^+ \rangle_{st} \\
 &\quad - 2\Re \langle (I_q - s^* s)(\Gamma_r f^+ + h_2), b_r \varphi \rangle_{st} \\
 &\quad + 2\Re \{ \langle \Gamma_r f^+, h_2 - s^* h_1 \rangle_{st} + \langle \Gamma_r f^+, s^*(h_1 - s h_2) \rangle_{st} \} \\
 &= \langle (I_q - s^* s)(h_2 + \Gamma_r f^+ - b_r \varphi), (h_2 + \Gamma_r f^+ - b_r \varphi) \rangle_{st} \\
 &\geq 0.
 \end{aligned}$$

Therefore, by Theorem 2.10, $f^+ \in \mathcal{K}(s)$ and (2.66) holds.

The proof of the second set of assertions is similar. $\square$

2.5. The space $\widehat{\mathfrak{D}}(s)$. Let $s \in \mathcal{S}_\kappa^{p \times q}(\Omega_+)$ and let the kernel $D_\omega^s(\lambda)$ be defined on $(\mathfrak{h}_s^+ \cup \mathfrak{h}_{s\#}^-) \times (\mathfrak{h}_s^+ \cup \mathfrak{h}_{s\#}^-)$ by the formulas

$$(2.73) \quad D_\omega^s(\lambda) = \begin{cases} \Lambda_\omega^s(\lambda) & \text{if } (\lambda, \omega) \in \mathfrak{h}_s^+ \times \mathfrak{h}_s^+, \\ \frac{s^\#(\lambda) - s(\omega)^*}{-\rho_\omega(\lambda)} & \text{if } (\lambda, \omega) \in \mathfrak{h}_{s\#}^- \times \mathfrak{h}_s^+, \end{cases}$$

$$(2.74) \quad D_\omega^s(\lambda) = \begin{cases} \frac{s(\lambda) - s^\#(\omega)^*}{\rho_\omega(\lambda)} & \text{if } (\lambda, \omega) \in \mathfrak{h}_s^+ \times \mathfrak{h}_{s\#}^-, \\ \frac{L_\omega^s(\lambda)}{\rho_\omega(\lambda)} & \text{if } (\lambda, \omega) \in \mathfrak{h}_{s\#}^- \times \mathfrak{h}_{s\#}^-. \end{cases}$$

It will be shown below that the kernel $D_\omega^s(\lambda)$ has a finite number of negative squares and that the corresponding reproducing kernel Pontryagin space $\widehat{\mathfrak{D}}(s)$ is unitarily equivalent to $\mathfrak{D}(s)$.

Theorem 2.23. *Let $s \in \mathcal{S}_\kappa^{p \times q}$. Then:*

- (1) *The kernel $D_\omega^s(\lambda)$ has a finite number of negative squares on $\mathfrak{h}_s^+ \times \mathfrak{h}_{s\#}^-$;*
- (2) *The de Branges-Rovnyak space $\mathfrak{D}(s)$ is unitarily equivalent to the reproducing kernel space $\widehat{\mathfrak{D}}(s)$ via the mapping*

$$(2.75) \quad U : f = \begin{bmatrix} f^+ \\ f^- \end{bmatrix} \mapsto \widehat{f} \in \widehat{\mathfrak{D}}(s),$$

where $\widehat{f}|_{\Omega_+}$ is the meromorphic continuation of f^+ to $\mathfrak{h}_s^+$, and $\widehat{f}|_{\Omega_-}$ is the meromorphic continuation of f^- to $\mathfrak{h}_{s\#}^-$ such that $f^\pm$ are nontangential limits of $\widehat{f}|_{\Omega_\pm}$ from $\Omega_\pm$.

Proof. For every choice of $\alpha_i, \beta_j, u_i, v_j$ as in (2.60) the Gram matrix in (2.61) coincides with the matrix

$$G = \begin{bmatrix} u_j^* D_{\alpha_i}^s(\alpha_j) u_i & v_l^* D_{\alpha_i}^s(\beta_l) u_i \\ u_j^* D_{\beta_k}^s(\alpha_j) v_k & v_l^* D_{\beta_k}^s(\beta_l) v_k \end{bmatrix}.$$

Therefore, the first statement of the theorem is implied by Lemma 2.21.

Next, it follows from (2.73) and (2.56) that the restriction of U to the subspace $\mathfrak{D}^+$ is given by

$$(2.76) \quad U : \Phi_\alpha u \mapsto D_\alpha^s u$$

and maps $\mathfrak{D}^+$ onto

$$\widehat{\mathfrak{D}}^+ := \{ D_\alpha^s u : \alpha \in \mathfrak{h}_s^+, u \in \mathbb{C}^p \}.$$

Similarly, the formulas (2.73) and (2.41) show that U maps the subspace $\mathfrak{D}^-$ onto

$$\widehat{\mathfrak{D}}^- := \{ D_\beta^s v : \beta \in \mathfrak{h}_{s\#}^-, v \in \mathbb{C}^q \}.$$

Moreover, the restriction of U to $\mathfrak{D}^+ + \mathfrak{D}^-$ is isometric by the definition of the kernel D_ω^s , since

$$(2.77) \quad \langle D_{\omega_j}^s u_j, D_{\omega_k}^s u_k \rangle_{\widehat{\mathfrak{D}}(s)} = u_k^* D_{\omega_j}^s(\omega_k) u_j = \langle \Phi_{\omega_j} u_j, \Phi_{\omega_k} u_k \rangle_{\mathfrak{D}(s)}$$

for every choice of ω_j, u_j such as in (2.60). Since the sets $\mathfrak{D}^+ + \mathfrak{D}^-$ and $\widehat{\mathfrak{D}}^+ + \widehat{\mathfrak{D}}^-$ are dense in $\mathfrak{D}(s)$ and $\widehat{\mathfrak{D}}(s)$, respectively, this proves the second statement. $\square$

3. THE CLASS $\mathcal{U}_\kappa(j_{pq})$ AND THE BASIC THEOREM

3.1. The class $\mathcal{U}_\kappa(J)$ and the space $\mathcal{K}(W)$. If $W \in \mathcal{U}_\kappa(J)$, then assumption (ii) in the definition of $\mathcal{U}_\kappa(J)$ guarantees that $W(\lambda)$ is invertible in Ω_+ except for an isolated set of points. Define W in Ω_- by the formula

$$(3.1) \quad W(\lambda) = JW^\#(\lambda)^{-1}J = JW(\lambda^\circ)^{-*}J \quad \text{if } \lambda^\circ \in \mathfrak{h}_W^+ \text{ and } \det W(\lambda^\circ) \neq 0.$$

Recall [22], [28], [8], that a mvf $\widetilde{f}$ of bounded type in Ω_- is said to be a pseudocontinuation of a mvf f of bounded type in Ω_+ , if $f(\zeta) = \widetilde{f}(\zeta)$ a.e. on Ω_0 . Since W is of bounded type both in Ω_+ and in Ω_- , the nontangential limits

$$W_\pm(\mu) = \angle \lim_{\lambda \rightarrow \mu} \{W(\lambda) : \lambda \in \Omega_\pm\}$$

exist a.e. on Ω_0 ; and assumption (ii) in the definition of $\mathcal{U}_\kappa(J)$ implies that the nontangential limits $W_\pm(\mu)$ coincide a.e. in Ω_0 , that is $W|_{\Omega_-}$ is a pseudocontinuation of $W|_{\Omega_+}$. If $W(\lambda)$ is

rational this extension is meromorphic on $\mathbb{C}$. Formula (3.1) implies that $W(\lambda)$ is holomorphic and invertible in

$$(3.2) \quad \Omega_W := \mathfrak{h}_W \cap \mathfrak{h}_{W^\#}.$$

Let $W \in \mathcal{U}_\kappa(J)$ and let $\mathcal{K}(W)$ be the reproducing kernel Pontryagin space associated with the kernel $K_\omega^W(\lambda)$. The kernel $K_\omega^W(\lambda)$ extended to Ω_W by the equality (3.1) has the same number κ of negative squares. This fact is due to a generalization of the Ginzburg inequality [2, Theorem 2.5.2].

3.2. Admissibility and linear fractional transformations.

Definition 3.1. [4] *A mvf $X(\lambda)$ that is meromorphic in Ω_+ is said to be $(\Omega_+, J)_\kappa$ -admissible if the kernel*

$$\frac{X(\lambda)JX(\omega)^*}{\rho_\omega(\lambda)}$$

has κ negative squares on $\mathfrak{h}_X^+$, the domain of holomorphy of X in Ω_+ .

Lemma 3.2. *Let $\varphi(\lambda)$ and $\psi(\lambda)$ be $p \times p$ and $p \times q$ meromorphic mvf's in Ω_+ and assume that:*

- (i) *the mvf $X(\lambda) = [\varphi(\lambda) \ \psi(\lambda)]$ is $(\Omega_+, j_{pq})_\kappa$ -admissible;*
- (ii) *$\ker X(\lambda)^* = \{0\}$ for all $\lambda \in \mathfrak{h}_X^+$.*

Then:

- (1) *$\varphi(\lambda)$ is invertible for all $\lambda \in \mathfrak{h}_X^+$ except for at most κ points;*
- (2) *The mvf $\varepsilon(\lambda) = -\varphi(\lambda)^{-1}\psi(\lambda)$ belongs to $\mathcal{S}_\kappa^{p \times q}$.*

Proof. Let $\omega_1, \dots, \omega_n$ be n distinct points in $\mathfrak{h}_X^+$ such that $\varphi(\omega_j)^*u_j = 0$ for some nonzero vectors $u_j \in \mathbb{C}^p$, $j = 1, \dots, n$. Since $\ker X(\lambda)^* = \{0\}$ this implies that

$$(3.3) \quad v_j := \psi(\omega_j)^*u_j \neq 0 \quad \text{for } j = 1, \dots, n,$$

and hence that the matrix

$$(3.4) \quad \begin{aligned} G &= \left[u_k^* \frac{X(\omega_k)j_{pq}X(\omega_j)^*}{\rho_{\omega_j}(\omega_k)} u_j \right]_{j,k=1}^n \\ &= \left[u_k^* \frac{\varphi(\omega_k)\varphi(\omega_j)^* - \psi(\omega_k)\psi(\omega_j)^*}{\rho_{\omega_j}(\omega_k)} u_j \right]_{j,k=1}^n \\ &= - \left[\frac{v_k^* v_j}{\rho_{\omega_j}(\omega_k)} \right]_{j,k=1}^n \\ &= - \left[\langle v_j / \rho_{\omega_j}, v_k / \rho_{\omega_k} \rangle_{st} \right]_{j,k=1}^n \end{aligned}$$

differs in sign from the Gram matrix of a system $\{v_j / \rho_{\omega_j}\}_{j=1}^n$ of linearly independent vectors in H_2^p . Therefore, $\nu_-(G) = n \leq \kappa$, since G has at most κ negative eigenvalues, by assumption.

Suppose next that $\omega_1, \dots, \omega_n$ and $u_1, \dots, u_n$ are chosen so that $\nu_-(G) = \kappa$. Then, by perturbing these points slightly, if necessary, one can insure that the matrices $\varphi(\omega_1), \dots, \varphi(\omega_n)$ are all invertible, $\nu_-(G) = \kappa$ and

$$G = \left[u_k^* \varphi(\omega_k) \frac{I_q - \varepsilon(\omega_k)\varepsilon(\omega_j)^*}{\rho_{\omega_j}(\omega_k)} \varphi(\omega_j)^* u_j \right]_{j,k=1}^n.$$

The $(\Omega_+, j_{pq})_\kappa$ -admissibility of X implies that $\varepsilon \in \mathcal{S}_\kappa^{p \times q}$. □

Remark 3.3. The assumption (ii) in Lemma 3.2 can be relaxed by invoking a version of Leech's theorem that is valid in Pontryagin spaces; see e.g., [3] for the latter.

Let

$$(3.5) \quad T_W[\varepsilon] := (w_{11}(\lambda)\varepsilon(\lambda) + w_{12}(\lambda))(w_{21}(\lambda)\varepsilon(\lambda) + w_{22}(\lambda))^{-1}$$

denote the linear fractional transformation of a mvf $\varepsilon \in \mathcal{S}_{\kappa_2}^{p \times q}$ ($\kappa_2 \in \mathbb{Z}_+$) based on the block decomposition

$$(3.6) \quad W(\lambda) = \begin{bmatrix} w_{11}(\lambda) & w_{12}(\lambda) \\ w_{21}(\lambda) & w_{22}(\lambda) \end{bmatrix}$$

of a mvf $W \in \mathcal{U}_{\kappa}(j_{pq})$ with blocks $w_{11}(\lambda)$ and $w_{22}(\lambda)$ of sizes $p \times p$ and $q \times q$, respectively. Let Ω_W be defined by (3.2) and let

$$(3.7) \quad \Lambda = \{\lambda \in \Omega_W \cap \mathfrak{h}_{\varepsilon}^+ : \det(w_{21}(\lambda)\varepsilon(\lambda) + w_{22}(\lambda)) = 0\}.$$

The transformation $T_W[\varepsilon]$ is well defined for $\lambda \in (\Omega_W \cap \mathfrak{h}_{\varepsilon}^+) \setminus \Lambda$.

Lemma 3.4. *Let $W \in \mathcal{U}_{\kappa_1}(j_{pq})$, $\varepsilon \in \mathcal{S}_{\kappa_2}^{p \times q}$ and let Λ be defined by (3.7). Then*

$$(3.8) \quad \Lambda = \{\lambda \in \Omega_W \cap \mathfrak{h}_{\varepsilon}^+ : \det(w_{11}^{\#}(\lambda) + \varepsilon(\lambda)w_{12}^{\#}(\lambda)) = 0\}$$

and:

(1) $T_W[\varepsilon]$ admits the supplementary representation

$$(3.9) \quad T_W[\varepsilon] = (w_{11}^{\#}(\lambda) + \varepsilon(\lambda)w_{12}^{\#}(\lambda))^{-1}(w_{21}^{\#}(\lambda) + \varepsilon(\lambda)w_{22}^{\#}(\lambda)) \quad \lambda \in (\Omega_W \cap \mathfrak{h}_{\varepsilon}^+) \setminus \Lambda;$$

(2) Λ consists of at most $\kappa_1 + \kappa_2$ points and $T_W[\varepsilon] \in \mathcal{S}_{\kappa'}^{p \times q}$ with $\kappa' \leq \kappa_2 + \kappa_1$;

(3) The following equalities hold:

$$(3.10) \quad \begin{aligned} \Omega_W \cap \mathfrak{h}_{\varepsilon}^+ \cap \mathfrak{h}_s^+ &= (\Omega_W \cap \mathfrak{h}_{\varepsilon}^+) \setminus \Lambda, \quad \Omega_W \cap \mathfrak{h}_{\varepsilon\#}^- \cap \mathfrak{h}_{s\#}^- = (\Omega_W \cap \mathfrak{h}_{\varepsilon\#}^-) \setminus \Lambda^{\circ}, \\ \Lambda^{\circ} &= \{\lambda \in \Omega_W \cap \mathfrak{h}_{\varepsilon\#}^- : \det(w_{11}(\lambda)\varepsilon(\lambda) + w_{12}(\lambda)) = 0\} \\ &= \{\lambda \in \Omega_W \cap \mathfrak{h}_{\varepsilon\#}^- : \det(w_{21}^{\#}(\lambda) + \varepsilon(\lambda)w_{22}^{\#}(\lambda)) = 0\}. \end{aligned}$$

Proof. (1) The identity

$$\begin{bmatrix} I_p & \varepsilon(\lambda) \end{bmatrix} W^{\#}(\lambda) j_{pq} W(\lambda) \begin{bmatrix} \varepsilon(\lambda) \\ I_q \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} I_p & \varepsilon(\lambda) \end{bmatrix} j_{pq} \begin{bmatrix} \varepsilon(\lambda) \\ I_q \end{bmatrix} = 0$$

implies that for all $\lambda \in \Omega_W \cap \mathfrak{h}_{\varepsilon}^+$

$$(3.11) \quad \begin{aligned} &(w_{21}^{\#}(\lambda) + \varepsilon(\lambda)w_{22}^{\#}(\lambda))(w_{21}(\lambda)\varepsilon(\lambda) + w_{22}(\lambda)) \\ &= (w_{11}^{\#}(\lambda) + \varepsilon(\lambda)w_{12}^{\#}(\lambda))(w_{11}(\lambda)\varepsilon(\lambda) + w_{12}(\lambda)). \end{aligned}$$

Thus, if $(w_{21}(\lambda)\varepsilon(\lambda) + w_{22}(\lambda))\eta = 0$ for some vector $\eta \neq 0$, then

$$(3.12) \quad \xi = (w_{11}(\lambda)\varepsilon(\lambda) + w_{12}(\lambda))\eta \neq 0$$

since $W(\lambda)$ is invertible for all $\lambda \in \Omega_W$. It follows from (3.11) that

$$(3.13) \quad (w_{11}^{\#}(\lambda) + \varepsilon(\lambda)w_{12}^{\#}(\lambda))\xi = 0.$$

Therefore,

$$\Lambda \subseteq \{\lambda \in \Omega_W \cap \mathfrak{h}_{\varepsilon}^+ : \det(w_{11}^{\#}(\lambda) + \varepsilon(\lambda)w_{12}^{\#}(\lambda)) = 0\}.$$

A similar argument shows that the opposite inclusion is also valid. Therefore, the two sets are equal. The identity (3.9) is immediate from (3.11).

(2) Next, let

$$X(\lambda) = \begin{bmatrix} \varphi(\lambda) & \psi(\lambda) \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} I_p & \varepsilon(\lambda) \end{bmatrix} W^{\#}(\lambda).$$

Then the formula

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{X(\lambda)j_{pq}X(\omega)^*}{\rho_\omega(\lambda)} &= \frac{1}{\rho_\omega(\lambda)} [I_p \quad \varepsilon(\lambda)] W^\#(\lambda)j_{pq}W^\#(\omega)^* \begin{bmatrix} I_p \\ \varepsilon(\omega)^* \end{bmatrix} \\ &= [I_p \quad -\varepsilon(\lambda)] W(\lambda)^{-1}\mathbf{K}_\omega^W(\lambda)W(\omega)^{-*} \begin{bmatrix} I_p \\ -\varepsilon(\omega)^* \end{bmatrix} + \Lambda_\omega^\varepsilon(\lambda) \end{aligned}$$

implies that $X(\lambda)$ is $(\Omega_+, j_{pq})_{\kappa'}$ admissible with $\kappa' \leq \kappa_1 + \kappa_2$, thanks to Theorem 2.8. Clearly, condition (ii) in Lemma 3.2 is also satisfied, and, by Lemma 3.2,

$$\varphi(\lambda) = w_{11}^\#(\lambda) + \varepsilon(\lambda)w_{12}^\#(\lambda)$$

is invertible for all $\lambda \in \Omega_W \cap \mathfrak{h}_\varepsilon^+$ except for at most κ' points, and the mvf $s(\lambda) := T_W[\varepsilon](\lambda)$ given by (3.9) belongs to $\mathcal{S}_{\kappa'}^{p \times q}$ with $\kappa' \leq \kappa_1 + \kappa_2$.

(3) If $\lambda \in \Lambda$ then it follows from (3.12) and (3.13) that λ is a pole of s . This proves the inclusion

$$\Omega_W \cap \mathfrak{h}_\varepsilon^+ \cap \mathfrak{h}_s^+ \subseteq (\Omega_W \cap \mathfrak{h}_\varepsilon^+) \setminus \Lambda,$$

and hence the first of the equalities in (3.10), since the converse is obvious. The proof of the second one is similar. The last equality is implied by (3.11). $\square$

The next theorem characterizes the range of the linear fractional transform T_W in terms of admissibility; it extends a result of de Branges and Rovnyak to an indefinite setting.

Theorem 3.5. *Let $s \in \mathcal{S}_{\kappa}^{p \times q}(\Omega_+)$ and let $W \in \mathcal{U}_{\kappa_1}(j_{pq})$ with $\kappa_1 \leq \kappa$. Then $s \in T_W[\mathcal{S}_{\kappa-\kappa_1}^{p \times q}]$ if and only if $[I_p \quad -s] W$ is $(\Omega_+, j_{pq})_{\kappa-\kappa_1}$ -admissible.*

Proof. If $s = T_W[\varepsilon]$ for some $\varepsilon \in \mathcal{S}_{\kappa-\kappa_1}^{p \times q}$ and $\lambda \in \Omega_W \cap \mathfrak{h}_\varepsilon^+$, then, in view of (3.9),

$$\begin{aligned} (3.14) \quad [I_p \quad -s(\lambda)] W(\lambda) &= (w_{11}^\# + \varepsilon w_{12}^\#)^{-1} [w_{11}^\# + \varepsilon w_{12}^\# \quad w_{21}^\# + \varepsilon w_{22}^\#] j_{pq} W(\lambda) \\ &= (w_{11}^\# + \varepsilon w_{12}^\#)^{-1} [I_p \quad \varepsilon(\lambda)] W^\#(\lambda) j_{pq} W(\lambda) \\ &= (w_{11}^\# + \varepsilon w_{12}^\#)^{-1} [I_p \quad -\varepsilon(\lambda)]. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore, the mvf $[I_p \quad -s(\lambda)] W(\lambda)$ is $(\Omega_+, j_{pq})_{\kappa-\kappa_1}$ -admissible.

Conversely, if the mvf

$$[I_p \quad -s(\lambda)] W(\lambda) = [w_{11}(\lambda) - s(\lambda)w_{21}(\lambda) \quad w_{12}(\lambda) - s(\lambda)w_{22}(\lambda)]$$

is $(\Omega_+, j_{pq})_{\kappa-\kappa_1}$ -admissible, then, by Lemma 3.2, there is a mvf $\varepsilon \in \mathcal{S}_{\kappa-\kappa_1}^{p \times q}$ such that

$$w_{12}(\lambda) - s(\lambda)w_{22}(\lambda) = -[w_{11}(\lambda) - s(\lambda)w_{21}(\lambda)]\varepsilon(\lambda).$$

Thus, $s = T_W[\varepsilon]$, i.e., $s \in T_W[\mathcal{S}_{\kappa-\kappa_1}^{p \times q}]$. $\square$

3.3. Proof of Theorem 1.1. Since the boundary values $s(\mu)$ of a mvf $s \in \mathcal{S}_{\kappa}^{p \times q}$ exist a.e. on Ω_0 , the mvf $\Delta_s(\mu)$ may be defined a.e. on Ω_0 by formula (2.51) for such s .

Proof. Necessity. Let $s = T_W[\varepsilon]$ for some mvf $\varepsilon \in \mathcal{S}_{\kappa_2}^{p \times q}$, ($\kappa = \kappa_1 + \kappa_2$) and let

$$(3.15) \quad \Delta(\lambda) = \begin{cases} \Delta_+(\lambda) = [I_p \quad -s(\lambda)] & \text{if } \lambda \in \mathfrak{h}_s^+, \\ \Delta_-(\lambda) = [-s^\#(\lambda) \quad I_q] & \text{if } \lambda \in \mathfrak{h}_{s^\#}^- \end{cases}$$

and

$$(3.16) \quad R(\lambda) = \begin{cases} (w_{11}^\#(\lambda) + \varepsilon(\lambda)w_{12}^\#(\lambda))^{-1} & \text{if } \lambda \in \Omega_W \cap \mathfrak{h}_\varepsilon^+ \setminus \Lambda, \\ (\varepsilon^\#(\lambda)w_{21}^\#(\lambda) + w_{22}^\#(\lambda))^{-1} & \text{if } \lambda \in \Omega_W \cap \mathfrak{h}_{\varepsilon^\#}^- \setminus \Lambda^\circ. \end{cases}$$

Then, since

$$(3.17) \quad \Delta_+(\lambda)W(\lambda) = R(\lambda) \begin{bmatrix} I_p & -\varepsilon(\lambda) \end{bmatrix} \quad \text{for } \lambda \in \Omega_W \cap \mathfrak{h}_\varepsilon^+ \cap \mathfrak{h}_s^+$$

by (3.14), (3.17) implies that

$$(3.18) \quad \begin{aligned} \Lambda_\omega^s(\lambda) &= \begin{bmatrix} I_p & -s(\lambda) \end{bmatrix} \frac{j_{pq}}{\rho_\omega(\lambda)} \begin{bmatrix} I_p \\ -s(\omega)^* \end{bmatrix} \\ &= \Delta_+(\lambda) \frac{j_{pq} - W(\lambda)j_{pq}W(\omega)^*}{\rho_\omega(\lambda)} \Delta_+(\omega)^* + \Delta_+(\lambda) \frac{W(\lambda)j_{pq}W(\omega)^*}{\rho_\omega(\lambda)} \Delta_+(\omega)^* \\ &= \Delta_+(\lambda) \mathbf{K}_\omega^W(\lambda) \Delta_+(\omega)^* + R(\lambda) \Lambda_\omega^\varepsilon(\lambda) R(\omega)^* \quad \text{for } \lambda \in \Omega_W \cap \mathfrak{h}_\varepsilon^+ \cap \mathfrak{h}_s^+. \end{aligned}$$

Similarly, (3.5) implies that

$$(3.19) \quad \begin{aligned} \Delta_-(\lambda) W(\lambda) &= - \begin{bmatrix} s^\#(\lambda) & I_q \end{bmatrix} j_{pq} W(\lambda) \\ &= -(\varepsilon^\# w_{21}^\# + w_{22}^\#)^{-1} \begin{bmatrix} \varepsilon^\# w_{11}^\# + w_{12}^\# & \varepsilon^\# w_{21}^\# + w_{22}^\# \end{bmatrix} j_{pq} W(\lambda) \\ &= -(\varepsilon^\# w_{21}^\# + w_{22}^\#)^{-1} \begin{bmatrix} \varepsilon^\# & I_q \end{bmatrix} W^\#(\lambda) j_{pq} W(\lambda) \\ &= R(\lambda) \begin{bmatrix} -\varepsilon^\#(\lambda) & I_q \end{bmatrix} \quad \text{for } \lambda \in \Omega_W \cap \mathfrak{h}_{\varepsilon^\#}^- \cap \mathfrak{h}_{s^\#}^-. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore,

$$(3.20) \quad \begin{aligned} \frac{s^\#(\lambda) - s(\omega)^*}{-\rho_\omega(\lambda)} &= \begin{bmatrix} -s^\#(\lambda) & I_q \end{bmatrix} \frac{j_{pq}}{\rho_\omega(\lambda)} \begin{bmatrix} I_p \\ -s(\omega)^* \end{bmatrix} \\ &= \Delta_-(\lambda) \mathbf{K}_\omega^W(\lambda) \Delta_+(\omega)^* + R(\lambda) \frac{\varepsilon^\#(\lambda) - \varepsilon(\omega)^*}{-\rho_\omega(\lambda)} R(\omega)^* \end{aligned}$$

for $\lambda \in \Omega_W \cap \mathfrak{h}_{\varepsilon^\#}^- \cap \mathfrak{h}_{s^\#}^-$ and $\omega \in \Omega_W \cap \mathfrak{h}_\varepsilon^+ \cap \mathfrak{h}_s^+$.

In much the same way, (3.19) implies that

$$(3.21) \quad \begin{aligned} \mathbf{L}_\omega^s(\lambda) &= \begin{bmatrix} -s^\#(\lambda) & I_q \end{bmatrix} \frac{j_{pq}}{\rho_\omega(\lambda)} \begin{bmatrix} -s^\#(\omega)^* \\ I_q \end{bmatrix} \\ &= \Delta_-(\lambda) \mathbf{K}_\omega^W(\lambda) \Delta_-(\omega)^* + R(\lambda) \mathbf{L}_\omega^\varepsilon(\lambda) R(\omega)^* \end{aligned}$$

for $\lambda, \omega \in \Omega_W \cap \mathfrak{h}_{\varepsilon^\#}^- \cap \mathfrak{h}_{s^\#}^-$. Thus, it follows from (2.73), (2.74), (3.18)–(3.21) that

$$(3.22) \quad \mathbf{D}_\omega^s(\lambda) = \Delta(\lambda) \mathbf{K}_\omega^W(\lambda) \Delta(\omega)^* + R(\lambda) \mathbf{D}_\omega^\varepsilon(\lambda) R(\omega)^*$$

for all $\lambda, \omega \in \Omega_W \cap (\mathfrak{h}_\varepsilon^+ \cup \mathfrak{h}_{\varepsilon^\#}^-) \cap (\mathfrak{h}_s^+ \cup \mathfrak{h}_{s^\#}^-)$.

Applying Theorems 2.8 and 2.9 to the identity (3.22) and using the fact that the number $\text{sq}_- \mathbf{D}_\omega^s(\lambda)$ coincides with the sum of the numbers of negative squares of the kernels on the right hand side of (3.22) one obtains the following decomposition of the space $\widehat{\mathfrak{D}}(s)$

$$(3.23) \quad \widehat{\mathfrak{D}}(s) = \Delta(\lambda) \mathcal{K}(W) + R(\lambda) \widehat{\mathfrak{D}}(\varepsilon)$$

where the mapping $f \mapsto \Delta f$ from $\mathcal{K}(W)$ into $\widehat{\mathfrak{D}}(s)$ is a contraction. The necessity part of the theorem now follows from Definition 2.17 and Theorem 2.23, since the mapping $f \mapsto \Delta_s f$ from $\mathcal{K}(W)$ into $\mathfrak{D}(s)$ is the composition of the mapping $\Delta : \mathcal{K}(W) \rightarrow \widehat{\mathfrak{D}}(s)$ and the unitary mapping $U^{-1} : \widehat{\mathfrak{D}}(s) \rightarrow \mathfrak{D}(s)$ from (2.75).

Sufficiency. If conditions (1)–(3) of the theorem hold, then

$$(3.24) \quad f \in \mathcal{K}(W) \implies \Delta_s f \in \mathfrak{D}(s) \quad \text{and} \quad \langle \Delta_s f, \Delta_s f \rangle_{\mathfrak{D}(s)} \leq \langle f, f \rangle_{\mathcal{K}(W)}.$$

Moreover, in view of Lemma 2.22, $[I_p \ -s] f \in \mathcal{K}(s)$ and

$$(3.25) \quad \langle [I_p \ -s] f, [I_p \ -s] f \rangle_{\mathcal{K}(s)} \leq \langle \Delta_s f, \Delta_s f \rangle_{\mathfrak{D}(s)} \leq \langle f, f \rangle_{\mathcal{K}(W)}.$$

Therefore, the operator $T : f \mapsto [I_p \ -s] f$ maps $\mathcal{K}(W)$ into $\mathcal{K}(s)$ contractively. To find the adjoint operator

$$T^* : \mathcal{K}(s) \rightarrow \mathcal{K}(W),$$

let $\alpha \in \mathfrak{h}_W \cap \mathfrak{h}_s^+$, $u \in \mathbb{C}^p$ and $f \in \mathcal{K}(W)$. Then

$$\begin{aligned} \langle f, T^* \Lambda_\alpha^s u \rangle_{\mathcal{K}(W)} &= \langle T f, \Lambda_\alpha^s u \rangle_{\mathcal{K}(s)} = \langle [I_p \ -s] f, \Lambda_\alpha^s u \rangle_{\mathcal{K}(s)} \\ &= u^* [I_p \ -s(\alpha)] f(\alpha) = \left\langle f, \mathbf{K}_\alpha^W \begin{bmatrix} I_p \\ -s(\alpha)^* \end{bmatrix} u \right\rangle_{\mathcal{K}(W)}. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore,

$$(3.26) \quad T^* \Lambda_\alpha^s u = \mathbf{K}_\alpha^W \begin{bmatrix} I_p \\ -s(\alpha)^* \end{bmatrix} u \quad (\alpha \in \mathfrak{h}_W \cap \mathfrak{h}_s^+, u \in \mathbb{C}^p).$$

Since $T : \mathcal{K}(W) \rightarrow \mathcal{K}(s)$ is a contraction, Theorem 1.3.4 of [2] implies that

$$\nu_-(I - TT^*) = \text{ind}_- \mathcal{K}(s) - \text{ind}_- \mathcal{K}(W) = \kappa_2,$$

and hence that for every choice of $\alpha_j \in \mathfrak{h}_W \cap \mathfrak{h}_s^+$, $u_j \in \mathbb{C}^p$ and $\xi_j \in \mathbb{C}$ ($1 \leq j \leq n$) the form

$$(3.27) \quad \sum_{j,k=1}^n \left\{ \left\langle \Lambda_{\alpha_j}^s u_j, \Lambda_{\alpha_k}^s u_k \right\rangle_{\mathcal{H}(s)} - \left\langle \mathbf{K}_{\alpha_j}^W \begin{bmatrix} I_p \\ -s(\alpha_j)^* \end{bmatrix} u_j, \mathbf{K}_{\alpha_k}^W \begin{bmatrix} I_p \\ -s(\alpha_k)^* \end{bmatrix} u_k \right\rangle_{\mathcal{K}(W)} \right\} \xi_j \bar{\xi}_k$$

has at most κ_2 (and for some choice of $\alpha_j \in \mathfrak{h}_W \cap \mathfrak{h}_s^+$, $u_j \in \mathbb{C}^p$ and $\xi_j \in \mathbb{C}$ exactly κ_2) negative squares. Since (3.27) can be rewritten in the form

$$\begin{aligned} &\sum_{j,k=1}^n \left\{ u_k^* \Lambda_{\alpha_j}^s(\alpha_k) u_j - u_k^* [I_p \ -s(\alpha_k)] \mathbf{K}_{\alpha_j}^W(\alpha_k) \begin{bmatrix} I_p \\ -s(\alpha_j)^* \end{bmatrix} u_j \right\} \xi_j \bar{\xi}_k \\ &= \sum_{j,k=1}^n \left\{ u_k^* [I_p \ -s(\alpha_k)] \frac{W(\alpha_k) j_{pq} W(\alpha_j)^*}{\rho_{\alpha_j}(\alpha_k)} \begin{bmatrix} I_p \\ -s(\alpha_j)^* \end{bmatrix} u_j \right\} \xi_j \bar{\xi}_k, \end{aligned}$$

it follows that the mvf $[I_p \ -s(\lambda)] W(\lambda)$ is $(\Omega_+, j_{pq})_{\kappa_2}$ -admissible. Theorem 3.5 serves to complete the proof. $\square$

4. THE RESOLVENT MATRIX AND THE CLASS $\mathcal{U}_\kappa^\circ(j_{pq})$

4.1. The class $H_{\kappa,\infty}^{p \times q}$. Let $G(\lambda)$ be a $p \times q$ mvf that is meromorphic on Ω_+ with a Laurent expansion

$$(4.1) \quad G(\lambda) = (\lambda - \lambda_0)^{-k} G_{-k} + \cdots + (\lambda - \lambda_0)^{-1} G_{-1} + G_0 + \cdots$$

in a neighborhood of a pole $\lambda_0 \in \Omega_+$. The pole multiplicity $M_\pi(G, \lambda_0)$ is defined by (see [32])

$$(4.2) \quad M_\pi(G, \lambda_0) = \text{rank } L(G, \lambda_0), \quad L(G, \lambda_0) = \begin{bmatrix} G_{-k} & \mathbf{0} \\ \vdots & \ddots \\ G_{-1} & \cdots & G_{-k} \end{bmatrix}.$$

The pole multiplicity of G over Ω_+ is given by

$$(4.3) \quad M_\pi(G, \Omega_+) = \sum_{\lambda \in \Omega_+} M_\pi(G, \lambda).$$

This definition of pole multiplicity coincides with that based on the Smith-McMillan representation of G (see [11]).

Remark 4.1. For a Blaschke-Potapov product b of the form (2.1) the following statements are equivalent:

- (1) the degree of the Blaschke-Potapov product b is equal κ ;
- (2) $M_\pi(b^{-1}, \Omega_+) = \kappa$;
- (3) the kernel $\Lambda_\omega^{b^{-1}}(\lambda)$ has κ negative squares in Ω_+ .

The zero multiplicity of a square mvf $F \in H_\infty^{p \times p}$ over Ω_+ is defined in [34] as the degree of the maximal left Blaschke-Potapov factor b of F . Remark 4.1 implies that the zero multiplicity of a square mvf $F \in H_\infty^{p \times p}$ with nontrivial determinant is connected with the pole multiplicity of F^{-1} via

$$M_\zeta(F, \Omega_+) = M_\pi(F^{-1}, \Omega_+).$$

The class $H_{\kappa, \infty}^{p \times q}(\Omega_+)$ consists of $p \times q$ mvf's G of the form $G = H + B$, where B is a rational $p \times q$ mvf of pole multiplicity $M_\pi(B, \Omega_+) \leq \kappa$ and $H \in H_\infty^{p \times q}(\Omega_+)$ (see [1]).

The next lemma is useful for calculating pole multiplicities.

Lemma 4.2. Let $H_1 \in H_\infty^{r \times p}$, $H_2 \in H_\infty^{q \times r}$, and let mvf's $G_1 \in H_{\kappa_1, \infty}^{p \times q}$, $G_2 \in H_{\kappa_2, \infty}^{p \times q}$ have the following Laurent expansions

$$(4.4) \quad G_j(\lambda) = \frac{G_{-k}^{(j)}}{(\lambda - \lambda_0)^k} + \cdots + \frac{G_{-1}^{(j)}}{\lambda - \lambda_0} + G_0^{(j)} + \cdots \quad (j = 1, 2)$$

at $\lambda_0 \in \Omega_+$ and let

$$(4.5) \quad \text{rng } L(G_1, \lambda_0) \subseteq \text{rng } L(G_2, \lambda_0) \quad \forall \lambda_0 \in \Omega_+.$$

Then

$$(4.6) \quad M_\pi(H_1 G_1, \Omega_+) \leq M_\pi(H_1 G_2, \Omega_+).$$

If

$$(4.7) \quad \ker L(G_1, \lambda_0) \supseteq \ker L(G_2, \lambda_0) \quad \forall \lambda_0 \in \Omega_+,$$

then

$$(4.8) \quad M_\pi(G_1 H_2, \Omega_+) \leq M_\pi(G_2 H_2, \Omega_+).$$

Proof. Let the mvf's H_j ($j = 1, 2$) have the following expansions at λ_0

$$H_j(\lambda) = H_0^{(j)} + H_1^{(j)}(\lambda - \lambda_0) + \cdots + H_{n-1}^{(j)}(\lambda - \lambda_0)^{k-1} + \cdots$$

and let

$$T(H_j, \lambda_0) = \begin{bmatrix} H_0^{(j)} & & \mathbf{0} \\ \vdots & \ddots & \\ H_{k-1}^{(j)} & \cdots & H_0^{(j)} \end{bmatrix} \quad \text{for } j = 1, 2.$$

Then

$$L(H_1 G_j, \lambda_0) = T(H_1, \lambda_0) L(G_j, \lambda_0) \quad \text{and} \quad L(G_j H_2, \lambda_0) = L(G_j, \lambda_0) T(H_2, \lambda_0).$$

Therefore, in view of (4.5), one obtains for every $\lambda_0 \in \Omega_+$

$$\begin{aligned} M_\pi(H_1 G_1, \lambda_0) &= \text{rank } T(H_1, \lambda_0) L(G_1, \lambda_0) \\ &\leq \text{rank } T(H_1, \lambda_0) L(G_2, \lambda_0) = M_\pi(H_1 G_2, \lambda_0) \quad \text{for } \lambda_0 \in \Omega_+, \end{aligned}$$

which implies (4.6). Next (4.7) yields the inequality

$$\begin{aligned} M_\pi(G_1 H_2, \lambda_0) &= \text{rank } L(G_1, \lambda_0) T(H_2, \lambda_0) \\ &\leq \text{rank } L(G_2, \lambda_0) T(H_2, \lambda_0) = M_\pi(G_2 H_2, \lambda_0) \quad \text{for } \lambda_0 \in \Omega_+, \end{aligned}$$

which proves (4.8). $\square$

As a corollary we obtain the following generalization of a noncancellation lemma from [18].

Lemma 4.3. *Let $G \in H_{\kappa, \infty}^{p \times q}$, $H_1 \in H_\infty^{p \times p}$ and $H_2 \in H_\infty^{q \times q}$. Then*

$$(4.9) \quad M_\pi(H_1 G, \Omega_+) = M_\pi(G, \Omega_+) \implies M_\pi(H_1 G H_2, \Omega_+) = M_\pi(G H_2, \Omega_+),$$

whereas

$$(4.10) \quad M_\pi(G H_2, \Omega_+) = M_\pi(G, \Omega_+) \implies M_\pi(H_1 G H_2, \Omega_+) = M_\pi(H_1 G, \Omega_+).$$

Proof. Since

$$\ker L(H_1 G, \lambda) \supseteq \ker L(G, \lambda)$$

for every point $\lambda \in \Omega_+$, it follows that

$$(4.11) \quad M_\pi(H_1 G, \lambda) = \text{rank } L(H_1 G, \lambda) \leq \text{rank } L(G, \lambda) = M_\pi(G, \lambda)$$

for every point $\lambda \in \Omega_+$. Therefore, the first equality in (4.9) implies that equality prevails in (4.11) and so too in (4.5) and (4.7) with $G_1 = H_1 G$ and $G_2 = G$. The second equality in (4.9) then follows easily from Lemma 4.2. The verification of the second assertion is similar. $\square$

It follows from the results of [32], [18] (see also [31, Theorem 5.2]) that every mvf $G \in H_{\kappa, \infty}^{p \times q}(\Omega_+)$ admits factorizations

$$(4.12) \quad G(\lambda) = G_\ell(\lambda)^{-1} H_\ell(\lambda) = H_r(\lambda) G_r(\lambda)^{-1},$$

where $G_\ell \in \mathcal{S}_{in}^{p \times p}$, $G_r \in \mathcal{S}_{in}^{q \times q}$ are Blaschke-Potapov factors of degree $M_\pi(G, \Omega_+)(\leq \kappa)$ and $H_\ell, H_r \in H_\infty^{p \times q}$ such that

$$(4.13) \quad \text{rank} \begin{bmatrix} G_\ell(\lambda) & H_\ell(\lambda) \end{bmatrix} = p \quad \text{for } \lambda \in \Omega_+,$$

$$(4.14) \quad \text{rank} \begin{bmatrix} G_r^\#(\lambda) & H_r^\#(\lambda) \end{bmatrix} = q \quad \text{for } \lambda \in \Omega_-.$$

It is easily shown that Lemma 2.1 can be extended to this more general situation. (The details are left to the reader.)

Lemma 4.4. *Let $H_\ell, H_r \in H_\infty^{p \times q}$ and let $G_\ell \in H_\infty^{p \times p}$, $G_r \in H_\infty^{q \times q}$ be a pair of mvf's such that $G_\ell^{-1} \in H_{\kappa, \infty}^{p \times p}$ and $G_r^{-1} \in H_{\kappa, \infty}$ for some $\kappa \in \mathbb{N} \cup \{0\}$. Then:*

- (i) *H_ℓ and G_ℓ meet the rank condition (4.13), if and only if there exists a pair of mvf's $C_\ell \in H_\infty^{p \times p}$ and $D_\ell \in H_\infty^{q \times p}$ such that*

$$(4.15) \quad G_\ell(\lambda) C_\ell(\lambda) + H_\ell(\lambda) D_\ell(\lambda) = I_p \quad \text{for } \lambda \in \Omega_+;$$

- (ii) *H_r and G_r meet the rank condition (4.14), if and only if there exists a pair of mvf's $C_r \in H_\infty^{p \times p}$ and $D_r \in H_\infty^{q \times p}$ such that*

$$(4.16) \quad C_r(\lambda) G_r(\lambda) + D_r(\lambda) H_r(\lambda) = I_q \quad \text{for } \lambda \in \Omega_+.$$

Pairs of mvf's H_ℓ, G_ℓ and H_r, G_r which satisfy the assumptions of Lemma 4.4 and the conditions (4.15) and (4.16) are called left coprime and right coprime, respectively, over Ω_+ . Left and right coprime factorizations may be characterized in terms of the pole multiplicities of their factors.

Proposition 4.5. *Let $H_\ell, H_r \in H_\infty^{p \times q}$ and let $G_\ell \in H_\infty^{p \times p}$ and $G_r \in H_\infty^{q \times q}$ be a pair of mvf's such that $G_\ell^{-1} \in H_{\kappa, \infty}^{p \times p}$ and $G_r^{-1} \in H_{\kappa, \infty}$ for some $\kappa \in \mathbb{N} \cup \{0\}$. Then:*

- (i) The pair G_ℓ, H_ℓ is left coprime over $\Omega_+ \iff M_\pi(G_\ell^{-1}H_\ell, \Omega_+) = M_\pi(G_\ell^{-1}, \Omega_+)$.
(ii) The pair G_r, H_r is right coprime over $\Omega_+ \iff M_\pi(H_r G_r^{-1}, \Omega_+) = M_\pi(G_r^{-1}, \Omega_+)$.

Proof. Suppose first that H_ℓ and G_ℓ are left coprime over Ω_+ . Then it follows from (4.15) that

$$G_\ell^{-1} = G_\ell^{-1}H_\ell D_\ell + C_\ell$$

and hence, that

$$M_\pi(G_\ell^{-1}, \Omega_+) = M_\pi(G_\ell^{-1}H_\ell D_\ell, \Omega_+) \leq M_\pi(G_\ell^{-1}H_\ell, \Omega_+).$$

The converse inequality is obvious. This proves the implication $\implies$ in (i).

Next, assume that

$$M_\pi(G_\ell^{-1}H_\ell, \Omega_+) = M_\pi(G_\ell^{-1}, \Omega_+) = \kappa'$$

for some finite nonnegative integer κ' and let G_ℓ^{-1} and $G_\ell^{-1}H_\ell$ have the following left coprime factorizations

$$(4.17) \quad G_\ell(\lambda)^{-1} = b_\ell(\lambda)^{-1}\varphi_\ell(\lambda),$$

$$(4.18) \quad G_\ell(\lambda)^{-1}H_\ell(\lambda) = \tilde{b}_\ell(\lambda)^{-1}\tilde{\varphi}_\ell(\lambda),$$

where $b_\ell, \tilde{b}_\ell \in \mathcal{S}_{in}^{p \times p}$ are Blaschke-Potapov factors of degree κ' and $\varphi_\ell, \tilde{\varphi}_\ell \in H_\infty^{p \times q}$. Then G_ℓ admits the left coprime factorization

$$(4.19) \quad G_\ell(\lambda) = \varphi_\ell(\lambda)^{-1}b_\ell(\lambda),$$

and it follows from the first part of the proof that

$$M_\pi(\varphi_\ell^{-1}, \Omega_+) = M_\pi(G_\ell, \Omega_+) = 0.$$

Then as $\|\varphi_\ell(\mu)^{-1}\| = \|G_\ell(\mu)\|$ a.e. on Ω_0 , the maximum principle implies that $\varphi_\ell^{-1} \in H_\infty^{p \times p}$. By Lemma 4.2,

$$M_\pi(\tilde{b}_\ell b_\ell^{-1}\varphi_\ell, \Omega_+) = M_\pi(\tilde{b}_\ell \tilde{b}_\ell^{-1}\tilde{\varphi}_\ell, \Omega_+) = M_\pi(\tilde{\varphi}_\ell, \Omega_+) = 0.$$

Since $\varphi_\ell^{-1} \in H_\infty^{p \times p}$, this implies $\tilde{b}_\ell b_\ell^{-1} \in H_\infty^{p \times p}$ which shows that b_ℓ and $\tilde{b}_\ell$ coincide up to a constant right unitary factor.

Now it follows from (4.18) and (4.19) that $H_\ell = \varphi_\ell^{-1}\tilde{\varphi}_\ell$. Since the factorization (4.18) is also left coprime over Ω_+ ,

$$\begin{aligned} \text{rank} \begin{bmatrix} G_\ell(\lambda) & H_\ell(\lambda) \end{bmatrix} &= \text{rank} \begin{bmatrix} \varphi_\ell(\lambda)^{-1}b_\ell(\lambda) & \varphi_\ell(\lambda)^{-1}\tilde{\varphi}_\ell(\lambda) \end{bmatrix} \\ &= \text{rank} \begin{bmatrix} b_\ell(\lambda) & \tilde{\varphi}_\ell(\lambda) \end{bmatrix} = p \quad \text{for } \lambda \in \Omega_+. \end{aligned}$$

This proves the implication $\Leftarrow$ in (i) and completes the proof of (i). Assertion (ii) follows from (i) by passing to adjoints. $\square$

In the rational case this statement can be found in [11, Theorem 11.1.4].

4.2. A class of generalized Schur mvf's. In this section we study the class of mvf's

$$(4.20) \quad S = \begin{bmatrix} s_{11} & s_{12} \\ s_{21} & s_{22} \end{bmatrix} \in \mathcal{S}_\kappa^{m \times m} \quad \text{for which} \quad s_{21} \in \mathcal{S}_\kappa^{q \times p},$$

where the indicated block decomposition of S is conformal with j_{pq} .

Theorem 4.6. *If $S \in \mathcal{S}_\kappa^{m \times m}$ meets the constraint (4.20) and the Kreĭn-Langer factorizations of s_{21} are*

$$(4.21) \quad s_{21} = \mathbf{b}_\ell^{-1}\mathbf{s}_\ell = \mathbf{s}_r\mathbf{b}_r^{-1},$$

then $\mathbf{b}_\ell s_{22} \in \mathcal{S}^{q \times q}$ and $s_{11}\mathbf{b}_r \in \mathcal{S}^{p \times p}$.

Proof. Let

$$G = \begin{bmatrix} s_{21} & s_{22} \end{bmatrix} \quad \text{and} \quad H = \begin{bmatrix} s_{11} \\ s_{21} \end{bmatrix}.$$

Then the kernels

$$\Lambda_\omega^G(\lambda) = \frac{I_q - G(\lambda)G(\omega)^*}{\rho_\omega(\lambda)} = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & I_q \end{bmatrix} \Lambda_\omega^S(\lambda) \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ I_q \end{bmatrix}$$

and

$$\Delta_\omega^H(\lambda) = \frac{I_p - H(\omega)^*H(\lambda)}{\rho_\omega(\lambda)} = \begin{bmatrix} I_p & 0 \end{bmatrix} \Delta_\omega^S(\lambda) \begin{bmatrix} I_p \\ 0 \end{bmatrix}$$

have at most κ negative squares in $\mathfrak{h}_S^+ \subset \Omega_+$. On the other hand, since $s_{21} \in \mathcal{S}_\kappa^{q \times p}$, the formulas

$$\Lambda_\omega^G(\lambda) = \Lambda_\omega^{s_{21}}(\lambda) - \frac{s_{22}(\lambda)s_{22}(\omega)^*}{\rho_\omega(\lambda)}$$

and

$$\Delta_\omega^H(\lambda) = \Delta_\omega^{s_{21}}(\lambda) - \frac{s_{11}(\omega)^*s_{11}(\lambda)}{\rho_\omega(\lambda)}$$

imply that $\Lambda_\omega^G(\lambda)$ and $\Delta_\omega^H(\lambda)$ have at least κ negative squares. Therefore, $G \in \mathcal{S}_\kappa^{q \times m}$, $H \in \mathcal{S}_\kappa^{m \times p}$ and hence

$$(4.22) \quad M_\pi(G, \Omega_+) = M_\pi(s_{21}, \Omega_+) = M_\pi(H, \Omega_+) = \kappa.$$

Consequently, in view of Lemma 4.2 and the factorization (4.21),

$$M_\pi(\mathfrak{b}_\ell G, \Omega_+) = M_\pi(\mathfrak{b}_\ell s_{21}, \Omega_+) = M_\pi(\mathfrak{s}_\ell, \Omega_+) = 0$$

and hence

$$\mathfrak{b}_\ell G = \begin{bmatrix} \mathfrak{b}_\ell s_{21} & \mathfrak{b}_\ell s_{22} \end{bmatrix} \in H_\infty^{m \times q}.$$

Similarly, by Lemma 4.2,

$$M_\pi(H \mathfrak{b}_r, \Omega_+) = M_\pi(s_{21} \mathfrak{b}_r, \Omega_+) = M_\pi(\mathfrak{s}_r, \Omega_+) = 0.$$

Therefore, $s_{11} \mathfrak{b}_r \in H_\infty^{p \times p}$. By the maximum principle, $\mathfrak{b}_\ell s_{22} \in \mathcal{S}^{q \times q}$ and $s_{11} \mathfrak{b}_r \in \mathcal{S}^{p \times p}$. $\square$

Corollary 4.7. *If $S \in \mathcal{S}_\kappa^{m \times m}$ meets the constraint (4.20) and its Kreĩn-Langer factorization is*

$$(4.23) \quad S = B_\ell^{-1} S_\ell = S_r B_r^{-1},$$

then

$$\begin{bmatrix} 0 & \mathfrak{b}_\ell \end{bmatrix} B_\ell^{-1} \in \mathcal{S}^{q \times m} \quad \text{and} \quad B_r^{-1} \begin{bmatrix} \mathfrak{b}_r \\ 0 \end{bmatrix} \in \mathcal{S}^{m \times p}.$$

Proof. Since $\text{rng } L(B_\ell^{-1}, \lambda) = \text{rng } L(S, \lambda)$ for all $\lambda \in \Omega_+$, Lemma 4.2 implies that

$$M_\pi(\begin{bmatrix} 0 & \mathfrak{b}_\ell \end{bmatrix} B_\ell^{-1}, \Omega_+) = M_\pi(\begin{bmatrix} 0 & \mathfrak{b}_\ell \end{bmatrix} S, \Omega_+) = M_\pi(\begin{bmatrix} \mathfrak{s}_\ell & \varphi_2 b_2 \end{bmatrix}, \Omega_+) = 0.$$

Thus, $\begin{bmatrix} 0 & \mathfrak{b}_\ell \end{bmatrix} B_\ell^{-1} \in H_\infty^{q \times m}$, and hence is in $\mathcal{S}^{q \times m}$ by the maximum principle.

Similarly, since $\ker L(B_r^{-1}, \lambda) = \ker L(S, \lambda)$ for all $\lambda \in \Omega_+$, Lemma 4.2 implies that

$$M_\pi(B_r^{-1} \begin{bmatrix} \mathfrak{b}_r \\ 0 \end{bmatrix}, \Omega_+) = M_\pi(S \begin{bmatrix} \mathfrak{b}_r \\ 0 \end{bmatrix}, \Omega_+) = M_\pi(\begin{bmatrix} s_{11} \mathfrak{b}_r \\ \mathfrak{s}_r \end{bmatrix}, \Omega_+) = 0,$$

which yields the second assertion. $\square$

Lemma 4.8. *If $S \in \mathcal{S}_\kappa^{m \times m}$ meets the constraint (4.20), admits Kreĩn-Langer factorization (4.23) and $\begin{bmatrix} 0 & I_q \end{bmatrix} B_\ell^{-1} h \in H_2^q$ for some $h \in H_2^m$ then $B_\ell^{-1} h \in H_2^m$.*

Proof. Assume that $\lambda_0 \in \Omega_+$ is a pole of $f = B_\ell^{-1}h$ and that

$$f(\lambda) = \frac{f_{-k}}{(\lambda - \lambda_0)^k} + \cdots + \frac{f_{-1}}{\lambda - \lambda_0} + \cdots \quad \text{in a deleted neighborhood of } \lambda_0$$

and

$$h(\lambda) = h_0 + \cdots + h_{k-1}(\lambda - \lambda_0)^{k-1} + \cdots \quad \text{in a neighborhood of } \lambda_0.$$

Then

$$\mathbf{f} = \begin{bmatrix} f_{-k} \\ \vdots \\ f_{-1} \end{bmatrix} = L(B_\ell^{-1}, \lambda_0) \mathbf{h} \quad \text{where} \quad \mathbf{h} = \begin{bmatrix} h_0 \\ \vdots \\ h_{k-1} \end{bmatrix}.$$

Since $[0 \ I_q]f \in H_2^q$, it follows that $\mathbf{h} \in \ker L([0 \ I_q] B_\ell^{-1}, \lambda_0)$. Clearly,

$$(4.24) \quad \ker L([0 \ I_q] B_\ell^{-1}, \lambda_0) \supseteq \ker L(B_\ell^{-1}, \lambda_0).$$

The equality (4.22) and Remark 4.1 yield

$$M_\pi([s_{21} \ s_{22}], \Omega_+) = M_\pi(B_\ell^{-1}, \Omega_+) = \kappa$$

and, since

$$(4.25) \quad \text{rank } L([s_{21} \ s_{22}], \lambda_0) \leq \text{rank } L([0 \ I_q] B_\ell^{-1}, \lambda_0) \leq \text{rank } L(B_\ell^{-1}, \lambda_0),$$

equality holds in (4.25) and (4.24). Thus $\mathbf{f} = L(B_\ell^{-1}, \lambda_0) \mathbf{h} = 0$, and hence $B_\ell^{-1}h \in H_2^m$. $\square$

Theorem 4.9. *If $\mathfrak{s} \in \mathcal{S}_\kappa^{q \times p}$ with Kreĭn-Langer factorizations $\mathfrak{s} = \mathfrak{b}_\ell^{-1} \mathfrak{s}_\ell = \mathfrak{s}_r \mathfrak{b}_r^{-1}$, then:*

- (1) *There exists a set of mvf's $c_\ell^\circ \in H_\infty^{q \times q}$, $d_\ell^\circ \in H_\infty^{p \times q}$, $c_r^\circ \in H_\infty^{p \times p}$ and $d_r^\circ \in H_\infty^{p \times q}$ such that*

$$(4.26) \quad \mathfrak{b}_\ell c_\ell^\circ + \mathfrak{s}_\ell d_\ell^\circ = I_q \quad \text{and} \quad c_r^\circ \mathfrak{b}_r + d_r^\circ \mathfrak{s}_r = I_p.$$

- (2) *The mvf's $c_\ell \in H_\infty^{q \times q}$, $d_\ell \in H_\infty^{p \times q}$, $c_r \in H_\infty^{p \times p}$ and $d_r \in H_\infty^{p \times q}$ are solutions to the equations in (4.26) if and only if*

$$(4.27) \quad c_\ell = c_\ell^\circ + \mathfrak{s}_r \psi \quad \text{and} \quad d_\ell = d_\ell^\circ - \mathfrak{b}_r \psi \quad \text{for some } \psi \in H_\infty^{p \times q}$$

and

$$(4.28) \quad c_r = c_r^\circ + \phi \mathfrak{s}_\ell \quad \text{and} \quad d_r = d_r^\circ - \phi \mathfrak{b}_\ell \quad \text{for some } \phi \in H_\infty^{p \times q}$$

- (3) *There exists a set of mvf's $c_\ell \in H_\infty^{q \times q}$, $d_\ell \in H_\infty^{p \times q}$, $c_r \in H_\infty^{p \times p}$ and $d_r \in H_\infty^{p \times q}$ such that*

$$(4.29) \quad \begin{bmatrix} c_r & d_r \\ -\mathfrak{s}_\ell & \mathfrak{b}_\ell \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} \mathfrak{b}_r & -d_\ell \\ \mathfrak{s}_r & c_\ell \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} I_p & 0 \\ 0 & I_q \end{bmatrix}.$$

Moreover, this set of mvf's satisfies the auxiliary equalities

$$(4.30) \quad \mathfrak{b}_r c_r + d_\ell \mathfrak{s}_\ell = I_p, \quad \mathfrak{b}_r d_r - d_\ell \mathfrak{b}_\ell = 0,$$

$$(4.31) \quad \mathfrak{s}_r c_r - c_\ell \mathfrak{s}_\ell = 0 \quad \text{and} \quad \mathfrak{s}_r d_r + c_\ell \mathfrak{b}_\ell = I_q.$$

Proof. The first assertion is immediate from Lemmas 2.1 and 2.2. If also $\mathfrak{b}_\ell c_\ell + \mathfrak{s}_\ell d_\ell = I_q$, then

$$\mathfrak{b}_\ell(c_\ell - c_\ell^\circ) + \mathfrak{s}_\ell(d_\ell - d_\ell^\circ) = 0.$$

Thus, if $\psi = -\mathfrak{b}_r^{-1}(d_\ell - d_\ell^\circ)$, it is readily seen that $c_\ell - c_\ell^\circ = \mathfrak{s}_r \psi$ and hence that

$$-c_r^\circ(d_\ell - d_\ell^\circ) + d_r^\circ(c_\ell - c_\ell^\circ) = c_r^\circ \mathfrak{b}_r \psi + d_r^\circ \mathfrak{s}_r \psi = \psi.$$

Therefore, $\psi \in H_\infty^{p \times q}$. Similar considerations serve to justify the necessity of (4.28). The sufficiency is self-evident.

Next, in view of (4.27) and (4.28) the matrix product on the left of (4.29) can be expressed as

$$\begin{bmatrix} c_r & d_r \\ -\mathfrak{s}_\ell & \mathfrak{b}_\ell \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} \mathfrak{b}_r & -d_\ell \\ \mathfrak{s}_r & c_\ell \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} I_p & -\phi \\ 0 & I_q \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} c_r^\circ & d_r^\circ \\ -\mathfrak{s}_\ell & \mathfrak{b}_\ell \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} \mathfrak{b}_r & -d_\ell^\circ \\ \mathfrak{s}_r & c_\ell^\circ \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} I_p & \psi \\ 0 & I_q \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} I_p & Z \\ 0 & I_q \end{bmatrix},$$

where $Z = -\phi - c_r^\circ d_\ell^\circ + d_r^\circ c_\ell^\circ + \psi$. Formula (4.29) is obtained by choosing ϕ and ψ to make $Z = 0$. The final set of formulas (4.30) and (4.31) follow by reversing the order of multiplication on the left hand side of formula (4.29). $\square$

The formulation (4.29) was motivated by the discussion of the Bezout identity, (3) in Section 4.1 of [26].

4.3. Associated pairs. If W belongs to the class $\mathcal{U}_\kappa^\circ(j_{pq})$ which is defined by (1.8), then the Potapov-Ginzburg transform $S = PG(W)$ meets the constraints imposed in the preceding subsection. In this case, the class of associated pairs of W are defined as the inner factors in the factorizations in (1.10).

Theorem 4.10. *Let $W \in \mathcal{U}_\kappa^\circ(j_{pq})$, and let $\{b_1, b_2\} \in ap(W)$. Then W can be expressed in terms of the factors in (1.10) as*

$$(4.32) \quad W = \begin{bmatrix} w_{11} & w_{12} \\ w_{21} & w_{22} \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} b_1 & 0 \\ 0 & b_2^{-1} \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} \varphi_1^{-*} & 0 \\ 0 & \varphi_2^{-1} \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} \mathfrak{b}_r^* & -\mathfrak{s}_r^* \\ -\mathfrak{s}_\ell & \mathfrak{b}_\ell \end{bmatrix} \quad a.e. \text{ in } \Omega_0.$$

Moreover,

$$(4.33) \quad I_p - \mathfrak{s}_r^* \mathfrak{s}_r = \varphi_1^* \varphi_1 \quad \text{and} \quad I_q - \mathfrak{s}_\ell \mathfrak{s}_\ell^* = \varphi_2 \varphi_2^* \quad a.e. \text{ in } \Omega_0.$$

Proof. The asserted identities follow easily from the formulas

$$(4.34) \quad \begin{bmatrix} w_{11}^\# \\ w_{12}^\# \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} \mathfrak{b}_r \\ -\mathfrak{s}_r \end{bmatrix} \varphi_1^{-1} b_1^{-1} \quad \text{and} \quad \begin{bmatrix} w_{21} & w_{22} \end{bmatrix} = b_2^{-1} \varphi_2^{-1} \begin{bmatrix} -\mathfrak{s}_\ell & \mathfrak{b}_\ell \end{bmatrix}$$

and the fact that $S^\# S = S S^\# = I_m$ in $\mathfrak{h}_S \cap \mathfrak{h}_{S^\#}$. $\square$

Theorem 4.11. *Let $W \in \mathcal{U}_\kappa^\circ(j_{pq})$, let $\{b_1, b_2\} \in ap(W)$, and let c_r, d_r, c_ℓ and d_ℓ be as in Theorem 4.9 (3) for $\mathfrak{s} = s_{21}$ and let*

$$(4.35) \quad K = (-w_{11}d_\ell + w_{12}c_\ell)(-w_{21}d_\ell + w_{22}c_\ell)^{-1}.$$

Then K belongs to $H_\infty^{p \times q}$ and admits the representation

$$(4.36) \quad K = (-w_{11}d_\ell + w_{12}c_\ell)\varphi_2 b_2,$$

and the dual representation

$$(4.37) \quad K = b_1 \varphi_1 (c_r w_{21}^\# - d_r w_{22}^\#).$$

Moreover, if c_ℓ° and d_ℓ° is a second set of mvf's such that (4.26) holds and correspondingly

$$(4.38) \quad K^\circ = (-w_{11}d_\ell^\circ + w_{12}c_\ell^\circ)(-w_{21}d_\ell^\circ + w_{22}c_\ell^\circ)^{-1},$$

then

$$(4.39) \quad (b_1 \varphi_1)^{-1} (K - K^\circ) (\varphi_2 b_2)^{-1} \in H_\infty^{p \times q}.$$

There is a choice of mvf's c_ℓ and d_ℓ , such that the corresponding mvf K admits a pseudo-continuation of bounded type in Ω_- .

Proof. The formula $S = PG(W)$ implies that

$$\begin{bmatrix} w_{11} & w_{12} \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} s_{11} & s_{12} \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} I_p & 0 \\ w_{21} & w_{22} \end{bmatrix}.$$

Therefore, since

$$(-w_{21}d_\ell + w_{22}c_\ell)\varphi_2b_2 = I_q$$

by (4.34), it is readily seen that

$$\begin{aligned} K &= (-w_{11}d_\ell + w_{12}c_\ell)\varphi_2b_2 \\ &= \begin{bmatrix} s_{11} & s_{12} \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} I_p & 0 \\ w_{21} & w_{22} \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} -d_\ell \\ c_\ell \end{bmatrix} \varphi_2b_2 = \begin{bmatrix} s_{11} & s_{12} \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} -d_\ell\varphi_2b_2 \\ I_q \end{bmatrix} \end{aligned}$$

and hence that

$$(4.40) \quad S \begin{bmatrix} -d_\ell\varphi_2b_2 \\ I_q \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} K \\ c_\ell\varphi_2b_2 \end{bmatrix}.$$

Consequently,

$$\begin{bmatrix} 0 & I_q \end{bmatrix} S \begin{bmatrix} -d_\ell\varphi_2b_2 \\ I_q \end{bmatrix} h = c_\ell\varphi_2b_2h$$

belongs to H_2^q for every $h \in H_2^q$. Thus, Lemma 4.8 implies that

$$\begin{bmatrix} I_p & 0 \end{bmatrix} Sh = Kh$$

belongs to H_2^p for every $h \in H_2^q$. Therefore, $K \in H_\infty^{p \times q}$.

The identity

$$\begin{bmatrix} c_r & -d_r \end{bmatrix} W^\# j_{pq} W \begin{bmatrix} -d_\ell \\ c_\ell \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} c_r & -d_r \end{bmatrix} j_{pq} \begin{bmatrix} -d_\ell \\ c_\ell \end{bmatrix} = 0$$

implies that

$$(c_r w_{11}^\# - d_r w_{12}^\#)(-w_{11}d_\ell + w_{12}c_\ell) = (c_r w_{21}^\# - d_r w_{22}^\#)(-w_{21}d_\ell + w_{22}c_\ell),$$

and hence that K admits the dual representation

$$(4.41) \quad K = (c_r w_{11}^\# - d_r w_{12}^\#)^{-1} (c_r w_{21}^\# - d_r w_{22}^\#) = b_1 \varphi_1 (c_r w_{21}^\# - d_r w_{22}^\#)$$

which coincides with (4.37).

It follows from (4.36), (4.38), (4.34), (4.27) and (4.33) that

$$\begin{aligned} K - K^\circ &= \begin{bmatrix} w_{11} & w_{12} \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} -(d_\ell - d_\ell^\circ) \\ c_\ell - c_\ell^\circ \end{bmatrix} \varphi_2b_2 = b_1 \varphi_1^{-\#} \begin{bmatrix} b_r^\# & -s_r^\# \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} b_r \\ s_r \end{bmatrix} \psi \varphi_2b_2 \\ &= b_1 \varphi_1^{-\#} (b_r^\# b_r - s_r^\# s_r) \psi \varphi_2b_2 = b_1 \varphi_1 \psi \varphi_2b_2 \quad \text{on } \Omega_0. \end{aligned}$$

This justifies (4.39).

Finally, to verify the last statement, first note that, in view of (1.10), formula (4.36) can be rewritten as

$$K = (-w_{11}d_\ell + w_{12}c_\ell) \mathbf{b}_\ell s_{22}.$$

Therefore, since the mvf's w_{11} , w_{12} , $\mathbf{b}_\ell$, s_{22} admit pseudocontinuations of bounded type in Ω_- , it remains only to show that there is a choice of mvf's c_ℓ and d_ℓ of the form (4.27) that admit pseudocontinuations to Ω_- . If c_ℓ° and d_ℓ° is a fixed pair of mvf's that satisfy (4.26) and $\Omega_+ = \mathbb{D}$, then

$$\mathbf{b}_r^{-1} d_\ell^\circ = \psi_+ + \psi_-,$$

with $\psi_+ \in H_2^{p \times q}$, and $\psi_- \in L_\infty^{p \times q} \cap (H_2^{p \times q})^\perp$, since b_r is a finite Blaschke-Potapov product. Therefore,

$$\psi_+ \in L_\infty^{p \times q} \cap H_2^{p \times q} \subset H_\infty^{p \times q},$$

by the maximum principle and hence the particular choice

$$d_\ell = d_\ell^\circ - \mathfrak{b}_r \psi_+ = \mathfrak{b}_r \psi_-$$

admits a pseudocontinuation to Ω_- by a matrix version of the Douglas-Shapiro-Shields condition [22], due to Fuhrmann [29, Theorem 1]. $\square$

4.4. Factorization of the resolvent matrix.

Theorem 4.12. *Let $W \in \mathcal{U}_\kappa(j_{pq})$, $\{b_1, b_2\} \in ap(W)$ let K be defined as in Theorem 4.11, and let c_r , d_r , c_ℓ and d_ℓ be as in Theorem 4.9 (3). Then W admits the factorizations*

$$(4.42) \quad W = \Theta \Phi \quad \text{in } \Omega_+ \quad \text{and} \quad W = \tilde{\Theta} \tilde{\Phi} \quad \text{in } \Omega_-,$$

where

$$(4.43) \quad \Theta = \begin{bmatrix} b_1 & Kb_2^{-1} \\ 0 & b_2^{-1} \end{bmatrix} \quad \text{in } \Omega_+, \quad \tilde{\Theta} = \begin{bmatrix} b_1 & 0 \\ K^\# b_1 & b_2^{-1} \end{bmatrix} \quad \text{in } \Omega_-$$

$$(4.44) \quad \Phi = \begin{bmatrix} \varphi_{11} & \varphi_{12} \\ \varphi_{21} & \varphi_{22} \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} \varphi_1 & 0 \\ 0 & \varphi_2^{-1} \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} c_r & d_r \\ -\mathfrak{s}_\ell & \mathfrak{b}_\ell \end{bmatrix} \quad \text{in } \Omega_+,$$

$$(4.45) \quad \tilde{\Phi} = \begin{bmatrix} \tilde{\varphi}_{11} & \tilde{\varphi}_{12} \\ \tilde{\varphi}_{21} & \tilde{\varphi}_{22} \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} \varphi_1^{-\#} & 0 \\ 0 & \varphi_2^\# \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} \mathfrak{b}_r^\# & -\mathfrak{s}_r^\# \\ d_\ell^\# & c_\ell^\# \end{bmatrix} \quad \text{in } \Omega_-$$

and

$$(4.46) \quad \tilde{\Theta}^\# j_{pq} \Theta = \tilde{\Phi}^\# j_{pq} \Phi = j_{pq} \quad \text{in } \Omega_+.$$

Moreover,

$$(4.47) \quad \Phi^{-1} = \begin{bmatrix} \mathfrak{b}_r & -d_\ell \\ \mathfrak{s}_r & c_\ell \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} \varphi_1^{-1} & 0 \\ 0 & \varphi_2 \end{bmatrix} \quad \text{in } \Omega_+, \quad \tilde{\Phi}^{-1} = \begin{bmatrix} c_r^\# & \mathfrak{s}_\ell^\# \\ -d_r^\# & \mathfrak{b}_\ell^\# \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} \varphi_1^\# & 0 \\ 0 & \varphi_2^{-\#} \end{bmatrix} \quad \text{in } \Omega_-,$$

$$(4.48) \quad W^{-1} \begin{bmatrix} K \\ I_q \end{bmatrix} b_2^{-1} = \begin{bmatrix} -d_\ell \\ c_\ell \end{bmatrix} \varphi_2 \in H_\infty^{m \times q}, \quad W^{-1} \begin{bmatrix} I_p \\ K^\# \end{bmatrix} b_1 = \begin{bmatrix} c_r^\# \\ -d_r^\# \end{bmatrix} \varphi_1^\# \in H_\infty^{m \times p}(\Omega_-)$$

and $S = PG(W)$ admits the representations

$$(4.49) \quad S = \begin{bmatrix} s_{11} & s_{11}d_\ell\varphi_2b_2 + K \\ s_{21} & s_{21}d_\ell\varphi_2b_2 + c_\ell\varphi_2b_2 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} b_1\varphi_1c_r + b_1\varphi_1d_rs_{21} & K + b_1\varphi_1d_rs_{22} \\ s_{21} & s_{22} \end{bmatrix}.$$

Proof. The evaluations

$$\begin{aligned} w_{11}\mathfrak{b}_r + w_{12}\mathfrak{s}_r &= s_{11}\mathfrak{b}_r = b_1\varphi_1, \\ w_{21}\mathfrak{b}_r + w_{22}\mathfrak{s}_r &= (w_{21} + w_{22}s_{21})\mathfrak{b}_r = 0, \\ -w_{11}d_\ell + w_{12}c_\ell &= Kb_2^{-1}\varphi_2^{-1}, \\ -w_{21}d_\ell + w_{22}c_\ell &= b_2^{-1}\varphi_2^{-1} \end{aligned}$$

lead easily to the formula

$$W \begin{bmatrix} \mathfrak{b}_r & -d_\ell \\ \mathfrak{s}_r & c_\ell \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} b_1\varphi_1 & Kb_2^{-1}\varphi_2^{-1} \\ 0 & b_2^{-1}\varphi_2^{-1} \end{bmatrix}; \quad \text{i.e.,} \quad W = \Theta \begin{bmatrix} \varphi_1 & 0 \\ 0 & \varphi_2^{-1} \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} \mathfrak{b}_r & -d_\ell \\ \mathfrak{s}_r & c_\ell \end{bmatrix}^{-1}.$$

Formula (4.44) for Φ in (4.42) is easily verified with the help of Theorem 4.9. The second factorization formula follows from the first and the observation that

$$W = j_{pq}(W^\#)^{-1}j_{pq}, \quad \tilde{\Theta} = j_{pq}(\Theta^\#)^{-1}j_{pq} \quad \text{and} \quad \tilde{\Phi} = j_{pq}(\Phi^\#)^{-1}j_{pq}.$$

Moreover, the first formula in (4.49) is equivalent to formula (4.40). The second follows by much the same sort of manipulations:

$$s_{11} = b_1 \varphi_1 (c_r w_{11}^\# - d_r w_{12}^\#) (w_{11}^\#)^{-1} = b_1 \varphi_1 c_r + b_1 \varphi_1 d_r s_{21}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} s_{12} &= b_1 \varphi_1 (c_r w_{11}^\# - d_r w_{12}^\#) (w_{11}^\#)^{-1} w_{21}^\# \\ &= b_1 \varphi_1 (c_r w_{21}^\# - d_r w_{22}^\# + d_r s_{22}) = K + b_1 \varphi_1 d_r s_{22}. \end{aligned}$$

Finally, formulas (4.42), (4.43) and (4.47) imply that

$$W^{-1} \begin{bmatrix} K \\ I_q \end{bmatrix} b_2^{-1} = W^{-1} \Theta \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ I_q \end{bmatrix} = \Phi^{-1} \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ I_q \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} -d_\ell \\ c_\ell \end{bmatrix} \varphi_2$$

and

$$W^{-1} \begin{bmatrix} I_p \\ K^\# \end{bmatrix} b_1 = W^{-1} \tilde{\Theta} \begin{bmatrix} I_p \\ 0 \end{bmatrix} = \tilde{\Phi}^{-1} \begin{bmatrix} I_p \\ 0 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} c_r^\# \\ -d_r^\# \end{bmatrix} \varphi_1^\#$$

which serves to justify (4.48) □

Corollary 4.13. *In the setting of Theorem 4.12,*

$$(4.50) \quad W H_2^m = \Theta \begin{bmatrix} \varphi_1 & 0 \\ 0 & \varphi_2^{-1} \end{bmatrix} H_2^m.$$

Proof. Theorem 4.9 and Theorem 4.12 imply that

$$W = \Theta \Phi^\circ E \quad \text{where } \Phi^\circ = \begin{bmatrix} \varphi_1 & 0 \\ 0 & \varphi_2^{-1} \end{bmatrix} \quad \text{and } E^{\pm 1} \in H_\infty^{m \times m}.$$

Therefore,

$$W H_2^m = \Theta \Phi^\circ E H_2^m \subseteq \Theta \Phi^\circ H_2^m = \Theta \Phi^\circ E E^{-1} H_2^m \subseteq \Theta \Phi^\circ E H_2^m.$$

Thus, equality must prevail throughout. □

Corollary 4.14. *Let $W \in \mathcal{U}_\kappa^\circ(j_{pq})$ and the Kreĭn-Langer factorizations of $S = PG(W)$ are*

$$S(\lambda) = B_\ell^{-1}(\lambda) S_\ell(\lambda) = S_r(\lambda) B_r^{-1}(\lambda),$$

then, in the setting of Theorem 4.11,

$$(4.51) \quad B_\ell \begin{bmatrix} K - s_{12} \\ -s_{22} \end{bmatrix} b_2^{-1} \in H_\infty^{m \times q}, \quad B_r^\# \begin{bmatrix} s_{11}^\# \\ s_{12}^\# - K^\# \end{bmatrix} b_1 \in H_\infty^{m \times p}(\Omega_-).$$

Proof. The relations in (4.51) are implied by (4.49), since

$$\begin{aligned} B_\ell \begin{bmatrix} K - s_{12} \\ -s_{22} \end{bmatrix} b_2^{-1} &= -B_\ell \begin{bmatrix} s_{11} \\ s_{21} \end{bmatrix} d_\ell \varphi_2 - B_\ell \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ c_\ell \varphi_2 \end{bmatrix} \in H_\infty^{m \times q}, \\ B_r^\# \begin{bmatrix} s_{11}^\# \\ s_{12}^\# - K^\# \end{bmatrix} b_1 &= B_r^\# \begin{bmatrix} -s_{21}^\# \\ -s_{22}^\# \end{bmatrix} d_r^\# \varphi_1^\# + B_r^\# \begin{bmatrix} c_r^\# \varphi_1^\# \\ 0 \end{bmatrix} \in H_\infty^{m \times p}(\Omega_-). \end{aligned}$$

□

Corollary 4.15. *If in the setting of Theorem 4.12, $\tilde{\varphi}_{11} \in H_\infty^{p \times p}(\Omega_-)$, $\tilde{\varphi}_{12} \in H_\infty^{p \times q}(\Omega_-)$, $\varphi_{21} \in H_\infty^{q \times p}(\Omega_+)$, $\varphi_{22} \in H_\infty^{q \times q}(\Omega_+)$, then:*

(1) *the mvf $[w_{11} \ w_{12}]$ admits a right coprime factorization over Ω_-*

$$(4.52) \quad [w_{11} \ w_{12}] = b_1 [\tilde{\varphi}_{11} \ \tilde{\varphi}_{12}];$$

(2) *the mvf $[w_{21} \ w_{22}]$ admits a left coprime factorization over Ω_+*

$$(4.53) \quad [w_{21} \ w_{22}] = b_2^{-1} [\varphi_{21} \ \varphi_{22}].$$

4.5. Characterization of $\mathcal{K}(W)$ spaces. The next theorem characterizes $\mathcal{K}(W)$ spaces in terms of the Kreĭn-Langer factorizations of $S = PG(W)$.

Theorem 4.16. *Let $W \in \mathcal{U}_\kappa(j_{pq})$, let the Kreĭn-Langer factorizations of $S = PG(W)$ be*

$$S(\lambda) = B_\ell(\lambda)^{-1}S_\ell(\lambda) = S_r(\lambda)B_r(\lambda)^{-1}$$

and let h_1, h_2 be a pair of measurable vvf's on Ω_0 of height p and q , respectively. Then $h = \text{col}(h_1, h_2) \in \mathcal{K}(W)$ if and only if:

$$B_\ell \begin{bmatrix} I_p & -s_{12} \\ 0 & -s_{22} \end{bmatrix} h \in H_2^m \quad \text{and} \quad B_r^* \begin{bmatrix} s_{11}^* & 0 \\ s_{12}^* & -I_q \end{bmatrix} h \in (H_2^m)^\perp.$$

Moreover, in this case

$$(4.54) \quad \langle h, h \rangle_{\mathcal{K}(W)} = \|f\|_{st}^2 - 2\Re \left\langle f, \tilde{\Gamma}_\ell(S^*f) \right\rangle_{st},$$

where $f \in \mathcal{K}(B_r)$, S^*f and $\tilde{\Gamma}_\ell(S^*f)$ are defined by the formulas (cf. (2.12))

$$(4.55) \quad f := \begin{bmatrix} I_p & -s_{12} \\ 0 & -s_{22} \end{bmatrix} h, \quad S^*f = \begin{bmatrix} s_{11}^* & 0 \\ s_{12}^* & -I_q \end{bmatrix} h,$$

$$\tilde{\Gamma}_\ell(S^*f) := \tilde{X}_\ell^{-1}P_+(S^*f) \quad \text{and} \quad \tilde{X}_\ell : g \in \mathcal{H}_*(B_\ell) \longrightarrow P_+S^*g \in \mathcal{H}(B_r).$$

Proof. The formula for the inverse Potapov-Ginzburg transform

$$W(\lambda) = \begin{bmatrix} I_p & -s_{12}(\lambda) \\ 0 & -s_{22}(\lambda) \end{bmatrix}^{-1} \begin{bmatrix} s_{11}(\lambda) & 0 \\ s_{21}(\lambda) & -I_q \end{bmatrix}$$

leads to the following representation of the kernel $K_\omega^W(\lambda)$

$$K_\omega^W(\lambda) = \begin{bmatrix} I_p & -s_{12}(\lambda) \\ 0 & -s_{22}(\lambda) \end{bmatrix}^{-1} \frac{I_m - S(\lambda)S(\omega)^*}{\rho_\omega(\lambda)} \begin{bmatrix} I_p & -s_{12}(\omega) \\ 0 & -s_{22}(\omega) \end{bmatrix}^{-*}.$$

This identity implies that the mapping

$$h \mapsto f = \begin{bmatrix} I_p & -s_{12}(\lambda) \\ 0 & -s_{22}(\lambda) \end{bmatrix} h$$

is an isometry from $\mathcal{K}(W)$ onto $\mathcal{K}(S)$ (cf. [7]).

Since S_ℓ is a square inner mvf, Corollary 2.16 guarantees that the inclusion $f \in \mathcal{K}(S)$ is equivalent to the inclusion $S^*f \in \mathcal{K}_*(S)$. Now the first statement of the theorem is implied by Theorem 2.14.

To verify formula (4.54), consider the orthogonal decomposition of the vector $f \in \mathcal{K}(S)$ corresponding to the fundamental decomposition of $\mathcal{K}(S)$ (see (2.46))

$$(4.56) \quad f = B_\ell^{-1}y + x, \quad y \in \mathcal{H}(S_\ell), \quad x \in \mathcal{H}_*(B_\ell),$$

where $x \in \mathcal{H}_*(B_\ell)$ is the unique solution of the equation

$$(4.57) \quad P_+S_\ell^*x = P_+S^*f (= P_{\mathcal{H}(B_r)}S^*f).$$

In the notation of Definition 2.4,

$$(4.58) \quad x = \tilde{\Gamma}_\ell(S^*f) = \tilde{X}_\ell^{-1}P_+S^*f.$$

Now (4.56) yields the formula

$$\langle f, f \rangle_{\mathcal{K}(S)} = \|f - x\|_{st}^2 - \|x\|_{st}^2 = \|f\|_{st}^2 - \langle f, x \rangle_{st} - \langle x, f \rangle_{st},$$

which is equivalent to (4.54). □

Remark 4.17. If $\kappa = 0$, then $B_\ell = B_r = I_m$, $\tilde{\Gamma}_\ell = 0$ and the statement of Theorem 4.16 reduces to the characterization of $\mathcal{H}(W)$ spaces given in Theorem 2.4 of [24] and the next theorem reduces to Theorem 2.7 of [24] (see also [9] for another proof of the latter).

Theorem 4.18. *Let $W \in \mathcal{U}_\kappa(j_{pq})$, $S = [s_{ij}]_{i,j=1}^2$ be the Potapov-Ginzburg transform of W , let $\{b_1, b_2\}$ be an associated pair of W , and let the mvf $K \in H_\infty^{p \times q}$ be defined as in Theorem 4.11. Then $h = \text{col}(h_1, h_2) \in \mathcal{K}(W)$ if and only if:*

- (1) $\mathbf{b}_\ell s_{22} h_2 \in H_2^q$;
- (2) $\mathbf{b}_r^* s_{11}^* h_1 \in (H_2^p)^\perp$;
- (3) $[I_p \quad -K] h \in H_2^p$;
- (4) $[-K^* \quad I_q] h \in (H_2^q)^\perp$.

Proof. Necessity. Let $h = \text{col}(h_1, h_2) \in \mathcal{K}(W)$. Then by Theorem 4.16

$$(4.59) \quad B_\ell \begin{bmatrix} I_p & -s_{12} \\ 0 & -s_{22} \end{bmatrix} h \in H_2^m, \quad B_r^* \begin{bmatrix} s_{11}^* & 0 \\ s_{12}^* & -I_q \end{bmatrix} h \in (H_2^m)^\perp$$

and hence, by Corollary 4.7 and the formulas in (1.10),

$$(4.60) \quad \begin{bmatrix} 0 & \mathbf{b}_\ell \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} I_p & -s_{12} \\ 0 & -s_{22} \end{bmatrix} h = -\mathbf{b}_\ell s_{22} h_2 = -\varphi_2 b_2 h_2 \in H_2^q,$$

and

$$(4.61) \quad \begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{b}_r^* & 0 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} s_{11}^* & 0 \\ s_{12}^* & -I_q \end{bmatrix} h = \mathbf{b}_r^* s_{11}^* h_1 = \varphi_1^* b_1^* h_1 \in (H_2^p)^\perp.$$

The first condition in (4.59) can be rewritten as

$$B_\ell \begin{bmatrix} h_1 - K h_2 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix} + B_\ell \begin{bmatrix} K - s_{12} \\ -s_{22} \end{bmatrix} h_2 \in H_2^m,$$

where due to (4.49)

$$(4.62) \quad B_\ell \begin{bmatrix} K - s_{12} \\ -s_{22} \end{bmatrix} h_2 = B_\ell \begin{bmatrix} -s_{11} d_\ell \\ -s_{21} d_\ell - c_\ell \end{bmatrix} \varphi_2 b_2 h_2.$$

Thus, as

$$B_\ell \begin{bmatrix} s_{11} \\ s_{21} \end{bmatrix} \in H_\infty^{m \times q} \quad \text{and} \quad \varphi_2 b_2 h_2 \in H_2^q,$$

it is readily seen that

$$B_\ell \begin{bmatrix} K - s_{12} \\ -s_{22} \end{bmatrix} h_2 \in H_2^m.$$

Therefore,

$$B_\ell \begin{bmatrix} h_1 - K h_2 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix} \in H_2^m,$$

which in view of Lemma 4.8 implies (3).

Similarly, since

$$B_r^* \begin{bmatrix} s_{11}^* & 0 \\ s_{12}^* & -I_q \end{bmatrix} h = B_r^* \begin{bmatrix} s_{21}^* d_r^* + c_r^* \\ s_{22}^* d_r^* \end{bmatrix} \varphi_1^* b_1^* h_1 + B_r^* \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ K^* & -I_q \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} h_1 \\ h_2 \end{bmatrix} \in (H_2^m)^\perp$$

and $\varphi_1^* b_1^* h_1 \in (H_2^p)^\perp$, it follows readily that

$$B_r^* \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ K^* & -I_q \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} h_1 \\ h_2 \end{bmatrix} \in (H_2^m)^\perp,$$

which justifies (4) with the help of a self-evident version of Lemma 4.8.

Sufficiency. Let $h = \text{col}(h_1, h_2)$ satisfy assumptions (1)–(4). Then

$$B_\ell \begin{bmatrix} h_1 - s_{12}h_2 \\ -s_{22}h_2 \end{bmatrix} = B_\ell \begin{bmatrix} h_1 - Kh_2 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix} + B_\ell \begin{bmatrix} K - s_{12} \\ -s_{22} \end{bmatrix} h_2 \in H_2^m,$$

thanks to assumptions (1) and (3) and formula (4.62), whereas

$$B_r^* \begin{bmatrix} s_{11}^* h_1 \\ s_{12}^* h_1 - h_2 \end{bmatrix} = B_r^* \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ K^* h_1 - h_2 \end{bmatrix} + B_r^* \begin{bmatrix} s_{11}^* \\ s_{12}^* - K^* \end{bmatrix} h_1 \in (H_2^m)^\perp$$

by much the same sort of arguments. Thus, Theorem 4.16 guarantees that $h \in \mathcal{K}(W)$. $\square$

4.6. Description of $\mathcal{K}(W) \cap L_2^m$. In this subsection we supply a description of the space $\mathcal{K}(W) \cap L_2^m$ analogous to the description of the space $\mathcal{H}(W) \cap L_2^m$ that is presented in Section 5.14 of [8]. The main formulas (4.63)–(4.68) look the same as their counterparts in the Hilbert space setting and the proofs of all but one of them are also the same; only the verification (4.66) requires a different argument.

Theorem 4.19. *Let $W \in \mathcal{U}_\kappa^\circ(j_{pq})$, $\{b_1, b_2\} \in \text{ap}(W)$, let K be defined by (4.35) and let*

$$\Gamma_{11} : f \in H_2^q \longrightarrow P_{\mathcal{H}(b_1)} K f, \quad \Gamma_{22} : f \in \mathcal{H}_*(b_2) \longrightarrow P_{(H_2^p)^\perp} K f,$$

$$\text{and } \Gamma_{12} : f \in \mathcal{H}_*(b_2) \longrightarrow P_{\mathcal{H}(b_1)} K f.$$

Then:

$$(4.63) \quad \mathcal{K}(W) \cap H_2^m = \left\{ \begin{bmatrix} u_1 \\ \Gamma_{11}^* u_1 \end{bmatrix} : u_1 \in \mathcal{H}(b_1) \right\},$$

$$(4.64) \quad \mathcal{K}(W) \cap (H_2^m)^\perp = \left\{ \begin{bmatrix} \Gamma_{22} u_2 \\ u_2 \end{bmatrix} : u_2 \in \mathcal{H}_*(b_2) \right\},$$

$$(4.65) \quad \mathcal{K}(W) \cap L_2^m = (\mathcal{K}(W) \cap H_2^m) \dot{+} (\mathcal{K}(W) \cap (H_2^m)^\perp)$$

and

$$(4.66) \quad \langle h, h \rangle_{\mathcal{K}(W)} = \left\langle \begin{bmatrix} I_p & -K \\ -K^* & I_q \end{bmatrix} h, h \right\rangle_{st} \quad \text{for every } h \in \mathcal{K}(W) \cap L_2^m.$$

Moreover, if

$$h = \begin{bmatrix} I & \Gamma_{22} \\ \Gamma_{11}^* & I \end{bmatrix} u, \quad \text{with } u = \begin{bmatrix} u_1 \\ u_2 \end{bmatrix}, \quad u_1 \in \mathcal{H}(b_1) \quad \text{and} \quad u_2 \in \mathcal{H}_*(b_2),$$

then

$$(4.67) \quad \langle h, h \rangle_{\mathcal{K}(W)} = \langle Pu, u \rangle_{st},$$

where

$$(4.68) \quad P = \begin{bmatrix} I - \Gamma_{11}^* \Gamma_{11} & -\Gamma_{12} \\ -\Gamma_{12}^* & I - \Gamma_{22}^* \Gamma_{22} \end{bmatrix}$$

Proof. Let $h = \text{col}(h_1, h_2) \in \mathcal{K}(W) \cap L_2^m$. Then, in view of (1) and (2) of Theorem 4.18 and the identities $\mathbf{b}_\ell s_{22} = \varphi_2 b_2$ and $s_{11} \mathbf{b}_r = b_1 \varphi_1$, it is readily checked that $b_2 h_2 \in H_2^q$ and $b_1^* h_1 \in (H_2^p)^\perp$. Thus, if $h_1 = h_1^+ + h_1^-$ with $h_1^+ \in H_2^p$, $h_1^- \in (H_2^p)^\perp$, and $h_2 = h_2^+ + h_2^-$ with $h_2^+ \in H_2^q$ and $h_2^- \in (H_2^q)^\perp$, then the condition

$$b_1^* h_1 \in (H_2^p)^\perp \implies b_1^* h_1^+ \in (H_2^p)^\perp \implies h_1^+ \in \mathcal{H}(b_1),$$

whereas the condition

$$b_2 h_2 \in H_2^q \implies b_2 h_2^- \in H_2^q \implies h_2^- \in \mathcal{H}_*(b_2).$$

Next, (3) and (4) of Theorem 4.18 imply that

$$h_1^- - K h_2^- \in H_2^p \quad \text{and} \quad -K^* h_1^+ + h_2^+ \in (H_2^q)^\perp.$$

Therefore,

$$h_1^- = P_- K h_2^- = \Gamma_{22} h_2^- \quad \text{and} \quad h_2^+ = P_+ K^* h_1^+ = \Gamma_{11}^* h_1^+.$$

Since $h \in L_2^m$ and $S^* S = I_m$ a.e. on Ω_0 ,

$$\left\| \begin{bmatrix} I_p & -s_{12} \\ 0 & -s_{22} \end{bmatrix} h \right\|_{st}^2 = \left\langle \begin{bmatrix} I_p & -s_{12} \\ -s_{12}^* & I_q \end{bmatrix} h, h \right\rangle_{st}$$

and formula (4.54) can be expressed as

$$\langle h, h \rangle_{\mathcal{K}(W)} = \left\langle \begin{bmatrix} I_p & -s_{12} \\ -s_{12}^* & I_q \end{bmatrix} h, h \right\rangle_{st} - 2\Re \left\langle \begin{bmatrix} I_p & -s_{12} \\ 0 & -s_{22} \end{bmatrix} h, x \right\rangle_{st},$$

where $x \in \mathcal{H}_*(B_\ell)$ is the unique solution of (4.57). Therefore, since $K = s_{12} + s_{11} d_\ell \varphi_2 b_2$ by (4.49),

$$\langle h, h \rangle_{\mathcal{K}(W)} = \left\langle \begin{bmatrix} I_p & -K \\ -K^* & I_q \end{bmatrix} h, h \right\rangle_{st} + 2\Re Y,$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} Y &= \left\langle \begin{bmatrix} 0 & -s_{11} d_\ell \varphi_2 b_2 \\ 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix} h, h \right\rangle_{st} - \left\langle \begin{bmatrix} I_p & -s_{12} \\ 0 & -s_{22} \end{bmatrix} h, x \right\rangle_{st} \\ &= -\langle s_{11} d_\ell \varphi_2 b_2 h_2, h_1 \rangle_{st} - \langle h_1 - K h_2, h_1 \rangle_{st} \\ &\quad + \langle s_{11} d_\ell \varphi_2 b_2 h_2, x_1 \rangle_{st} + \langle s_{22} h_2, x_2 \rangle_{st} \\ &= \langle s_{11} d_\ell \varphi_2 b_2 h_2, h_1 - x_1 \rangle_{st} + \langle s_{22} h_2, x_2 \rangle_{st}, \end{aligned}$$

because $h_1 - K h_2 \in H_2^p$. Thus, as $\varphi_2 b_2 = \mathfrak{b}_\ell s_{22}$ by (1.10), the last expression for Y can be rewritten as

$$Y = \langle \mathfrak{b}_\ell s_{22} h_2, d_\ell^* s_{11}^* (x_1 - h_1) + \mathfrak{b}_\ell x_2 \rangle_{st}.$$

Moreover, as (4.57) implies that

$$s_{11}^* (x_1 - h_1) + s_{21}^* x_2 \in (H_2^p)^\perp$$

and $\mathfrak{b}_\ell s_{22} h_2 \in H_2^p$,

$$Y = \langle \mathfrak{b}_\ell s_{22} h_2, (\mathfrak{b}_\ell - d_\ell^* s_{21}^*) x_2 \rangle_{st} = \langle (\mathfrak{b}_\ell^* - s_{21} d_\ell) \mathfrak{b}_\ell s_{22} h_2, x_2 \rangle_{st} = 0,$$

since

$$\mathfrak{b}_\ell^* - s_{21} d_\ell = \mathfrak{b}_\ell^* - \mathfrak{b}_\ell^* \mathfrak{s}_\ell d_\ell = \mathfrak{b}_\ell^* (I_p - \mathfrak{s}_\ell d_\ell) = c_\ell$$

by (1.9) and (4.29), and $c_\ell \mathfrak{b}_\ell s_{22} h_2 \in H_2^q$. This completes the proof of (4.66).

Finally, formula (4.67) follows from the evaluations

$$(4.69) \quad \left\langle \begin{bmatrix} u_1 \\ \Gamma_{11}^* u_1 \end{bmatrix}, \begin{bmatrix} u_1 \\ \Gamma_{11}^* u_1 \end{bmatrix} \right\rangle_{\mathcal{K}(W)} = \left\langle \begin{bmatrix} I_p & -K \\ -K^* & I_q \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} u_1 \\ \Gamma_{11}^* u_1 \end{bmatrix}, \begin{bmatrix} u_1 \\ \Gamma_{11}^* u_1 \end{bmatrix} \right\rangle_{\mathcal{K}(W)} \\ = \langle (I_p - \Gamma_{11}^* \Gamma_{11}) u_1, u_1 \rangle_{st},$$

$$(4.70) \quad \left\langle \begin{bmatrix} \Gamma_{22} u_2 \\ u_2 \end{bmatrix}, \begin{bmatrix} \Gamma_{22} u_2 \\ u_2 \end{bmatrix} \right\rangle_{\mathcal{K}(W)} = \left\langle \begin{bmatrix} I_p & -K \\ -K^* & I_q \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} \Gamma_{22} u_2 \\ u_2 \end{bmatrix}, \begin{bmatrix} \Gamma_{22} u_2 \\ u_2 \end{bmatrix} \right\rangle_{\mathcal{K}(W)} \\ = \langle (I_p - \Gamma_{22} \Gamma_{22}^*) u_2, u_2 \rangle_{st}$$

and

$$(4.71) \quad \left\langle \begin{bmatrix} \Gamma_{22}u_2 \\ u_2 \end{bmatrix}, \begin{bmatrix} u_1 \\ \Gamma_{11}^*u_1 \end{bmatrix} \right\rangle_{\mathcal{K}(W)} = \left\langle \begin{bmatrix} I_p & -K \\ -K^* & I_q \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} \Gamma_{22}u_2 \\ u_2 \end{bmatrix}, \begin{bmatrix} u_1 \\ \Gamma_{11}^*u_1 \end{bmatrix} \right\rangle_{\mathcal{K}(W)} \\ = \langle -Ku_2, u_1 \rangle_{st} = \langle -\Gamma_{12}u_2, u_1 \rangle_{st}.$$

□

Corollary 4.20. *In the setting of Theorem 4.19, let*

$$\mathcal{H}(b_1, b_2) = \begin{matrix} \mathcal{H}(b_1) \\ \oplus \\ \mathcal{H}_*(b_2) \end{matrix}$$

and let the operator F be given by

$$(4.72) \quad F : u \in \mathcal{H}(b_1, b_2) \mapsto Fu = \begin{bmatrix} I & \Gamma_{22} \\ \Gamma_{11}^* & I \end{bmatrix} u \in \mathcal{K}(W).$$

Then $\mathcal{K}(W) \cap L_2^m = F\mathcal{H}(b_1, b_2)$ and the following equivalences hold:

- (1) $\mathcal{L}(W) := \mathcal{K}(W) \cap L_2^m$ is dense in $\mathcal{K}(W)$ if and only if $\ker P = \{0\}$;
- (2) $\mathcal{K}(W) \subset L_2^m$ if and only if P is a bounded invertible operator with a bounded inverse.

Moreover, If P is a bounded invertible operator with a bounded inverse, then $W \in \tilde{L}_2^{m \times m}$.

Proof. Since the operator F in (4.72) is injective, (1) follows immediately from the equality

$$(4.73) \quad \langle Fu, Fv \rangle_{\mathcal{K}(W)} = \langle Pu, v \rangle_{st} \quad \text{for } u, v \in \mathcal{H}(b_1, b_2).$$

Suppose next that $0 \notin \sigma(P)$. Then it follows from (4.73) that

$$\nu_-(P) = \text{ind}_-(\mathcal{K}(W) \cap L_2^m),$$

and hence that $\mathcal{H}(b_1, b_2)$ is a Pontryagin space with respect to the inner product (4.73) and that F is an isometry from this Pontryagin space to a subspace $\mathcal{L}(W)$ of $\mathcal{K}(W)$. Therefore, $\mathcal{L}(W)$ is closed in $\mathcal{K}(W)$. Thus,

$$\mathcal{L}(W) = \mathcal{K}(W),$$

since $\mathcal{L}(W)$ is also dense in $\mathcal{K}(W)$ by (1).

Conversely, if $\mathcal{L}(W) = \mathcal{K}(W)$, then it follows from (4.73) that $\mathcal{H}(b_1, b_2)$ equipped with the inner product (4.73) is isometrically isomorphic to $\mathcal{K}(W)$ and, hence, is a Pontryagin space. This implies that $0 \notin \sigma(P)$.

Finally, the last assertion is immediate from (2) and formula (1.1). □

We remark that if $W \in \mathcal{U}_\kappa(j_{pq})$ with $\kappa = 0$, then the class of mvf's considered in (1) and (2) of the last corollary correspond to the class of right regular and strongly right regular j_{pq} -inner mvf's in [8].

4.7. Parametrization of the set $T_W[\mathcal{S}_{\kappa_2}^{p \times q}] \cap \mathcal{S}_{\kappa_1 + \kappa_2}^{p \times q}$. In this subsection we characterize the parameters $\varepsilon \in \mathcal{S}_{\kappa_2}^{p \times q}$ for which $T_W[\varepsilon] \in \mathcal{S}_{\kappa_1 + \kappa_2}$ when $W \in \mathcal{U}_{\kappa_1}^\circ(j_{pq})$. The proof is based on Theorem 1.1 augmented by the factorization result of Theorem 4.12 and a special case of Kreĭn-Langer generalization of Rouché's Theorem, which is formulated below.

Theorem 4.21. [34] *Let $\varphi, \psi \in H_\infty^{q \times q}$, $\det(\varphi + \psi) \not\equiv 0$ in Ω_+ , $M_\zeta(\varphi, \Omega_+) < \infty$ and*

$$(4.74) \quad \|\varphi(\mu)^{-1}\psi(\mu)\| \leq 1 \quad \text{a.e. on } \Omega_0.$$

Then $M_\zeta(\varphi + \psi, \Omega_+) \leq M_\zeta(\varphi, \Omega_+)$ with equality if

$$(4.75) \quad (\varphi + \psi)^{-1}\varphi|_{\Omega_0} \in \tilde{L}_1^{q \times q}.$$

Theorem 4.21 is used to estimate the zero multiplicity of the denominator in the linear-fractional transformation T_W associated with the mvf $W \in \mathcal{U}_{\kappa_1}^\circ(j_{pq})$.

Lemma 4.22. *Let $W \in \mathcal{U}_{\kappa_1}^\circ(j_{pq})$, $S = PG(W)$, let s_{21} have the Kreĭn-Langer factorizations (1.9), and assume that $\varepsilon \in \mathcal{S}_{\kappa_2}^{p \times q}$ satisfies the assumption*

$$(4.76) \quad (w_{21}\varepsilon + w_{22})^{-1}w_{22}|_{\Omega_0} \in \tilde{L}_1^{q \times q}$$

and let

$$(4.77) \quad \varepsilon = \theta_\ell^{-1}\varepsilon_\ell = \varepsilon_r\theta_r^{-1}$$

denote its Kreĭn-Langer factorizations. Then

$$(4.78) \quad M_\zeta(\mathbf{b}_\ell\theta_r - \mathbf{s}_\ell\varepsilon_r, \Omega_+) = M_\zeta(\theta_\ell\mathbf{b}_r - \varepsilon_\ell\mathbf{s}_r, \Omega_+) = \kappa_1 + \kappa_2.$$

Moreover, if $W \in \tilde{L}_2^{m \times m}$, then (4.76) holds for every $\varepsilon \in \mathcal{S}_{\kappa_2}^{p \times q}$.

Proof. Since $s_{21} \in \mathcal{S}_{\kappa_1}^{p \times q}$ one obtains $M_\zeta(\mathbf{b}_\ell, \Omega_+) = \kappa_1$. Moreover, as b_ℓ and θ_r are both finite Blaschke-Potapov products,

$$M_\zeta(\mathbf{b}_\ell\theta_r, \Omega_+) = M_\zeta(\mathbf{b}_\ell, \Omega_+) + M_\zeta(\theta_r, \Omega_+) = \kappa_1 + \kappa_2.$$

Therefore, since θ_r is unitary a.e. on Ω_0 , the identity

$$(4.79) \quad \theta_r(\mathbf{b}_\ell\theta_r - \mathbf{s}_\ell\varepsilon_r)^{-1}\mathbf{b}_\ell = (w_{21}\varepsilon + w_{22})^{-1}w_{22} \quad \text{a.e. on } \Omega_0$$

implies that

$$\|(\mathbf{b}_\ell\theta_r - \mathbf{s}_\ell\varepsilon_r)^{-1}\mathbf{b}_\ell\theta_r\| = \|\theta_r(\mathbf{b}_\ell\theta_r - \mathbf{s}_\ell\varepsilon_r)^{-1}\mathbf{b}_\ell\| = \|(w_{21}\varepsilon + w_{22})^{-1}w_{22}\|, \quad \text{a.e. on } \Omega_0,$$

and hence that $(\mathbf{b}_\ell\theta_r - \mathbf{s}_\ell\varepsilon_r)^{-1}\mathbf{b}_\ell\theta_r|_{\Omega_0} \in \tilde{L}_1^{q \times q}$. Therefore, by Theorem 4.21,

$$M_\zeta(\mathbf{b}_\ell\theta_r - \mathbf{s}_\ell\varepsilon_r, \Omega_+) = M_\zeta(\mathbf{b}_\ell\theta_r, \Omega_+) = \kappa_1 + \kappa_2.$$

The proof of the second equality in (4.78) is similar.

To verify the final assertion, first note that if $\varepsilon \in \mathcal{S}_{\kappa_2}^{p \times q}$, then

$$(w_{21}\varepsilon + w_{22})^{-1}w_{22} = (I_q - s_{21}\varepsilon)^{-1}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} \|(I_q - \varepsilon^*s_{21}^*)u\| &\geq 1 - \|s_{21}^*u\| \geq \frac{1}{2}(1 - \|s_{21}^*u\|^2) \\ &= \frac{1}{2}u^*(I_q - s_{21}s_{21}^*)u = \frac{1}{2}u^*s_{22}s_{22}^*u \end{aligned}$$

for every $u \in \mathbb{C}^q$ with $\|u\| = 1$. Thus, the bound

$$\|(I_q - s_{21}\varepsilon)^{-1}\| = \|(I_q - \varepsilon^*s_{21}^*)^{-1}\| \leq 2\|w_{22}^*w_{22}\|,$$

implies that $(I_q - s_{21}\varepsilon)^{-1} \in \tilde{L}_1^{q \times q}$ when $W \in \tilde{L}_2^{m \times m}$. $\square$

Proof of Theorem 1.2. *Necessity.* Let $\kappa = \kappa_1 + \kappa_2$, let $s = T_W[\varepsilon]$ belongs to $\mathcal{S}_\kappa^{p \times q}$ and let

$$(4.80) \quad \begin{bmatrix} G_\ell & -H_\ell \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} \theta_\ell & -\varepsilon_\ell \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{b}_r & -d_\ell \\ \mathbf{s}_r & c_\ell \end{bmatrix}, \quad \begin{bmatrix} H_r \\ G_r \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} c_r & d_r \\ -\mathbf{s}_\ell & \mathbf{b}_\ell \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} \varepsilon_r \\ \theta_r \end{bmatrix}$$

and

$$(4.81) \quad G = (\varphi_{11}\varepsilon_r + \varphi_{12}\theta_r)(\varphi_{21}\varepsilon_r + \varphi_{22}\theta_r)^{-1}.$$

Then

$$G = \varphi_1 H_r G_r^{-1} \varphi_2,$$

$M_\pi(s, \Omega_+) = \kappa$ and it follows from (4.42)-(4.45) that

$$(4.82) \quad s = T_W[\varepsilon] = T_\Theta[G] = b_1 G b_2 + K.$$

Therefore, since K is holomorphic on Ω_+ ,

$$(4.83) \quad M_\pi(b_1 G b_2, \Omega_+) = M_\pi(s, \Omega_+) = \kappa,$$

and hence, by Lemma 4.22,

$$(4.84) \quad \kappa = M_\pi(b_1 G b_2, \Omega_+) \leq M_\pi(G_r^{-1} \varphi_2 b_2, \Omega_+) \leq M_\pi(G_r^{-1}, \Omega_+) = \kappa.$$

Thus,

$$M_\pi(G_r^{-1} \varphi_2 b_2, \Omega_+) = M_\pi(G_r^{-1}, \Omega_+) = \kappa,$$

and in view of Proposition 4.5, the factorization $(\varphi_2 b_2)^{-1} G_r$ is coprime over Ω_+ .

Similarly, since $G_\ell H_r = H_\ell G_r$, the mvf G can be written as

$$(4.85) \quad G = \varphi_1 G_\ell^{-1} H_\ell \varphi_2$$

and consequently (4.83) and Lemma 4.22 imply that

$$M_\pi(b_1 \varphi_1 G_\ell^{-1}, \Omega_+) = M_\pi(G_\ell^{-1}, \Omega_+) = \kappa.$$

Therefore, Proposition 4.5 implies that the factorization $G_\ell(b_1 \varphi_1)^{-1}$ is coprime over Ω_+ .

Sufficiency. Since the assumptions of Lemma 4.22 are satisfied,

$$(4.86) \quad M_\pi(G_\ell^{-1}, \Omega_+) = M_\pi(G_r^{-1}, \Omega_+) = \kappa_1 + \kappa_2.$$

Moreover, since $E^{\pm 1} = \begin{bmatrix} c_r & d_r \\ -\mathbf{s}_\ell & \mathbf{b}_\ell \end{bmatrix}^{\pm 1} \in H_\infty^{m \times m}$, the factorization $H_r G_r^{-1}$ is right coprime over Ω_+ , and hence, in view of Proposition 4.5

$$M_\pi(H_r G_r^{-1}, \Omega_+) = M_\pi(G_r^{-1}, \Omega_+) = \kappa.$$

It follows from the equality $G = \varphi_1 H_r G_r^{-1} \varphi_2$ that

$$M_\pi(G, \Omega_+) = M_\pi(H_r G_r^{-1}, \Omega_+) = M_\pi(G_r^{-1}, \Omega_+) = M_\pi(G_r^{-1} \varphi_2, \Omega_+).$$

Thus, by Lemma 4.3

$$M_\pi(G b_2, \Omega_+) = M_\pi(G_r^{-1} \varphi_2 b_2, \Omega_+).$$

Since the factorization in (1.16) is coprime one obtains from Proposition 4.5 and (4.86)

$$M_\pi(G b_2, \Omega_+) = M_\pi(G_r^{-1}, \Omega_+) = \kappa.$$

Similarly, Proposition 4.5 implies that

$$M_\pi(G_\ell^{-1} H_\ell, \Omega_+) = M_\pi(G_\ell^{-1}, \Omega_+) = \kappa.$$

since the factorization $G_\ell^{-1} H_\ell$ is coprime over Ω_+ . Now the equality $G = \varphi_1 G_\ell^{-1} H_\ell \varphi_2$, Lemma 4.3 and the assumption that the factorization in (1.15) is coprime yield

$$M_\pi(b_1 G, \Omega_+) = M_\pi(b_1 \varphi_1 G_\ell^{-1}, \Omega_+) = M_\pi(G_\ell^{-1}, \Omega_+) = \kappa.$$

Therefore,

$$M_\pi(b_1 G, \Omega_+) = M_\pi(G, \Omega_+) = \kappa,$$

which, with the help of Lemma 4.3, implies that

$$M_\pi(b_1 G b_2, \Omega_+) = M_\pi(G b_2, \Omega_+) = \kappa.$$

In view of (4.82) $s \in \mathcal{S}_\kappa^{p \times q}$. □

Remark 4.23. If, in the setting of Theorem 1.2, it is also assumed that $W \in L_\infty^{m \times m}$, then:

- (1) Condition (1.14) is met by every mvf $\varepsilon \in \mathcal{S}_{\kappa_2}^{p \times q}$ (with $\kappa_2 \geq 0$).

- (2) The entries φ_{21} and φ_{22} in the bottom block row of Φ belong to $H_\infty^{q \times p}(\Omega_+)$ and $H_\infty^{q \times q}(\Omega_+)$, respectively, whereas the entries $\tilde{\varphi}_{11}$ and $\tilde{\varphi}_{12}$ in the top block row of $\tilde{\Phi}$ belong to $H_\infty^{p \times p}(\Omega_-)$ and $H_\infty^{p \times q}(\Omega_-)$, respectively. (Φ and $\tilde{\Phi}$ are defined in Theorem 4.12.)
- (3) The factorizations (1.15) and (1.16) can be reexpressed as

$$\theta_\ell w_{11}^\# + \varepsilon_\ell w_{12}^\# = (\theta_\ell \tilde{\varphi}_{11}^\# + \varepsilon_\ell \tilde{\varphi}_{12}^\#) b_1^{-1}, \quad \text{and} \quad w_{21} \varepsilon_r + w_{22} \theta_r = b_2^{-1} (\varphi_{21} \varepsilon_r + \varphi_{22} \theta_r).$$

Thus, $T_W[\varepsilon] \in \mathcal{S}_{\kappa_1 + \kappa_2}^{p \times q}$ if and only if these two factorizations are coprime over Ω_+ .

- (4) If also $\kappa_2 = 0$, then $s = T_W[\varepsilon]$ belongs to $\mathcal{S}_{\kappa_1}^{p \times q}$ if and only if the two factorizations

$$w_{11}^\# + \varepsilon w_{12}^\# = (\tilde{\varphi}_{11}^\# + \varepsilon \tilde{\varphi}_{12}^\#) b_1^{-1}, \quad \text{and} \quad w_{21} \varepsilon + w_{22} = b_2^{-1} (\varphi_{21} \varepsilon + \varphi_{22})$$

are coprime over Ω_+ .

REFERENCES

- [1] V. M. Adamyan, D. Z. Arov, M. G. Kreĭn, Analytic properties of the Schmidt pairs of a Hankel operator and the generalized Schur-Takagi problem, *Matem. Sb.* **86** (1971), 34–75.
- [2] D. Alpay, A. Dijksma, J. Rovnyak and H. S. V. de Snoo, *Schur functions, operator colligations, and reproducing kernel Pontryagin spaces*, Oper. Theory: Adv. Appl., **96**, Birkhäuser Verlag, Basel, 1997.
- [3] D. Alpay, A. Dijksma, J. Rovnyak and H. S. V. de Snoo, *Realization and factorization in reproducing kernel Pontryagin spaces*, in Oper. Theory Adv. Appl., **123** (2001), 43–65.
- [4] D. Alpay and H. Dym, On applications of reproducing kernel spaces to the Schur algorithm and rational J unitary factorization. *I. Schur methods in operator theory and signal processing*, 89–159, Oper. Theory Adv. Appl., **18**, Birkhäuser, Basel, 1986.
- [5] N. Aronszajn, Theory of reproducing kernels, *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.*, **68** (1950), 337–404.
- [6] D. Z. Arov, The generalized bitangent Caratheodory-Nevalinna-Pick problem, and (j, J_0) -inner matrix valued functions, *Russian Acad. Sci. Izv. Math.*, **42** (1994), no.1, 1–26.
- [7] D. Z. Arov and H. Dym, J -inner matrix functions, interpolation and inverse problems for canonical systems, I: Foundations, *Integr. Equat. Oper. Th.*, **29** (1997), 373–454.
- [8] D. Z. Arov and H. Dym, *J-Contractive Matrix Valued Functions and Related Topics*, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge 2008.
- [9] Z. Arova, The functional model of a J -unitary node with a given j -inner characteristic matrix function, *Integral Equations Operator Theory*, **28** (1997), 1–16.
- [10] T. Ya. Azizov and I. S. Iokhvidov, *Foundations of the theory of linear operators in spaces with an indefinite metric*, Nauka, Moscow, 1986 (English translation: Wiley, New York, 1989).
- [11] J. A. Ball, I. Gohberg, and L. Rodman, *Interpolation of rational matrix functions*, OT45, Birkhäuser Verlag, 1990.
- [12] J. A. Ball and J. W. Helton, A Beurling–Lax theorem for the Lie group $U(m, n)$ which contains most classical interpolation theory, *J. Operator Theory*, **9** (1983), 107–142.
- [13] J. Bognar, *Indefinite inner product spaces*, *Ergeb. Math. Grenzgeb.*, Bd. **78**, Springer-Verlag, New York-Heidelberg, 1974.
- [14] L. de Branges, Complementation in Kreĭn spaces, *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.*, **305** (1988), 277–291.
- [15] L. de Branges and J. Rovnyak, Canonical models in quantum scattering theory, In: *"Perturbation Theory and its Application in Quantum Mechanics"*, Wiley, New York, 1966, 359–391.
- [16] M. S. Brodskiĭ, Unitary operator colligations and their characteristic functions, *Uspekhi Mat. Nauk*, **33** (1978), no.4, 141–168; Engl. transl.: *Rus. Math. Surveys* **33** (1978), no.4, 159–191.
- [17] V. Derkach, On indefinite abstract interpolation problem, *Methods of Funct. Analysis and Topology*, **7** (2001), no.4, 87–100.
- [18] V. Derkach, On Schur-Nevalinna-Pick indefinite interpolation problem, *Ukrainian Math. Zh.*, **55** (2003), no. 10, 1567–1587.
- [19] V. Derkach, On characteristic functions of linear relations and unitary colligations, *Dopov. Nats. Akad. Nauk Ukr. Mat.* (2001), no. 11, 28–33.
- [20] M. A. Dritschel and J. Rovnyak, Extension theorems for contraction operators in Kreĭn spaces, *Oper. Theory: Adv. Appl.*, **47**, Birkh. Verlag, Basel, 1990. – P. 221–305.

- [21] A. Dijksma, H. Langer and H. S. V. de Snoo, Characteristic functions of unitary colligations in Π_κ -spaces, *Oper. Theory: Adv. Appl.*, **19**, Birkhäuser Verlag, Basel, 1986, 125–194.
- [22] R. G. Douglas, H. S. Shapiro and A. L. Shields, Cyclic vectors and invariant subspaces for the backward shift operator, *Ann. Inst. Fourier (Grenoble)* 20 (1970), 37–76.
- [23] P. L. Duren, *Theory of H^p spaces*, New York : Academic Press, 1970.
- [24] H. Dym, *J-contractive matrix functions, reproducing kernel Hilbert spaces and interpolation*, CBMS Regional Series in Math., vol.71, Providence, RI, 1989.
- [25] H. Dym, Linear fractional transformations, Riccati equations and bitangential interpolation, revisited. *Reproducing kernel spaces and applications*, 171–212, *Oper. Theory: Adv. Appl.*, **143**, Birkhäuser, Basel, 2003.
- [26] B. Francis, *A Course in H_∞ Control Theory*, Lecture Notes in Control and Information Sciences, **88**, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1987.
- [27] L. B. Gantmacher, *The Theory of Matrices*, Chelsea Publishing Company, New-York, 1959.
- [28] P. A. Fuhrmann, On the corona theorem and its application to spectral problems in Hilbert space, *Trans. AMS* **132**, 1968, 55–66.
- [29] P. A. Fuhrmann, On Hankel operator ranges, meromorphic pseudo-continuations and factorizations of operator-valued analytic functions, *J. London Math. Soc.*, 13 (1975), 323–327.
- [30] V. E. Katsnelson, A. Ya. Kheifets and P. M. Yuditskii, The abstract interpolation problem and extension theory of isometric operators, in: *"Operators in Spaces of Functions and Problems in Function Theory"*, Kiev, Naukova Dumka, 1987, 83–96 (Russian).
- [31] H. Kimura, *Chain scattering approach to H^∞ control*, Birkhäuser, Boston, 1997.
- [32] M. G. Kreĭn and H. Langer, Über die verallgemeinerten Resolventen und die charakteristische Function eines isometrischen Operators im Raume Π_κ , *Hilbert space Operators and Operator Algebras (Proc.Intern.Conf.,Tihany, 1970)*; *Colloq.Math.Soc.Janos Bolyai*, **5**, North-Holland, Amsterdam, 353–399, 1972.
- [33] M. G. Kreĭn and H. Langer, Über die Q -functions eines π -hermiteschen Operators im Raume Π_κ , *Acta Sci.Math. (Szeged)*, **34** (1973), 191–230.
- [34] M. G. Kreĭn and H. Langer, Some propositions of analytic matrix functions related to the theory of operators in the space Π_κ , *Acta Sci.Math.Szeged*, **43** (1981), 181–205.
- [35] A. V. Kužel', Spectral analysis of unbounded non-selfadjoint operators in a space with indefinite metric. (Russian) *Dokl. Akad. Nauk SSSR*, **178** (1968), 31–33.
- [36] A. A. Nudelman, On a generalization of classical interpolation problems, *Dokl.Akad.Nauk SSSR*, **256** (1981), 790–793.
- [37] V. P. Potapov, Multiplicative structure of J -nonexpanding matrix functions, *Trudy Mosk.Matem. Obsch.*, **4**, (1955) 125–236.
- [38] L. Schwartz, Sous espaces hilbertiens d'espaces vectoriels topologiques et noyaux associes, *J. Analyse Math.* **13** (1964), 115–256.

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, DONETSK STATE UNIVERSITY, DONETSK, UKRAINE
E-mail address: derkach.v@gmail.com

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS,, WEIZMANN INSTITUTE, ISRAEL
E-mail address: harry.dym@weizmann.ac.il